





ENHANCING EFFECTIVENESS AND FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY OF PROTECTED AREAS IN MALAYSIA

# "PA FINANCING PROJECT"

(PIMS 3967)

# **FINAL REPORT**

June 2020



*Prepared by:* Muthusamy Suppiah, Project Manager Biodiversity & Protected Area Finance



# PA Financing Project

Enhancing Effectiveness and Financial Sustainability of Protected Areas in Malaysia

# CONTENTS

| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY   | 6  |
|---|----|
| Introduction  | 6  |
| Outcomes:   | 7  |
| Project Outputs   | 8  |
| Collaboration with Parallel Initiatives   | 9  |
| Key Observations and Lessons  | 9  |
| Project Finance   |    |
| Institutional Arrangement   |    |
| Conclusion  |    |
| PROJECT INFORMATION   |    |
| Project Relevance   |    |
| Problems That the Project Seeks to Address  | 13 |
| Project Milestones  |    |
| Project Inception Phase   |    |
| Project Finance   |    |
| Project Performance and Key Results   |    |
| Objective and/or Outcome Level  |    |
| Output Level  |    |
| Implementation Review   |    |
| Project Governance/Management Arrangement   |    |
| National Ownership and Sustainability of Project Results                          |    |
| Monitoring, Evaluation and Reporting  |    |
| Project Financial Analysis  |    |
| Best Practices and Success Stories  |    |
| Lessons Learned (Issues/Challenges, adaptive management and Management Responses) |    |
| Assumptions and risks   |    |
| Appendix  |    |
| Risk Log  |    |
| Other Relevant Information  |    |

# List of Figures:

| Figure 1: Project Sites   | 17 |
|---|----|
| Figure 2: Operating Budget Allocation   | 20 |
| Figure 3: Development Budget Allocation   | 20 |
| Figure 4: Project Governance Structure  | 36 |
| Figure 5: Stakeholders Networking   | 38 |
| Figure 6: Schematic for Monitoring and Evaluation Activity for the PA Financing Project | 41 |
| Figure 7: Financial Sustainability  | 42 |
| Figure 8: Capacity Development Scores   | 43 |
| Figure 9: Management Effectiveness Tracking Tool (METT) Scores                          | 43 |
| Figure 10: Project's Year-by-Year Financial Performance                                 | 90 |
| Figure 11: Budget Allocation vs Expenditure   | 90 |

# List of Tables:

| Table 1: Project Data Sheet  | 14 |
|--|----|
| Table 2: The Project Timeline  | 15 |
| Table 3: Project Financing   | 18 |
| Table 4: Financial Sustainability Scores                               |    |
| Table 5: Capacity Development Scores                                   | 23 |
| Table 6: METT Scores   |    |
| Table 9: The Strategic Results Framework showing End-of-Project status |    |
| Table 10: Project Finance  |    |

# List of Annexes:

| Annex 1: Risks and Mitigation Measures                    | 96  |
|---|-----|
| Annex 2: Amendments to SRF Adopted During Inception Phase | 99  |
| Annex 3: MTR Ratings & Achievement Summary                | 103 |
| Annex 4: TE Ratings & Achievement Summary Table           | 108 |
| Annex 5: The Strategic Results Framework                  | 112 |

# GLOSARRY

| APR    | Annual Progress Report                                       |
|--------|--|
| AWP    | Annual Work Plan   |
| BIOFIN | Biodiversity Finance Initiative                              |
| DWNP   | Department of Wildlife and National Parks                    |
| EFT    | Ecological Fiscal Transfer                                   |
| EPAM   | Effective Protected Area Management                          |
| ERNP   | Endau Rompin National Park                                   |
| GEF    | Global Environment Facility                                  |
| IBD    | Institute of Biodiversity                                    |
| IC-CFS | Improving Connectivity in the Central Forest Spine Landscape |
| IP     | Implementing Partner   |
| JNPC   | Johor National Parks Corporation                             |
| KATS   | Kementerian Air Tanah dan Sumber Asli                        |
|        | (Ministry of Water, Land Natural Resources)                  |
| M&E    | Monitoring and Evaluation                                    |
| METT   | Management Effectiveness Tracking Tool                       |
| MOTAC  | Ministry of Tourism and Culture                              |
| MTR    | Mid-Term Review  |
| MYR    | Malaysian Ringgit  |
| NCTF   | National Conservation Trust Fund                             |
| NSC    | National Steering Committee                                  |
| NFPA   | National Framework for Protected Area                        |
| NGO    | Non-governmental Organization                                |
| NPBD   | National Policy on Biological Diversity 2016 – 2025          |
| PA     | Protected Area   |
| PIR    | Project Implementation Review                                |
| PMU    | Project Management Unit                                      |
| PSPC   | Perak State Parks Corporation                                |
| SOP    | Standard Operating Procedures                                |

| SRF  | Strategic Results Framework                  |
|------|--|
| TE   | Terminal Evaluation                          |
| TEEB | The Economics of Biodiversity and Ecosystems |
| UNDP | United Nations Development Programme         |
| USD  | United States Dollar                         |
| WCS  | Wildlife Conservation Society                |
| WII  | Wildlife Institute of India                  |
| WWF  | Worldwide Wildlife Fund                      |

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

#### INTRODUCTION

The UNDP-supported and GEF-financed full-size **project entitled "Enhancing Effectiveness and Financial Sustainability of Protected Areas"** (PA Financing Project) is being implemented by the Department of Wildlife and National Parks (DWNP) in Malaysia. In addition to DWNP, the other two key agencies: Johor National Parks Corporation (JNPC) and Perak State Parks Corporation (PSPC) were functioned as Implementing Partners (IPs) for the project. The target project sites under the Project: Royal Belum State Park; Endau Rompin State Park and Taman Negara National Park which come under the jurisdiction of the three protected area agencies covers a total area of 597,858 million hectares. The Project officially started on 5 June 2012 – project document signature date. However, the actual implementation began in January 2013 with the appointment of Project Manager and spanned over a period of eight years till 3 June 2020.

The *objective* of the project is to establish a performance-based financing structure to support effective protected area system management in Malaysia. Interventions to achieve this objective are structured into three outcome components, designed to address barriers at the national network, sub-national network and site PA levels, as follows:

*Outcome 1:* Systemic and institutional capacities to manage and financially support a national PA system by addressing barriers at the national systems level to improve management effectiveness and financial sustainability of protected areas.

*Outcome 2:* Technical and institutional capacities to manage sub-national PA networks, including capacities for effective financial management by strengthening the sub-PA network capacity to be able to meet the management standards set under Outcome 1 so as to decrease funding gap of the PA network.

*Outcome 3:* Effective site-level PA management by improving basic PA management capacities where required and will also enhance the management and business planning skills of PA managers, to enable the PA system to maximize revenue generation and to streamline costs. Three of the most critical PAs, namely Taman Negara National Park, Endau-Rompin National Park, and Royal Belum State Park, are targeted under this component.

#### Performance and Achievement of Results

The terminal evaluation (TE) was conducted from January to June 2020. In-terms of achievements of major outputs the Project's performance is not encouraging particularly in relation to performancebased financing for conservation. The lack of progress is due to the institutional complexities encountered during the lifetime of the Project. Despite of these challenging circumstances the Project made significant gains in a number of areas, which is being elaborated in following paragraphs.

Objective of the Project: <u>Establishing a performance-based financing structure to support effective</u> <u>Protected Area system management in Malaysia</u> According to TE it is moderately satisfactory performance. The Project was instrumental in establishing operating guidelines for National Conservation Trust Fund (NCTF – formed by Government in 2015) incorporating elements of performance-based criteria in awarding the grants. In 2019, the Government announced additional financial allocation (Ecological Fiscal Transfer) through annual budgetary process as an incentive to State Governments to protect and expand existing natural forest reserves and protected areas; and the Project's support to the finalization of the PA Master List with data on protected area coverage across the country initially was considered as a basis for funding appropriation. Despite of these intervention, however, both vehicles fell short of expectations and were unable to develop into effective funding mechanisms as envisioned in the Project objective, partly due to Government's budgeting and administrative system.

# OUTCOMES:

<u>Outcome 1: Systemic and institutional capacities to manage and financially support a national PA</u> system by addressing barriers at the national systems level to improve management effectiveness and financial sustainability of protected areas - Moderately Satisfactory. Significant efforts taken at National level: i) Publication of PA Master List and ii) Adoption of National Framework for Protected Area (NFPA). The PA Master List serves as the single official source to represent the country's PA coverage locally and internationally: whilst, NFPA with considerable and detail-oriented effort on: identifying priority gaps, setting / adopting common PA standards, articulating key NFPA strategic actions and stakeholders, and establishing coordination mechanisms for NFPA implementation. However, lack of progress in advancing one of the core products such as The Economics of Ecosystems and Biodiversity (TEEB) study and Sustainable Financing Framework is a setback on the overall achievement of this Outcome during the lifetime of the Project. Nevertheless, process of developing both outputs are ongoing and will be materialized after the Project with the support of UNDP CO.

Outcome 2: Technical and institutional capacities to manage sub-national PA networks, including capacities for effective financial management - Highly Satisfactory. The success of this outcome is strongly reflected in the outputs and results delivered and the impacts made at the PA management authorities throughout the lifetime of the Project. DWNP as the IP played a key role, making conscious efforts from the beginning to invest heavily in both institutional and professional capacities in subnational PA networks. Some of the early success includes establishment of dedicated six weeks training programme for PA front-liners: Effective Protected Area Management (EPAM) conducted at the Institute of Biodiversity (IBD). Whilst **this effort helped to lay the foundation for DWNP's future vision of** transforming IBD into a National Training Center, it also helped the Project to extend its support to Sabah and Sarawak. The Project supported 25 officers to attend specialized 3 months training in Wildlife Institute of India (WII); and the graduates subsequently appointed as resource persons and teaching faculty to develop contents and delivery of EPAM. In addition, a series of other trainings locally and internationally, organized and supported by the Project equipped key stakeholders from across the country with the technical and soft skills, awareness and qualifications to better implement and management of PAs. The content of these trainings was exhaustive, covering everything from nurturing

leadership, patrolling, use of equipment and approaches to community engagement. Institutional strengthening approach was further enhanced through review of PA enactments at the State level, addressing the gaps and weaknesses in the current legal framework enabling PA authorities for effective management.

<u>Outcome 3: Effective site-level PA management</u> - Satisfactory. Key outputs include completion of Management and Business Plans for the three target sites. The Management Plans were adopted by the respective PA authorities and with the support of Project, some of the strategies have been implemented. For both Taman Negara and Endau Rompin, the Plans are at different stages of **incorporation into DWNP's and JNPC's annual work plans; whilst the Project extended additional** support to PSPC to develop detailed implementation framework for Royal Belum. Another notable outcome is the adoption of National Template for METT. This output was leveraged from the experience and lessons gained through conducting METT assessment as part of Project monitoring and evaluation process. Overall, these interventions have been constructive in communicating the key PA management imperatives to the PA authorities and State Governments, that the need to have well defined and structured management plans; legal framework; and performance tracking tool.

# PROJECT OUTPUTS

#### Deliverable of Outputs:

#### Outputs delivered throughout Project's life-time ranges from:

- i) Policy intervention notes; programme development in support of conservation efforts at Federal Government: a) NFPA; b) PA Master List; c) Operating Guidelines for NCTF and; d) Strategic Plan and Resource Mobilization Strategy for NCTF.
- ii) Institutional strengthening at sub-national level: a) IBD Transformation Blueprint; b) Establishment of EAPM Training; c) PSPC Enactment review and legislative gap analysis for DWNP and JNPC.; and d) Sustainable Finance Plans for 3 PA agencies.
- Site levels management reinforcement: a) Management Plans for the 3 PAs; b) National METT template; c) site specific capacity building and training; d) development of SOP for enforcement and training; and d) provision and installation of equipment to support patrolling and enforcement.
- iv) Communication materials advancing PAs images: a) Visitors Guides for Taman Negara and Endau Rompin; b) Trail maps for all 3 PAs.
- v) Knowledge sharing sessions: a) Two national PA managers conferences and Regional Forum on Sustainable Financing for Conservation; c) three gatherings and knowledge exchange for rangers throughout the country during World Rangers' Day.

- vi) Local community engagement: Nature guide and additional livelihood training for local communities in Endau Rompin.
- vii) Capacity development and competency upliftment: opportunities provided to attend numerous training programmes locally and internationally addressing variety of subject matters ranging a) specialized training programme in WII, India; b) nurturing leadership for PA front-liners; c) patrolling and enforcement; and d) use of advanced technology.

#### COLLABORATION WITH PARALLEL INITIATIVES

Two other parallel initiatives were overlapped with lifetime of PA Financing Project: i) Improving Connectivity for the Central Forest Spine Landscape project (IC-CFS) funded by GEF and; ii) UNDP Biodiversity Finance Initiative (BIOFIN) with some common and complementing components amongst the three. PA Financing leveraged on IC-CFS particularly for community engagement in Endau Rompin, whereby both Projects joined forces to conduct training programme for the community in creating additional income generating opportunities through eco-tourism activities. The planning methodology for conservation financing introduced through BIOFIN was further expanded to train PA Financing Project stakeholders and the data collected on Biodiversity Expenditure Review (BER) and Finance Needs Analysis (FNA) formed valuable inputs to the development of Sustainable Finance Plans.

# KEY OBSERVATIONS AND LESSONS

- 1. The project was relatively successful to varying degrees in realizing its core objective and three associated outcomes The Project's interventions generally have been foundational and the results and impacts more profound at PA authorities and site levels. At the Federal level the gains were less impactful due to the institutional complexities and jurisdictional authority between Federal and State governments over the management of PAs and wildlife.
- 2. Both PSPC and JNPC appreciated greatly that their level of understanding, skills and competency in-terms PA management have improved considerably through the Project; and for DWNP the Project provided additional avenue to enhance its competency.
- 3. On the progress and achievement of Project's objectives:
  - i. In-terms of "Institutional Strengthening", Project's impacts were tangible and visible at implementing partners and site levels;
  - However, on "Sustainable Financing" the progress was not up to expectations due to:
     a) in Malaysia's context the notion of performance-based financing is at its infancy, thus lack of clarity and understanding in-terms of stated objectives and planned interventions; b) delay in initiating related key activities such as TEEB and Sustainable Financing Study; c) facing bottlenecks to make in-road into existing Government budgetary framework.

- 4. In-terms of gender and community engagement, wherever there were entry points, the Project has taken the necessary initiatives to engage the local communities and orang asli. The impacts were visible for communities around Endau Rompin in Johor, compared to other project sites: Taman Negara, Pahang; and Royal Belum, Perak.
- 5. The Project's success is could be attributed largely to the strong commitment and ownership shown by the main implementing partner (IP) Department of Wildlife and National Parks (DWNP). As the main designated IP, DWNP was expected to spearhead the whole Project which include two other agencies JNPC and PSPC. However, this arrangement posed considerable challenge at the beginning, though DWNP is a Federal agency responsible for managing Taman Negara, but JNPC and PSPC are State agencies responsible for managing Endau Rompin and Royal Belum respectively, with their own institutional system and decision-making mandates, thus in practical sense the Project had to deal with three separate PA authorities. To communicate and familiarize all the key stakeholders at the three PA authorities and State Governments on the Project's intended interventions, the Management Team had to spend extra time and take additional steps, which resulted in some delays in initiating Project's activities in Johor and Perak.
- 6. From the turnover perspective, the Project encountered several notable changes during its lifetime. This include changes of personnel within Project Management Team; National Project Directors; Executive Heads at project partners in Johor and Perak, but the most crucial one was the change of Federal government in 2018 and subsequently in 2020. Ministerial changes and portfolio adjustments as a result of government changes, to some extent had dampened **Project's efforts** to institutionalize its results into federal government policy framework and ensuring ownership and sustainability. Despite of these challenges, the Project continued to make encouraging progress at site and PA **authorities'** levels by refocusing the priorities at site levels and capitalizing on the opportunities presented at participating States level.
- 7. Towards the tail-end, the Project is faced with unexpected challenges since mid-March 2020, arising from Covid-19 pandemic and ensued lockdown which restricts stringently movement of Project staff and stakeholders from participating in Project related activities. This necessitated Management Team to review AWP 2020 and planned activities for the last quarter before the Project is closed officially on 3 June 2020. As a result, a number of activities were cancelled; and for some other activities such as TEEB survey and CLE training for PSPC, switching to digital platform using on-line services.

#### PROJECT FINANCE

**The Project's finance derived** mainly from two channels: direct cash contribution by GEF and cofinancing and in-kind contributions by Government through participating IP and project partners. GEF contribution amounted to USD 5.6 million and expected co-financing and in-kind contribution from Government was USD 13.3 million. Towards end of Project, in-kind contribution was estimated around US 19.2 million surpassing the initial estimates. The co-financing and in-kind contributions were in the forms of development expenditure, time spent by the counterparts and services rendered by them in support of Project; provision of office facilities and infrastructure; hospitality during meetings and consultation sessions; and participation in conferences and training. However, the IP and project partners decided to attribute the one-off infrastructure investment made at the site levels during 2015 – 2016, as complementary to Projects' interventions which is reflected in the final co-financing and inkind contribution estimates. In terms of GEF grant, the Project managed to achieve about 95% expenditure of USD 5.6 million, at the end of Project.

#### INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENT

The Project was governed efficiently by well-structured institutional arrangements at various levels. The National Steering Committee (NSC) chaired by KATS (formerly NRE) served as the highest decision-making body providing strategic direction for the implementation of the Project, and the Project Management Unit (PMU) was entrusted with the responsibility of day-to-day operation of the Project in coordination with UNDP CO. The support rendered by DWNP as designated IP and the commitments assumed by National Project Director (NPD) were pivotal in ensuring the smooth functioning of the Project for the past eight years.

### CONCLUSION

The Project was aimed at establishing a performance-based financing structure to support effective protected area system management in Malaysia. Interventions to achieve this objective were structured into three outcome components and entailed to effect systemic changes to address barriers at the national network, sub-national network and site PA levels.

At the end of Project, overall, it made gains particularly at the level of the protected areas and, to a lesser extent, at sub-national level. But at federal level achievements were less significant.

On the whole, the project design was strong, and the project remained relevant with GEF priorities and closely aligned specifically with National Policy on Biodiversity (NPBD) (2016- 2025). The project's outcomes were ambitious, as they aimed to address changes at the three levels simultaneously. Management of protected areas is delegated to a number of different organizations (federal and State agencies) and there is no single protected area management authority or "owner". Within the existing governing structure and with PA authorities' own mandates for decision-making, creating synergy and convergence at the three levels has been the major challenge.

The associated assumptions and level of risks, especially relating to institutional complexities were beyond the capacity of Project to mitigate, and persistently dampened potentials impacts of the Project. The challenges were further exacerbated by Project's attempt to introduce two major and relatively new concepts of business planning and performance-based financing for PA and conservation sector, resulted in failing to achieve them.

Despite of these challenges, the Project has been foundational initiative and contributed significantly in several key areas. One of the areas is on the relevance and adoption of various economic instruments and sustainable financing mechanisms to enhance management of biodiversity and PA. This was echoed by one of Ministry's officials:

*"The Project has helped us recognize the importance of economic instruments to incentivize States to undertake biodiversity conservation, and the Ministry has proposed that EFT implementation to be strengthened in future, including through the establishment of quality indicators and through a Measurement, Reporting and Verification (MRV) mechanism."* 

The other key outputs: NFPA; PA Master List; National METT Template; six weeks EPAM training have been pioneer initiatives attempting to create some level of synergies at national scale amongst different PA management agencies. Some other initiatives though not elaborated in the Project design have yielded fruitful results arising from adaptive management exercised by the Project from the beginning. This included decision to extend Project support to Sabah and Sarawak enabled broader engagement and participation of PA agencies and stakeholders from those regions. The "Gap Analysis" conducted on the respective Enactments of DWNP, JNPC and PSPC during the development of Management Plans for the three Parks established the basis for them to review and enhance the enactments. Based on the gap analysis PSPC proceeded with enacting a new "Enactment" with the support of the Project.

In many respects the PA Financing Project was ground-breaking and the first of its kind in Malaysia, however, sustaining and having ownership of those outputs and results amongst key stakeholders, particularly at federal level, remains a major concern. Perhaps, prospects are much brighter at site and sub-national levels, as there are people now doing something different from what they were doing **before; as such there is a shift from the "business as usual" to a new mindset.** 

#### PROJECT INFORMATION

#### PROJECT RELEVANCE

Malaysia is considered as one of 17 of the world's mega-diverse countries with many endemic species of flora and fauna. The flora of Malaysia is estimated to comprise about 15,000 species. The fauna of Peninsular Malaysia includes over 200 species of mammals and 400 species of resident birds. Almost 90% of terrestrial biological species in Malaysia occur within natural forests. However, Malaysia's rapid economic development in recent decades has caused loss of forest ecosystems through conversion into agricultural lands and urban areas.

Recognizing the challenge of balancing development and conservation priorities, Malaysia has established a network of protected areas (PAs) for the protection of biodiversity. In Peninsular Malaysia PAs cover 13.2% of land area, which are managed by either Federal or State governments. Most of the PAs were established prior to the country's Independence in 1957. In the Third Malaysia Plan 1976-1980, it was proposed 22 new PAs be formed in Peninsular Malaysia (Malaysia 1975). To date, however, some of the proposed PAs have not been fully established such as the Ulu Muda Wildlife Reserve in Kedah, Mersing Nature Monument in Johor, and Sungai Nenggiri Wildlife Reserve in Kelantan. More recently, the National Policy on Biological Diversity 2016 – 2025 aims to achieve 20% of land under PAs.

#### PROBLEMS THAT THE PROJECT SEEKS TO ADDRESS

While increasing the area under PA status continues to be a challenge, the management of the existing PAs are constrained by a few sustainable financing barriers. In general, government expenditures for environmental management and nature conservation are lower than other areas of public policy. Malaysia in a recent study was highlighted as the 7th in terms of underfunding for biodiversity conservation compared to other countries (Waldron et al 2013). As PAs in Malaysia continue to depend heavily on government funding sources from both state and federal agencies, resource commitment in the form of government funding is imperative to ensure the effective management of PAs and **conservation of the nation's biodiversity heritage. Concurrently, inv**estments are needed to facilitate a transformational change to diversify funding sources to support PA management over the long term.

The project aims to address sustainable financing barriers at three levels—namely at *national systems* level, at the *sub-national PA network* level, as well as at the *site* level.

At the national systems level, barriers include:

- Mismatch in the costs and benefits of establishing PAs, between national and sub-national government authorities; and
- lack of consistency, comparability and complementarities amongst different sub-national PA networks and individual sites, which hinders the creation of an effective, representative and well-managed national system.

At the sub-national PA network level, barriers include:

- Fragmented planning and management structures, with unclear and overlapping jurisdictions;
- Absence of clear mechanisms for trans-boundary planning and cooperation, or management of trans-boundary PAs;
- Lack of integration between PAs and broader landscape-level land-use and national development planning; and
- Fragmented and inconsistent financial planning and budgetary allocation systems.

At the site level, barriers include:

- Inadequate technical and professional management capacities; and
- Lack of systems, policies and mechanisms for PA revenue generation or effective revenue recovery.

The Project is fully consistent with key biodiversity policy documents namely the National Policy on Biological Diversity, 2016-2025 and the Common Vision on Biodiversity 2009. In 2016, Malaysia revised its 1998 Policy on Biological Diversity (NPBD) in line with the Global Biodiversity Aichi Targets with a view to halt biodiversity loss. The current policy has 17 targets and has a clear provision and target on **PAs. Target 6 specifies that "By** 2025, at least 20% of terrestrial areas and inland waters, and 10% of coastal and marine areas, are conserved through a representative system of protected areas and other effective area-**based conservation measures"**. In addition, inter alia, it also highlights the need to establish a Framework for a National PA System by 2018, establishment of a PA Master list, and the recognition of Community Conserved Areas (CCAs) as part of national PA System and encourage the participation of indigenous and local communities in CCA.

| Project Title                     | Enhancing Effectiveness and Financial Sustainability of Protected Areas in Malaysia |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| UNDP Project ID                   | 3967  | PIF Approval Date 30 March 2010                    |                         |  |  |  |  |
| GEF Project ID                    | 3906  | CEO Endorsement<br>Date                            | 30 March 2012           |  |  |  |  |
| Atlas Award ID                    | 00066114  | Atlas Project ID                                   | 00082355                |  |  |  |  |
| Country                           | Malaysia  | ProDoc Signature<br>Date                           | 5 June 2012             |  |  |  |  |
| Region:                           | Asia and the Pacific  |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| GEF Focal<br>Area/Strategic       | Biodiversity  |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| Objective                         | GEF-4 Strategic<br>Programs:<br>BD1-SP1-PA Financing<br>BD1-SP3-PA Networks         |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| UNDP Malaysia CPAP<br>Outcome     | Sustainable & Resilient<br>Development  |  | Country Programme       |  |  |  |  |
| National Development<br>Objective | Pursuing Green<br>Growth for<br>Sustainability and<br>Resilience                    | 11 <sup>th</sup> Malaysia Plan<br>Strategic Thurst |                         |  |  |  |  |
| GEF Implementing<br>Agency        | UNDP  |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| Implementing<br>Partner           | Assigned by KATS:<br>Department of Wildlife a<br>Implementation Modalit             |  | VNP) through a National |  |  |  |  |

# Table 1: Project Data Sheet

|                  | Project partners:<br>Johor National Parks Corporation; and<br>Perak State Parks Corporation                   |
|------------------|---|
| Executing Entity | Ministry of Water, Land and Natural Resources (KATS) - Formerly Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment |

### PROJECT MILESTONES

**The Project's important milestones are as shown in Table** 2. Though the Project was signed on 5 June 2012, which marks the official commencement of the Project, however, administratively started in January 2013 with the appointment of Project Manager.

# Table 2: The Project Timeline

| Milestone                           | Date                   |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| PIF Approval                        | 30 March 2010          |
| Project Approved for Implementation | 29 March 2012          |
| CEO Endorsement                     | 30 March 2012          |
| UNDP Prodoc signed                  | 5 June 2012            |
| Implementation commences            | 5 June 2012            |
| Project Manager starts              | January 2013           |
| Inception Phase                     | March – September 2013 |
| Mid-Term Review Completion          | December 2017          |
| Project Operational Closure         | 3 June 2020            |
| Terminal Evaluation Completion      | July 2020              |

#### PROJECT INCEPTION PHASE

The Inception Phase played pivotal role in charting the implementation roadmap for the Project. It was conducted for six months from March – September 2013, and final report was adopted by National Steering Committee (NSC) in October 2013. A series of consultations were held engaging wide range of stakeholders. Key basis for consultations were to ensure that Project was able to deliver end-of- project targets in consideration of existing political; policy and institutional environment for managing PAs; and to keep the related activities corresponding to Project's outputs; outcomes and objective to a manageable and achievable level. As a result, the Strategic Results Framework (SRF) was assessed methodically and changes were proposed to the outputs, related activities, end of project targets and indicators stated in SRF without altering the Project's "Objective" and "Outcomes". Accordingly, appropriate amendments were made to some activities; some were dropped, and some were merged with similar activities amongst the outputs. One of the important amendments included to extend **Project's support to Sabah and Sarawak** beyond the original scope of Project.



Group Photo PAFP Inception Report Team, October 2013

Figure 1: Project Sites



#### PROJECT FINANCE

**The Project's finance** derived mainly from two channels: direct cash contribution by GEF and, cofinancing and in-kind contributions by Government through participating IP and project partners as shown in Table 3. GEF contribution amounted to USD 5. 6 million and expected in-kind contribution from Government was USD 13.3 million. Towards end of Project, co-financing and in-kind contribution was estimated around USD 19.2 million surpassing the initial estimates. The co-financing and in-kind contributions were in the forms of development expenditure, time spent by the counterparts and services rendered by them in support of Project; provision of office facilities and infrastructure; hospitality during meetings and consultation sessions; and participation in conferences and training. However, the IP and project partners decided to attribute the one-off infrastructure investment made at the site levels during 2015 – **2016, as complementary to Projects' interventions which is reflected in** the final in-kind contribution estimates. In-terms of GEF grant, the Project managed to achieve about 95% expenditure of US5.6 million, at the end of Project.

| Project Financing      | at CEO endorsement (USD) | At Closure (USD) |
|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| [1] GEF Financing      | 5,600,000                | 5,300,000        |
| [2] UNDP Contribution  | 100,000                  | -                |
| [3] Government         | 13,300,000               | 19,200,000       |
| [4] Other partners     |                          |                  |
| [5] Total co-financing | 13,400,000               | 19,200,000       |
| TOTAL PROJECT COSTS    | 19,000,000               |                  |

### Table 3: Project Financing

#### PROJECT PERFORMANCE AND KEY RESULTS

#### OBJECTIVE AND/OR OUTCOME LEVEL

The analysis on the progress of Project's objective and outcomes is measured against the end of Project targets and indicators as stated in SRF. The Prodoc has established 17 indicators corresponding to **Project's Objective and 3 Outcomes to gauge the end**-of-project achievements. During Mid-Term Review (MTR) it was observed that: 4 end-of-targets were achieved; 7 partially/on-going; and 6 at risk. At the Terminal Evaluation (TE) gradual progress have been observed: 8 end-of-targets achieved; 4 partially/on-going; and 5 not achieved.

# OBJECTIVE: TO ESTABLISH A PERFORMANCE-BASED FINANCING STRUCTURE TO SUPPORT EFFECTIVE PROTECTED AREA (PA) SYSTEM MANAGEMENT IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA

The Project was instrumental in establishing operating guidelines for National Conservation Trust Fund (NCTF – formed by Government in 2015) incorporating elements of performance-based criteria in awarding the grants. In 2019, the Government announced additional financial allocation through annual budgetary process as an incentive to State Governments to protect and expand existing natural forest reserves and protected areas; and the Project's support to the finalization of the PA Master List with data on protected area coverage across the country initially was considered as a basis for funding appropriation. Despite of these interventions, however, the performance-based financing structure was not able to be institutionalized into Federal and State governments budgetary systems, for reasons:

- Both vehicles NCTF and EFT fell short of expectations and were unable to develop into effective funding mechanisms as envisioned in the Project document. Lack of readiness on the **Government's part to explore these mechanisms** with potential to complement government budgetary framework for conservation initiatives.
- Lack of understanding on the concept and application of performance-based financing on a national scale further exacerbated by bureaucratic bottlenecks arising from diverse management structure and institutional arrangements over management of PAs and conservation areas between Federal and State Governments.

#### Indicator 1: Increase in the Government investment in PA management operation.

Both operational and development budgets peaked in 2014 above the end of project targets and subsequently dropped in 2015 as a result of economic slowdown. Due to the Federal-State institutional arrangements, budgets for DWNP are sourced from Federal funding while budgets for JNPC and PSPC especially operational budgets are sourced from the respective states. In addition, PA budgets are still subject to fluctuations as business as usual scenario continues to persist without any institutional changes in terms of sustainable finance. Figure 2 and 3, illustrated trends in both operating and development budgets for DWNP, JNPC and PSPC.

### Figure 2: Operating Budget Allocation



### Figure 3: Development Budget Allocation



#### Indicator 2: Financial sustainability scorecards for the PA networks.

The requirement was to conduct two assessments: one in during mid-term and final one towards the end of Project to compare with the baseline established during the Project formulation phase in 2010. However, the Project managed to conduct additional two assessments involving broad participation of IPs and relevant stakeholders. The financial sustainability scorecard rating is presented in Table 4.

# Table 4: Financial Sustainability Scores

| Financial Sustainability Score                                |      | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | Target |
|---|------|------|------|------|------|------|--------|
| Taman Negara / DWNP   | DWNP | 50%  | 41%  | 56%  | 49%  | 52%  | 60%    |
| Endau Rompin State Park / Johor National Parks<br>Corporation | JNPC | 44%  | 41%  | 40%  | 37%  | 34%  | 55%    |
| Royal Belum State Park / Perak State Parks Corporation        | PSPC | 40%  | 29%  | 43%  | 47%  | 51%  | 50%    |

Both DWNP and JNPC registered lower scores than the expected at the end of Project. This shows their continued dependency on government allocation which is subjected to Federal and State Governments decisions and overall fiscal position of the economy. PSPC was able to enhance its financial position through imposition of new conservation fee; increasing entrance fee for Royal Belum; and additional fee collection from boat operators in Lake Temenggor.

Outcome 1 (National Level): Systematic & Institutional Capacities to manage and financially support a national PA System.

The Project made significant progress in achieving two key outputs: i) Establishment of the policy framework for the National PA system; and; ii) Integrated PA Information and performance monitoring system. However, lack of progress in advancing two other core outputs: i) The Economics of Ecosystems and Biodiversity (TEEB) for Protected Areas in Peninsular Malaysia and, ii) Sustainable Finance Plans for three PA agencies, mitigated the overall achievement of this Outcome. Nevertheless, process of developing both outputs are on-going and will be materialized after the Project with the support of UNDP CO.

# Indicator 1: Establishment of the policy framework for the National PA system.

The NFPA is the main output aimed at: i) adoption of standardized categorization of PAs based on IUCN categories; ii) harmonizing management principles and approaches; and iii) create a common scheme with standardized capacity building programme for PA personnel. The process of establishing the NFPA created a common platform to bring together all the key stakeholders including Sabah and Sarawak. NFPA was adopted by KATS in February 2020 and agreed to provide initial financial support to initiate the working groups at the three regions exploring further on the implementing mechanism.

# Indicator 2: Integrated PA information and performance monitoring system

The publication of "A Master List of Protected Area in Malaysia: A Tool for National Biodiversity Conservation, Management and Planning" marked a significant milestone in the official compilation of terrestrial and marine protected areas in the country. Whilst, the List helps to enhance governance and management of protected areas in the country, it also will eliminate inconsistencies and inaccuracies in the official reporting of PAs, by harmonizing the definition and categorization of Pas amongst the many different agencies involved, thus streamlining relevant policies and programmes. The List provides an official baseline for both terrestrial and marine protected area coverage until 30 June 2016. Future changes in the coverage will be reflected through periodical reviews in continuous consultation with relevant stakeholders.

# Indicator 3: Financial incentive system based on primarily on performance indices

A financial incentives system based on performance-based indices yet to be established. NCTF was considered a mechanism with potential for introducing performance-based financing. The Project supported the establishment of **"operating guidelines" for NCTF which incorporated some elements** of performance-based financing. Further support extended to develop i) Strategic Plan; and, ii) Resource

Mobilization Strategy producing a sustainability roadmap for NCTF. The outputs were delivered to KATS in January 2020. Datapoints developed on the coverage of PAs in the country (PA Master List), initially was considered as basis for determining the quantum for appropriating the budget allocation from Federal to States in 2019 announced by Government in 2018. However, the prevalent systemic issues amongst the Federal and State Governments hampered any further progress in this area.

# Indicator 4: National PAs system mainstreamed in the budgeting process for 5-year Malaysia Plan:

In 5-year Malaysia Plans, there is no dedicated budget line has been created specific for PAs. The current budget allocation is estimated about 1% (MYR 2 billion) of the annual budget which is made based on programmes and projects carried out by key agencies responsible for biodiversity conservation. The TEEB study will be instrumental to providing the business case for increased investment and a dedicated budget line in the long term.

# Indicator 5: **Increased number of "bankable" projects in support of PA manageme**nt approved for funding through operational grants.

The framework for performance monitoring has yet to be established. Though NCTF has been identified as an avenue for integrating the performance-based financing system, the NCTF in its existing form is unable to support the system mainly due to the lack of sustainable source of funds and limited capacity to manage the Fund. In Malaysia's context, the notion of "performance-based financing" still at its infancy, thus lacking deliberation on the definition, purpose, scope and its impact.

Outcome 2: Technical and Institutional Capacities to manage sun-national PA networks, including capacities for effective financial management

Outcome 2 aims at building technical and institutional capacity to manage sub-national PA networks including capacity for effective financial management. The success of this outcome is strongly reflected in the outputs and results delivered and the impacts made at the PA management authorities. DWNP as the IP played a key role, making conscious efforts from the beginning to invest heavily in both institutional and professional capacities in sub-national PA networks. Some of the early success includes establishment of dedicated six weeks training programme for PA front-liners: Effective Protected Area Management (EPAM) conducted at the Institute of Biodiversity (IBD). Whilst this effort helped to lay the foundation for DWNP's future vision of transforming IBD into a National Training Center, it also helped the Project to extend its support to Sabah and Sarawak. The Project supported 25 officers to attend specialized 3 months training in Wildlife Institute of India (WII); and the graduates subsequently appointed as resource persons and teaching faculty to develop contents and delivery of EPAM. In addition, a series of other trainings locally and internationally, organized and supported by the Project equipped key stakeholders from across the country with the technical and soft skills, awareness and qualifications to better implement and management of PAs. The content of these trainings was exhaustive, covering everything from nurturing leadership, patrolling, use of equipment and approaches to community engagement. Institutional strengthening approach was further enhanced through review of PA enactments, addressing the gaps and weaknesses in the current legal framework enabling PA authorities for effective management.

# Indicator 1: Financing gap decreased by at least 25 % in the target PA sub-networks (PSPC, DWNP, JNPC)

The funding for DWNP registered marginal increase in 2014 as reflected in financial scorecards analysis. Compared to baseline score of 50% in 2010, it rose to 56% in 2014; and declined to 52% in 2019, eight points lower than the expected end of project results. For JNPC the performance not encouraging, as the scores declined to 34% in 2019 (way short-off expected 55%), compared to the baseline score of 44%. This is mainly due to their continued dependency on government allocation which is subjected to Federal and State Governments decisions and overall fiscal position of the economy. However, PSPC was able to enhance its financial position through imposition of new conservation fee; increasing entrance fee for Royal Belum; and additional fee collection from boat operators in Lake Temenggor. PSPC only agency able to exceed the target by scoring 51% than the expected 50%. When endorsed by the respective Park agencies, sustainable financing plans are expected to provide guidance on how PA agencies can improve their financial planning tools and address financing gaps for Taman Negara, Royal Belum and Endau-Rompin.

# Indicator 2: Increase in capacity development indicator score (%) for three target sub-national PA networks: DWNP; PSPC and JNPC

Over the Project period, all three agencies registered improved performance in-terms of capacity development and knowledge building due to the concerted interventions made by the Project in providing various training opportunities to meet the general and specific needs of PA agencies including the states of Sabah and Sarawak. Table 5 illustrates the progress made by PA agencies.

# Table 5: Capacity Development Scores

| Capacity Development Score                                    |      | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | Target |
|---|------|------|------|------|------|------|--------|
| Taman Negara / DWNP   | DWNP | 61%  | 58%  | 64%  | 66%  | 73%  | 70%    |
| Endau Rompin State Park / Johor National Parks<br>Corporation | JNPC | 61%  | 58%  | 64%  | 65%  | 69%  | 70%    |
| Royal Belum State Park / Perak State Parks Corporation        | PSPC | 45%  | 49%  | 55%  | 66%  | 67%  | 55%    |

Indicator 3: Number of PAs successfully meeting national management criteria and accessing performance-based financial transfers from the Federal system.

National management criteria for PAs management yet to be established. Though both NCTF and EFT were considered having potentials to incorporate performance-based financial transfer, but both were unable to be scaled-up, **despite of Project's interventions**. KATS has indicated its plans to form a working group to build **upon on the Project's inputs and** to oversee the development of performance measurement criteria/indicators to support PA authorities and States. In this regard, adoption of national template on METT will help to provide further inputs into development of performance measurement criteria.

# Indicator 4: Economic and financial planning capacity institutionalized in the three sub-national PA network agencies.

Under the existing government management structure and rigid rules pertaining to "head-count", it is not feasible to establish a dedicated unit in PA agencies and States for revenue diversification to complement government funding for conservation.

In collaboration with BIOFIN, Project introduced biodiversity finance planning methodology to PA networks and State governments. Through the study on Sustainable Finance Plans, awareness and knowledge of PA agencies further enhanced on the options and processes for identifying feasible mechanisms for revenue diversification. JNPC has taken initiatives to create a business development unit, though presently not staffed due to resource constraint. DWNP has agreed to strengthen existing finance division by creating dedicated positions to look after conservation finance planning.

# Indicator 5: Coordination between the sub-PA network agencies.

Coordination between the various PA agencies have been strengthened through both formal and informal channels, including through regular meetings; formal governance bodies, consultations and trainings, workshops and knowledge sharing sessions. The collaboration between the 3 PA networks (DWNP, JNPC and PSPC) showed marked improvement during the Project period contributing effectively for successful implementation of Project activities at PA agencies and site levels. However, coordination amongst Terengganu, Kelantan and Pahang (for Taman Negara) lacked progress, as the Project needed to seek assistance of DWNP and KATS.

# Outcome 3: Effective Site Level Management

Under this outcome, the Project made considerable progress. Key outputs include completion of Management and Business Plans for the three target sites. The Management Plans were adopted by the respective PA authorities and with the support of Project some of the strategies have been implemented. For both Taman Negara and Endau Rompin, the Plans are at different stages of **incorporation into DWNP's and JNPC's** annual work plans; whilst the Project extended additional support to PSPC to develop detailed implementation framework for Royal Belum. Another notable outcome is the adoption of National Template for METT. This output was leveraged from the experience and **lessons gained through conducting METT assessment as part of Project's M & E process** and the **impacts of Project's intervention is reflected the progressive improvement achieved in METT scores for** the three parks. Overall, these interventions have been constructive in communicating the key PA management imperatives to the PA authorities and State Governments, that the need to have well defined and structured management plans; legal framework; and performance tracking tool.

# Indicator 1: Number of PAs successfully meeting national management criteria and accessing performance-based financial transfers from the Federal system.

National level management criteria yet to be established. The Management Plans for three Parks: Taman Negara; Royal Belum State Park and Endau Rompin National Park have been finalized and adopted by DWNP; PSPC and JNPC, respectively. The Project provided further support for the implementation of the Plans and for PSPC a detailed implementation framework for Royal Belum Management Plan is

developed. With the Project's support national template on METT is developed providing additional performance measurement tool at PAs level. It is envisaged that elements/criteria will help to establish framework for performance-based financial transfers. Knowledge and lessons gained from developing these tools were shared with Kedah State Government in its efforts to establish a new legal framework to effectively manage protected areas in Kedah including Ulu Muda forest reserve.

# Indicator 2: Improved management effectiveness as per METT scores for three target PAs.

Overall, all three Parks registered improved performance. The PA authorities acknowledge that the **Project's interventions have made the differences through the ou**tputs and results. PA agencies have come to appreciate the value as an evaluation tool, and national template on METT was produced in Malay language (Bahasa Melayu); and adopted it as national performance measurement tool for gauging management effectiveness of the PAs. The METT scores for the three Project sites are illustrated in Table 6.

# Table 6: METT Scores

| METT Scores   |      | 2010 | 2012 | 2014 | 2016 | 2018 | Target |
|---|------|------|------|------|------|------|--------|
| Taman Negara / DWNP   | DWNP | 73%  | 57%  | 75%  | 75%  | 76%  | 82%    |
| Endau Rompin State Park / Johor National Parks<br>Corporation | JNPC | 57%  | 54%  | 60%  | 68%  | 69%  | 68%    |
| Royal Belum State Park / Perak State Parks Corporation        | PSPC | 52%  | 54%  | 67%  | 68%  | 73%  | 65%    |

Indicator 3: Increase in gross revenue amount and revenue sources of the three demonstration PAs.

Both DWNP and PSPC registered upward trends in revenue generation from Taman Negara and Royal Belum.

For Taman Negara, the flow of tourists remained steady for the last few years, and additional revenue averaging about 10% mainly contributed by sales of Visitor's guide produced with Project support.

For Royal Belum, the gross annual revenue registered marked increase since 2017. PSPC capitalized on increasing entrance fee to the Park and introducing new fees. Since early 2017; entrance fee was increased by RM 5.00; imposed new conservation fee of RM 5.00; and further in end of 2017 a fee of RM 50.00 was imposed on each houseboat based on per entry into the park.

However, revenue generation for Endau Rompin in Johor experienced downward trend due to closure of Peta entrance for almost a year; and marginal reduction in entrance fee implemented by JNPC since 2018.

Indicator 4: Length of park patrolled per year, Number of patrolling programmes per year, Percentage of the area patrolled per year, Number of patrolling staff, number of illegal activity (including encroachment and poaching) cases within PA reported

The Project design did not provide provisions to make interventions to increase staff or frequency for patrolling activities. Supports were provided to enhance knowledge and skills of those involved in

patrolling and enforcement through training and other means such as SMART patrolling; training and SOP for enforcement, supply of camera traps and tele-communication equipment. Nevertheless, progress was monitored through activities carried out by the PA agencies. Since 2016, with support of Federal funding joint operations through 1MBEON programme involving a number of enforcements agencies including armed forces were carried out in all the three Parks. This helped to increase the frequency and areas covered, in addition to the regular patrolling exercises undertaken by PA agencies.

# Indicator 5: Tiger population as a flagship species in target PAs namely Taman Negara, Endau-Rompin National Park and Royal Belum State Park.

This indicator is not related directly to Project's performance. Progress is monitored through initiatives taken by PA authorities to estimate number of tigers. In 2014, the tiger population was estimated around 250 – 300. In 2018, Government launched National Survey on Tiger and the survey is in its final stage of completion. However, preliminary estimates on the number of tigers remaining in the three critical habitats in not encouraging. The Government in March 2019, has launched 'Save Malayan Tiger Campaign' that include: i) Fund raising through crowd sourcing and private sector donors ii) Mobilizing the general public to partake in the campaign through education and awareness raising, and iii) joint operations with armed forces to increase patrolling. An improved new facilities for tiger rehabilitation, "Wildlife Rehabilitation and Conservation Center" is being built in Lanchang, Pahang.

# OUTPUT LEVEL

Project managed to deliver a wide range of outputs, to a large extend achieving the targeted deliverables as stated in Project Document. The outputs are elaborated as follows:

- 1. Policy intervention notes; programme development in support of conservation efforts at Federal Government: a) NFPA; b) PA Master List; c) Operating Guidelines for NCTF and; d) Strategic Plan and Resource Mobilization Strategy for NCTF; e) TEEB of PA in Peninsular Malaysia.
  - The National Framework for Protected Areas (NFPA). The NFPA is the main output aimed at
    establishing a standardized framework for managing PAs in the country. NFPA emphasized
    on three aspects namely: i) adoption of standardized categorization of PAs based on IUCN
    categories; ii) harmonizing management principles and approaches; and iii) create a
    common scheme with standardized capacity building programme for PA personnel. The
    initiative to establish NFPA proved to be constructive providing a common platform for PA
    authorities including Sabah and Sarawak addressing the issues relating to management of
    PAs from national perspective, whilst each agency retaining its jurisdictional authority as
    provided in its respective legislative framework.
  - The Protected Area Master List. The List is the first official reference listing all the terrestrial and marine PAs representing the PA coverage in the country. The List provides an official baseline for both terrestrial and marine protected area coverage until 30 June 2016. Future changes in the coverage will be reflected through periodical reviews in continuous consultation with relevant stakeholders.
  - Operating Guidelines for NCTF. The NCTF (National Conservation Trust Fund) was established by Government in 2015 as complementary financing for conservation, in addition to Government allocation. The "Operating Guidelines" incorporating some elements performance-based financing criteria, developed by the Project was adopted by NRE to operationalize NCTF in 2015.
  - Strategic Plan & Resource Mobilization Strategy for NCTF. At the request of NRE, Project developed both documents and delivered to Ministry in January 2020. It is envisaged that these documents will help to chart the future direction of NCTF and ensuring long term sustainability of NCTF.
- 2. Institutional strengthening at sub-national level: a) IBD Transformation Blueprint; b) Establishment of EAPM Training; c) PSPC Enactment review and legislative Gap Analysis for DWNP and JNPC.; and d) Sustainable Finance Plans for 3 PA agencies.
  - IBD Transformation Blueprint. This output to assist DWNP in implementing the Government's Civil Service Transformation Strategies by establishing "centres of excellence" in specialized areas. The Institute of Biodiversity (IBD) was identified as with potential becoming "centre of excellence" for catering the needs and train competent manpower in conservation and wildlife management. Project made substantial investment conducting series of consultations engaging wide range of stakeholders charting the strategic direction for IBD transformation. As a result, IBD Transformation Blueprint was delivered to DWNP in January 2019.

- Specialized 6 weeks training course on "Effective Protected Area Management (EPAM)" established since 2015. This is one of the early success and pioneer efforts of the Project, training programme conducted by IBD opened to all PA agencies including Sabah and Sarawak. Project invested substantially providing training to the trainers and teaching faculty; consultation sessions to develop curriculum and course modules; supply of equipment and accessories; 22 units of laptops for training. Since 2015, five series of training have been conducted. From 2020, DWNP will continue with the training. Efforts are under way for the IBD to award certificates recognised by the Sijil Kemahiran Malaysia (Department of Skills Development) and has also partnered with a local technical university: University Technology Mara (UiTM) to make its offerings available as part of the academic institution's standard curriculum and will be offered as the Executive Protected Area Diploma Program.
- 3. Site levels management reinforcement: a) Management Plans for the 3 PAs; b) National METT template; c) site specific capacity building and training; d) development of SOP for enforcement and training; and d) provision and installation of equipment to support patrolling and enforcement.
  - Management Plans for three target sites: Taman Negara, Royal Belum and Endau Rompin delivered in 2017 and adopted by respective agencies in 2018. Detailed implementation plan for Royal Belum Management Plan delivered in May 2020.
  - National template on Management Effectiveness Tracking Tool (METT) developed in Bahasa Melayu developed and delivered to DWNP in March 2020. This template will be used as a general management performance measurement tool for all PAs in the country.
  - SOP for enforcement for Royal Belum developed and related training were conducted for PSPC officers in December 2019.
  - Supply and installation of communication equipment for Taman Negara and Royal Belum
- 4. Communication materials advancing PAs images: a) Visitors Guides for Taman Negara and Endau Rompin; b) Trail maps for all 3 PAs.



# Taman Negara: Visitors Guide and Trail Maps delivered in 2016

# Taman Negara Visitors' Guide

Kuala Tahan Trail Map



Page 28 of 130

• Endau Rompin: Trail Maps in 2018; Visitors Guide in March 2020



Endau Rompin Trail Maps (Peta Entrance)



Endau Rompin Trail Maps (Selai Entrance)



Endau – Rompin (Johor) National Park Visitors Guide

• Royal Belum: Trail Maps in 2019



• Taman Negara signages in 2017





Interpretative Panels



- 5. Knowledge Sharing sessions: a) Two national PA managers conferences; b) Regional Forum on Sustainable Financing for Conservation
  - Pioneer efforts providing a platform for PA managers and front-liners from throughout the country to gather. Two national PA managers' conference were organized: 2014 & 2016.
  - Regional Forum of Sustainable Financing in 2017. Participation included counterparts from Asia Pacific region; and resource persons and experts from various countries and international organizations.
- 6. Local community Engagement: Nature guide and additional livelihood training for local communities in Endau Rompin.
  - JNPC has successfully carried out several sessions of engagement with local communications around ERNP. Community engagement activities have been held in collaboration and coordination with PA Financing, IC-CFS and DWNP; summarized as follows:
    - The Nature Guide Training was held from 5 22 Sept 2017, in which 35 participants passed the exam and qualified for the nature guide license issued by the Ministry of Tourism and Culture (MOTAC). The application for the license with complete documentation was submitted to MOTAC in February 2018 and a nature guide license was issued by MOTAC in April 2018.



- In 2019, a Certificate of Tourism Related Education Course was held from 29th 20th March to facilitate the renewal of the nature guide license of Orang Asli from Kg. Peta and Selai. The event was organized by Johor City and Nature Guide Association with support from JNPC.
- Recce of Handicraft Development for Women Group of Kg. Peta was held from 19th 20th Nov 2017, which aimed to assess the potential of handicraft development in Kg. Peta and the quality of handicrafts from artisan in Kg. Peta. Handicraft items that were commonly produced included basket, mats, key chains etc., and these items were mainly made of rattan, resam fern, pandan leaf, mengkuang leaf etc.



- Technical Visit to Pulau Carey and the National Handicraft Day at Kuala Lumpur was held from 26th - 28th March 2018. The visit to Pulau Carey created a platform for experience sharing while allowing the Tompoq topoh group to promote community peer learning in handicraft development. The visit to NHD provided an opportunity for the woman group from Kg. Peta to observe that types and quality of crafts available in the market.
- Follow up from the Technical visit in March 2018, workshop on Handicraft Development Workshop for Women Group in Kg. Peta was held from 26th – 28th September 2018 in Kg. Peta. The workshop aimed to strengthen the dyeing skill and to enhance the quality of the handicrafts by Kg. Peta's artisan. Two trainers from Gerai Orang Asli and Tompoq Topoh Pulau Carey were engaged and a total of 10 artisans attended the dye workshop in Kg. Peta. It was observed that the variety and type of the handicraft design from artisans has increased compared to the first visit in Nov 2017. The active artisans continue to produce the handicrafts with a significant improvement on the workmanship and the choice of the color.



- Community Engagement, Krau Wildlife Reserve:
  - A dialogue session with the Orang Asli communities living adjacent to the Krau Wildlife Reserve (WR) was held in Taman Negara, Kuala Tahan in November 2018. Arising from a series of encroachment and poaching activities in the Krau Wildlife Reserve (WR), numbering 50 and 148 cases respectively out of 488 offenses recorded in 2017. A workshop was organized to effectively engage the local communities to identify their issues and challenges living in and within the Krau WR; to encourage a better understanding between the local communities and DWNP to mitigate these problems.
  - Total of 29 participants came from Kampung Berdut, Kampung Penderas, Kampung Pasu, Kampung Lubuk Wong, Kampung Pian, Kampung Terbol, Kampung Paya Rekoh, Kampung Enggang, Kampung Bayek and Kampung Senel. The participants were divided into 5 groups to discuss over 2 days on 1) What does Krau WR mean to them; 2) What are the issues and; 3) What are the solutions? The participants were also introduced to the concept of community-based eco-tourism (CBE) and visited Kampung

Dedari (a Batek village) and Kampung Gol (a Malay kampung) on the third day to see and learn from the ground the different models of running CBE.

- Series of sustainable Trail-Building and Maintenance Workshops involving PSPC staffs and local community in Royal Belum conducted in 2018, 2019 and 2020. Part 2 was in March 2019 (Perak)
- 7. Capacity development and competency upliftment: opportunities provided to attend numerous training programmes locally and internationally addressing variety of subject matters ranging: a) specialized training programme in WII, India; b) nurturing leadership for PA front-liners; c) patrolling and enforcement; and d) use of advanced technology.
  - Financial support for 22 participants to attend a 3 months training at the Wildlife Institute of India in Wildlife Management from XXXX to XXXX;
  - Participation in Korea National Parks Friendship Programme for 5 participants from XXXX to XXXX;
  - Exchange visits by the *Orang Asli* community to Sabah to be sensitized to community management and income generating opportunities;
  - Equipping the IBD with a dedicated training lab and outfitting it with computer terminals;
  - The joint training of Part 2 ArcGIS with hands-on practical for rangers of PSPC, JNPC and Perak DWNP was held from 28th Oct to 1st Nov 2018 at Petaling Jaya. This training marked the completion of the training syllabus for conservation planning in protected areas.
  - Joint training session on SMART patrolling, Wilderness first aid; the application of standard modules for communication via walky-talky involving rangers of JNPC, PSPC, and DWNP.



- Technical visits supported by the Project, including:
  - Technical missions to Smithsonian Institute in US in 2014; and Wildlife Institute of India in 2018.
  - Technical visit to Yayasan Sabah and Conservation Areas, for Johor stakeholders (Nov 5-9, 2018)
  - Technical Visit to Danum Valley and Kinabalu Park, Sabah for Perak Stakeholder (Jan 24-28, 2019)
  - Technical Visit to SUK Sabah, Maliau Basin and Forestry Sabah for Pahang Stakeholder (March 3-9, 2019)
  - The experience and benefits have been positive on all fronts.

The visits provided experience exchange on sustainable financing and implementation of finance support for Protected Areas in Sabah. They also enabled the stakeholders to learn best management practices on enhancing management and enforcement and improving government-private partnership and new marketing strategies.



Meeting with Forest Department in Kaziranga during Technical Mission to WII, India



Technical Visit to SUK Sabah, Maliau Basin and Forestry Sabah for Pahang Stakeholder

#### IMPLEMENTATION REVIEW

#### PROJECT GOVERNANCE/MANAGEMENT ARRANGEMENT

#### PROJECT GOVERNANCE

The Project established appropriate governance structure as per Project Document which are echoed in the Inception Report as well (illustrated in Figure 5). The project was to be executed under the NIM modality, with the Department of Wildlife and National Parks as the designated IP (one of its senior Director assigned as National Project Director) was responsible for efficient and effective use of project resources and achievement of the project objective and outcomes.

#### Figure 4: Project Governance Structure


## National Steering Committee

The National Steering Committee (NSC) was vested with the overall responsibility of providing guidance and advice on the implementation of the project to ensure delivery of targeted outputs and outcomes in line with the project objectives. Initially Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment (NRE) chaired the NSC, and since May 2018 it was Ministry of Water, Land and Natural Resources (KATS) with the change of Government. The NSC meets at least twice a year, and throughout Project period it has met **10 times. At the participating State Governments level in Perak and Johor, the Project's interventions** were overseen by State Coordinating Committees chaired by State Economic Planning Units. These committees met at least once a year. The NSC and State Coordination Committees was supported by PMU on the planning and execution of Project activities.

### Project Management Unit

The Project Management Unit (PMU) played the crucial roles in managing daily operation of project activities. The PMU was consisting of National Project Director (NPD and Chair), Project Team (as Secretary); UNDP; KATS; PSPC and JNPC. The Project Team employed directly under the Project headed by Project Manager and other Team members include Project Executive; Communication Officer and State Coordinators at Pahang; Johor and Perak. However, the Project Team was left with only Project Manager and Project is being implemented according to the planned schedule and deliver the targeted **outputs and results and Project's finances are managed in accordance with established procedures of** UNDP and Government. The PMU held regular meeting at least once a month, and throughout Project period PMU has met a total of 42 times. In terms of **purchasing and contracting Project's related goods** and services, PMU adopted two-pronged approaches with the approval of NSC: a) for purchases of 'Goods and Services'' adopted Government procedures and DWNP being the decision making authority; and b) for procurement of "Consultancy Services" followed UNDP procurement practices.

### Project Stakeholders

The Project has worked closely with a large number of stakeholders right from inception phase and throughout its implementation. The Project Document has identified a whole list of stakeholders elaborating with their roles and responsibilities and their potential stake/involvement in the Project. Over the course of implementation, Project Team had reached out to many other stakeholders engaging them as working partners and consultation counterparts. Notable inclusion are stakeholders from Sabah and Sarawak. The stakeholders involved in the Project could be categorized into primary and secondary.

Primary Stakeholders were those **directly affected by the PA Financing project's outcomes**; and responsible for providing management guidance to the Project. This include the three IP and project partners: DWNP; JNPC and PSPC and local communities. And those who have been directly involved in **Project's** implementation from an IA and EA perspective and stakeholders with direct managerial

authority were the Department of Wildlife and National Parks and NSC members. These stakeholders have been integral to determining the success of the project. UNDP primarily took on the role of quality assurance to the Project as GEF agency, while maintaining an active stakeholder in providing policy advisory and project cycle management services to the project implementation.

Another group of stakeholders within primary category include NGOs; consultants; resources persons and subject matter experts. This group though not beneficiaries of Project's impacts and somewhat will be removed once their tenure is over, they have been direct contributors to the delivery of key outputs of the Project. The subject matter experts driven outputs include TEEB; National METT; NFPA; NCTF; whilst technical knowledge and expertise helmed by NGOs such as WWF and WCS were effectively tapped by Project to strengthen the capabilities and skills of PA agencies.

Secondary Stakeholders include those who have been instrumental to the long-term sustainability and replication of project results. This group is with the decision-making authorities and functions as **enablers for policy formulation by incorporating Project's outputs and results. The group in**cludes KATS, EPU, MEA, UPEN, State government entities of Pahang, Johor and Perak, CSOs and all national and State parks who have taken part in myriad trainings and site visits, as well as benefitted from enhanced collaboration and communication through the PAF Project.



Figure 5: Stakeholders Networking

### NATIONAL OWNERSHIP AND SUSTAINABILITY OF PROJECT RESULTS

Project received strong support from key government stakeholders including Federal Ministries and agencies; throughout its implementation. Particularly, DWNP as the main IP ensured the Project is provided with all the necessary support, and PSPC and JNPC played vital roles in anchoring firmly **Project's activities at the States and sites level. Nevertheless, in the final analysis** on ownership, sustainability and up-**take of Project's** outputs and results to effect policy and programmatic changes at various levels depended on number of other factors, and more often these factors are beyond the control of Project. These factors generally are associated with the identified risks which form as barriers **to achieving intended Project's objective**.

The Project was effectively implemented and managed to deliver key outputs. The gains were more tangible at the level of protected areas themselves and to a lesser extend at sub-national levels. At federal level, achievements were less significant. Where possible, the project collaborated on efforts by parallel initiatives, the implementation of BIOFIN in Malaysia and the UNDP-supported GEF-financed IC-CFS project, to deliver outputs similar in scope to leverage financial efficiencies.

The core Objective of the Project is creating a sustainable performance-based financing system for the PA network in Malaysia. This framework has yet to be established. Key stakeholders were provided with the necessary knowledge and awareness on the processes and the benefits of establishing such a system in the country. Complacency amongst PA agencies with the existing budgetary framework, the rigidity it is adhered to, and non-readiness of government agencies to try out a new system – hampered the Project from making any further in-road into this area. It is only in the long-term that environmental impacts will be seen from the processes and financial sustainability measures that will eventually be put into place if deemed to be a government priority.

### Outcome 1: At Federal and National Level

Outcome 1 and associated outputs aimed at effecting policy and programmatic changes at Federal/National level is at high risk of ownership. Despite, key outputs: NFPA; PA Master List; NCTF Strategic Plan Resource Mobilization Strategy have been delivered, the Federal agencies were yet to establish a roadmap for continuity or sustainability plan. The low level of ownership was further exacerbated by frequent institutional changes (3 Ministries changes within Project period) and high turnover of key personals and counterparts; in addition to the persisting governance and institutional complexities in-relation to conservation of biodiversity and PA management.

#### Outcome 2: At Sub-national Level

Outcome 2 aimed at sub-national level has demonstrated good potential for ownership of some of the **outputs**. Notably the six weeks training programme, 'Effective Protected Area Management (EPAM)" established with substantial investment by Project, DWNP has claimed strong ownership and put in place a plan for continuity. Whilst, instituting EPAM into IBD's annual training schedule, DWNP also plans to obtain professional accreditation in collaboration with a higher learning institute and offer the

course to a wider range of participants. Work in progress with UiTM to offer EPAM at Executive Diploma level.

The IBD Transformation Blueprint is in consistent with DWNP's strategic direction to transform IBD into national training center, however, it is still requiring policy consensus amongst relevant federal entities and enabling DWNP to realize the implementation potentials.

The Sustainable Finance Plans (SFP) for the three PA agencies (DWNP, PSPC and JNPC) was delivered in June 2020. The Plans provide a taxonomy of sustainable financing mechanisms for the PAs to explore for creating additional revenue generating opportunities and strengthening their financial positions. Greater appreciations are observed about SFP at PSPC and JNPC, compared to DWNP at Federal level. PSPC and JNPC comprehend their mandates within their respective legislative framework and potential to adopt and implement such mechanisms compared to DWNP, which is subject largely to the federal **Ministry's** decision.

# Outcome 3: Sites Level

Significant gains materialized at all three target sites: Taman Negara; Royal Belum and Endau Rompin. All three PA agencies have adopted respective Management Plans. For Royal Belum, PSPC has developed detailed implementation framework; whilst DWNP and JNPC have taken initiatives to incorporate the Plans' strategies into their annual work plans.

Another product with huge potential for long term sustainability and national adoption is the National Template on METT. The METT was introduced as part of Project's M&E process. Through the 4 assessments conducted during the Project period, the IP and project partners realized the value of adopting METT as performance measuring tool at their site level. A national METT template in Bahasa Malaysia is produced and DWNP plans to introduce to all the other parks in the country.

In many respects the PA Financing Project was ground-breaking and the first of its kind in Malaysia, however, sustaining and having ownership of those outputs and results amongst key stakeholders, particularly at federal level, remains a major concern. Perhaps, prospects are much brighter at site and sub-national levels, as there are people now doing something different from what they were doing before; as such there is a shift from the "business as usual" to a new mindset.

### MONITORING, EVALUATION AND REPORTING

The monitoring, evaluation and reporting processes were established in accordance with UNDP and GEF M&E framework and were undertaken at various levels and stages. The M&E process consists of **two aspects: i) to evaluate the effectiveness of Project's perf**ormance using end of project targets against baseline and indicators established in SRF and also GEF Biodiversity Tracking Tools; b) to assess operational and internal control to ensure financial procedure compliance. M&E activities undertaken during Project lifetime is presented in Figure 6.



#### Figure 6: Schematic for Monitoring and Evaluation Activity for the PA Financing Project

### MONITORING AND EVALUATION

### Project Level M&E

The **Project's M**&E process started since Inception Phase and continued throughout its lifetime through various means and mechanisms. During Inception Phase the Strategic Results Framework (SRF) was assessed methodically in consultations with key stakeholders and changes were proposed to the outputs and corresponding activities, end of project targets and indicators at the outcome level stated in SRF without altering the Project's "Objective" and "Outcomes". The changes were proposed in consideration of development that have taken place since the Project's formulation in 2010; viability of implementing some related activities and achieving expected targets by end of Project in the context of prevailing institutional complexities. Accordingly, appropriate amendments were made to some activities; some were dropped, and some were merged with similar activities amongst the outputs.

Since then, **the Project's performance and progress was appraised through: i) submission of annual** Project Implementation Review (PIR) to UNDP and GEF; ii) Mid-year Progress Report and Annual Progress Report to EPU and UNDP in Malaysia; iii) bi-annual Progress Reports to NSC; and iv) monitoring visits. In addition, Project organized regularly formal and informal consultations and briefing with key stakeholders including UNDP CO to seek guidance and advice as and when necessary.

The other notable M&E completion was the GEF Tracking Tool which includes, Financial Sustainability Score Cards and the Management Effectiveness Tracking Tool, and Capacity Development Score Cards were each completed four times during the project's lifetime – in 2012, 2014, 2016 and 2018 (in addition to 2010, in order to establish a baseline value). The assessment scores are presented in Figures 7, 8 and 9.



### Figure 7: Financial Sustainability

(For Financial Sustainability Scores refer to Table 4)



# Figure 8: Capacity Development Scores





<sup>(</sup>For METT Scores refer to Table 6)

Project successfully met two important milestones in-terms of M&E required under GEF funded full size projects: i) Mid-Term Review (MTR) and ii) Terminal Evaluation.

#### MID-TERM REVIEW (MTR)

The MTR was carried out in 2017, with the field mission was conducted in May 2017, and final report **was delivered in December 2017 after the stakeholders' consultation in October 2017. The principal** purpose of this midterm review (MTR) is to evaluate project progress to-date, and to provide critical recommendations which can help to ensure that project performance is optimized during the time remaining until project closure, so that ultimately, the intended project objective and outcomes are more likely to be realized.

### Table 7: Summary of MTR Findings

Indicator Assessment Key:

| Target already achieved | Target is partially achieved or<br>on-track to be achieved by the<br>end of the project | target is at high risk of not<br>being achieved by the end of<br>the project and needs attention |
|-------------------------|---|--|
|-------------------------|---|--|

| Indicator   | Baseline<br>Level | Level in 1st PIR<br>(self- reported)<br>(Based on PIR<br>2013 for 2012) | End-of-<br>project Target | Rating /<br>Assessment | Justification for Rating |  |  |
|---|-------------------|---|---------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Objective: To establish a performance-based financing structure to support effective Protected Area (PA) system management in Peninsular Malaysia |                   |   |                           |                        |                          |  |  |

| Indicator  | Baseline<br>Level  | Level in 1st PIR<br>(self- reported)<br>(Based on PIR<br>2013 for 2012)  | End-of-<br>project Target   | Rating /<br>Assessment | Justification for Rating  |
|--|--|--|---|------------------------|---|
| Objective<br>Indicator 1:<br>Increase in the<br>Federal<br>Government<br>investment in<br>PA<br>management | <ul> <li>USD 7.25<br/>million in<br/>2010 for the<br/>DWNP, JNPC<br/>and PSPC</li> <li>USD 6<br/>million in<br/>2011-2012<br/>development<br/>budget<br/>under 10th<br/>Malaysia Plan</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Operating<br/>budget (2012):<br/>USD8.13<br/>million</li> <li>Developme<br/>nt budget<br/>(2011-2012<br/>under 10<sup>th</sup><br/>Malaysia Plan)<br/>USD8.6 million</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>25%</li> <li>increase of operational budget in real terms for the 3 target PA networks based on aggregate funding from Federal and State Government source.</li> <li>25%</li> <li>increase in development budget under the 5-year Malaysia Plan</li> </ul> | • At risk              | <ul> <li>From baseline to 2013-<br/>2104, the combined<br/>operating budget for DWNP,<br/>JNPC and DWNP increased<br/>(PIR 2013 – 2015)</li> <li>Development budget rose<br/>from baseline, and peaked (at<br/>USD17 million) in 2015</li> <li>Sufficient funding for<br/>JNPC and PSPC was sourced<br/>from the respective states<br/>(PIR 2013).</li> <li>Persistent concerns:</li> <li>Risks still exist: funding is<br/>dependent upon national<br/>economic conditions (PIR<br/>2016), and there is a need for<br/>diversification of funding<br/>sources to stabilize the flow<br/>of funds</li> <li>A stronger country<br/>commitment to biodiversity<br/>protection is required, to be<br/>reflected in higher levels of<br/>funding allocated for this<br/>purpose</li> <li>Funding has not yet been<br/>tied to a performance based<br/>financing structure; such a<br/>structure has yet to be<br/>established</li> <li>The indicator for increased<br/>investment is not strongly<br/>correlated with strengthened<br/>biodiversity conservation—<br/>much of the development<br/>budget has been earmarked<br/>for facilities and<br/>infrastructure, which may not<br/>directly support conservation<br/>objectives.</li> </ul> |

| Indicator   | Baseline<br>Level   | Level in 1st PIR<br>(self- reported)<br>(Based on PIR<br>2013 for 2012)       | End-of-<br>project Target  | Rating /<br>Assessment              | Justification for Rating   |
|---|---|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| Objective<br>Indicator 2:<br>Financial<br>sustainability<br>scorecard for<br>the 3 PA<br>networks | <ul> <li>DWNP:<br/>49.8%</li> <li>JNPC:<br/>44.4%</li> <li>PSPC: 40%</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>DWNP:<br/>40.6%</li> <li>JNPC: 40.6%</li> <li>PSPC: 29.2%</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>DWNP:</li> <li>60%</li> <li>JNPC: 55%</li> <li>PSPC: 50%</li> </ul> | Partially<br>achieved / on<br>track | <ul> <li>Scorecards were conducted at baseline, inception, and before midterm review. A final review is expected in December 2018 at the end of the project, bringing a total of 4 scorecards, instead of 3 as required for full scale projects.</li> <li>The financial sustainability scorecard rating has overall increased with PSPC exceeding the end of project target in 2013.</li> <li>The reason for the leap in PSPC scores from 29.2% in 2012 to 53.1% in 2013 were attributed to significant improvements in the park's capacity in financial management and planning with technical support from the Perak State Government</li> <li>On-going scoring shows a rising trend.</li> </ul> |
| Outcome 1: Sys  | temic & institut  | ional capacities to   | manage and fina  | ancially support                    | a national PA System   |

| Indicator 1:  | No framework            | • Built upon                   | Asingle        | <ul> <li>Partially</li> </ul>                | • The National PA                                   |
|---------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|--|---|
| Establishment | exists,                 | the decision to                | framework      | <ul> <li>Partially<br/>achieved /</li> </ul> | Framework is in its final                           |
| of the policy | resulting in a          | incorporate                    | with clear     | on track                                     | stages of completion. It went                       |
| framework for | fragmented              | the Interim PA                 | categorisatio  |  | through few rounds of                               |
| the National  | PA system               | Masterlist as                  | n of all the   |  | consultations through                               |
| PA system     | with a large            | part of the                    | PAs in the PA  |  | national workshops as well                          |
| TA System     | number of               | CBD                            | Master List in |  | as targeted meetings to                             |
|               | PAs gazetted            | Programme of                   | Peninsular     |  |   |
|               | under                   | Work on                        | Malaysia,      |  | 5   |
|               | different acts          | Protected                      | with uniform,  |  | different agencies and<br>stakeholders. The project |
|               | based on                | Areas Action                   | accepted       |  | included the participation of                       |
|               | varied                  | Plan (PoWPA)                   | management     |  | PA agencies from Sabah and                          |
|               |                         | · · · · ·                      | standards      |  | Sarawak and also included                           |
|               | management<br>standards | in Malaysia                    | and            |  |   |
|               | stariuarus              | under the                      |                |  | marine parks to reflect a truly                     |
|               |                         | purview of the                 | reporting      |  | national entity.                                    |
|               |                         | Ministry of                    | requirements   |  | The project provided                                |
|               |                         | Natural                        |                |  | support to finalize the                             |
|               |                         | Resources and                  |                |  | Interim PA Master list and                          |
|               |                         | Environment                    |                |  | this has now been                                   |
|               |                         | (MoNRE).                       |                |  | completed.  |
|               |                         | • First year                   |                |  | • The project catalysed a                           |
|               |                         | focussed on                    |                |  | platform for greater                                |
|               |                         | consensus                      |                |  | networking and                                      |
|               |                         | building                       |                |  | engagement of key agencies                          |
|               |                         | through                        |                |  | and partners in PA                                  |
|               |                         | consultations                  |                |  | management in Malaysia                              |
|               |                         | with state and                 |                |  |   |
|               |                         | PA network                     |                |  | Other considerations:                               |
|               |                         | agencies to                    |                |  | • Ensure that PAs included                          |
|               |                         | encourage                      |                |  | in Master list, including                           |
|               |                         | adoption of                    |                |  | classification of PAs perform                       |
|               |                         | the PA                         |                |  | a biodiversity conservation                         |
|               |                         | Masterlist.                    |                |  | function, in fact as well as in                     |
|               |                         | <ul> <li>Challenges</li> </ul> |                |  | name;   |
|               |                         | recognised:                    |                |  | • Consider the role of the                          |
|               |                         | (i) No                         |                |  | potential area at the                               |
|               |                         | common                         |                |  | landscape level that                                |
|               |                         | definition and                 |                |  | important provides                                  |
|               |                         | classification                 |                |  | connectivity linking                                |
|               |                         | of PAs                         |                |  | biodiversity rich PAs.                              |
|               |                         | (ii) Discrepanc                |                |  | <ul> <li>Put in place appropriate</li> </ul>        |
|               |                         | y of PA                        |                |  | mechanisms to                                       |
|               |                         | coverage data                  |                |  | operationalize and                                  |
|               |                         | (iii) Overlap                  |                |  | implement the Action Plan                           |
|               |                         | s of PAs with                  |                |  | of the National PA                                  |
|               |                         | different                      |                |  | Framework   |
|               |                         | classification                 |                |  |   |
|               |                         | under multiple                 |                |  |   |
|               | I                       |                                |                |  |   |

| Indicator   | Baseline<br>Level  | Level in 1st PIR<br>(self- reported)<br>(Based on PIR<br>2013 for 2012)  | End-of-<br>project Target | Rating /<br>Assessment | Justification for Rating   |
|---|--|--|---------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Indiastor 2 /in   | Neither  | gazettements<br>(iv) Existing<br>PAs are<br>governed by<br>multiple<br>federal and<br>state<br>legislations  |                           | Altrachy               |  |
| Indicator 2 (in<br>part):<br>Integrated PA<br>information<br>system | Neither<br>performance<br>criteria nor<br>monitoring<br>systems<br>specific to PA<br>management<br>exist | <ul> <li>An<br/>implementati<br/>on plan with<br/>detailed<br/>activities to<br/>support the<br/>establishment<br/>of a national<br/>integrated PA<br/>system was<br/>outlined<br/>during the first<br/>PIR.</li> <li>The first PIR<br/>noted the<br/>need to set up<br/>indicators for<br/>measuring<br/>and<br/>monitoring PA<br/>performance<br/>before setting<br/>up the<br/>information<br/>system.</li> <li>The<br/>information<br/>system is<br/>aimed<br/>primarily for<br/>PA<br/>performance<br/>monitoring<br/>and guide PA<br/>related</li> </ul> |                           | • Already achieved     | <ul> <li>Project website operationalized in 2014 to serve as the foundation for the national integrated PA management information system</li> <li>Project consulted FRIM and subsequently received support for hosting a PA database within the Clearing House Mechanism's Biodiversity Database (PIR 2015).</li> <li>Project developed a PA profile template capturing essential management oriented data starting with the three PA sites.</li> <li>The newly established National Biodiversity Centre (NBC) (under NRE) took over the PA Database from FRIM and rebranded the Clearing House Mechanism as the Malaysian Biodiversity Information System (MyBis) (PIR 2016).</li> <li>Strategic links were established between NBC and WWF-Malaysia in sharing knowledge for data verification</li> <li>DWNP and the PA Financing project organized</li> </ul> |

| Indicator   | Baseline<br>Level  | Level in 1st PIR<br>(self- reported)<br>(Based on PIR<br>2013 for 2012)  | End-of-<br>project Target   | Rating /<br>Assessment | Justification for Rating  |
|---|--|--|---|------------------------|---|
|   |  | decision-<br>making<br>processes.  |   |                        | <ul> <li>National Framework on PA<br/>Consultation Meeting in<br/>June 2016, introducing<br/>MyBis to key federal and<br/>state agencies on PAs.</li> <li>Maps were introduced in<br/>the PA Masterlist database<br/>through collaboration with<br/>the NBC.</li> <li>The project adapted and<br/>leveraged an existing<br/>database (MyBis) to establish<br/>the information system of<br/>PAs in Malaysia. It also<br/>supported steps to<br/>operationalize the<br/>information system.</li> <li>Efforts were made to<br/>consult and engage State<br/>agencies in adopting,<br/>integrating data and<br/>updating the Masterlist</li> <li>Additional comments:         <ul> <li>Other opportunities for<br/>furthering coordination and<br/>data dissemination are still<br/>available (e.g., with<br/>Malaysian Centre for Geo-<br/>spatial Data Infrastructure<br/>(MACGDI))</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
| Indicator 2 (in<br>part):<br>Integrated PA<br>performance<br>monitoring<br>system | Neither<br>performance<br>criteria nor<br>monitoring<br>systems<br>specific to PA<br>management<br>exist | <ul> <li>An<br/>implementati<br/>on plan with<br/>detailed<br/>activities to<br/>support the<br/>establishment<br/>of a national<br/>integrated PA<br/>system was</li> </ul> | A national,<br>integrated PA<br>information<br>system<br>established<br>with the<br>primary<br>function of<br>PA<br>performance | At risk                | • Framework for performance monitoring has not yet been established   |

| Indicator  | Baseline<br>Level  | Level in 1st PIR<br>(self- reported)<br>(Based on PIR<br>2013 for 2012)  | End-of-<br>project Target   | Rating /<br>Assessment | Justification for Rating   |
|--|--|--|---|------------------------|--|
|  |  | outlined<br>during the first<br>PIR.<br>• The first PIR<br>noted the<br>need to set up<br>indicators for<br>measuring<br>and<br>monitoring PA<br>performance<br>before setting<br>up the<br>information<br>system.<br>• The<br>information<br>system is<br>aimed<br>primarily for<br>PA<br>performance<br>monitoring<br>and guide PA<br>related<br>decision-<br>making<br>processes. | monitoring,<br>and decision<br>support for<br>relevant<br>government<br>bodies        |                        |  |
| Indicator 3:<br>Financial<br>incentive<br>system, based<br>primarily on<br>performance<br>indices,<br>established<br>and<br>operational. | No<br>performance-<br>linked<br>financial<br>incentive<br>system exists. |  | System<br>established<br>supporting a<br>minimum of<br>866,000 ha of<br>the PA estate | • At risk              | <ul> <li>Rationale, purpose,<br/>definition, scope and impact<br/>of a performance based<br/>financing system not<br/>sufficiently assessed in the<br/>ProDoc to justify inclusion as<br/>a key aspect of the project<br/>objective</li> <li>The stock-taking analysis<br/>of the NCTF did not include<br/>assessment of performance-<br/>based financing structure in<br/>its scope of work.</li> </ul> |

| Indicator | Baseline<br>Level | Level in 1st PIR<br>(self- reported)<br>(Based on PIR<br>2013 for 2012) | End-of-<br>project Target | Rating /<br>Assessment | Justification for Rating   |
|-----------|-------------------|---|---------------------------|------------------------|--|
|           |                   |   |                           |                        | <ul> <li>The draft Operating<br/>Guidelines supported by the<br/>PA Financing project for<br/>NCTF included general<br/>criteria for project selection,<br/>appropriate indicators, and<br/>M&amp;E system, but did not<br/>specify performance<br/>indicators for PA related<br/>projects/initiatives; the 2014<br/>PIR states that a pilot scheme<br/>of the performance-based<br/>financing structure will be<br/>introduced through the<br/>NCTF but to date this has not<br/>been accomplished</li> <li>Performance based<br/>monitoring has been<br/>incorporated into the METT<br/>and Financial Sustainability<br/>scorecard (GEF tracking<br/>tools) at park level, but not<br/>linked to financing</li> <li>There is no clear<br/>indication of progress on<br/>performance based<br/>incentive systems at the PA<br/>network/national level.</li> </ul> |

| Indicator  | Baseline<br>Level  | Level in 1st PIR<br>(self- reported)<br>(Based on PIR<br>2013 for 2012)   | End-of-<br>project Target   | Rating /<br>Assessment | Justification for Rating   |
|--|--|---|---|------------------------|--|
| Indicator 4:<br>National PA<br>System<br>mainstreamed<br>in the<br>budgeting<br>process for 5-<br>year Malaysia<br>Plan; increased<br>number of<br><b>"bankable"</b><br>projects in<br>support of PA<br>management<br>approved for<br>funding<br>through<br>operational<br>grants. | No budget<br>line for PA<br>management<br>in Malaysia<br>Plan.<br>Currently the<br>budgets for<br>PA<br>infrastructure<br>development<br>is determined<br>based on<br>individual<br>requests from<br>State<br>governments<br>with no<br>systematic<br>planning<br>looking at<br>conservation<br>priorities of<br>the national<br>PA network as<br>a whole. | <ul> <li>Planning<br/>stages<br/>through the<br/>Implementati<br/>on Plan<br/>(inception<br/>report) that<br/>was submitted<br/>to the Steering<br/>Committee in<br/>for<br/>endorsement<br/>(PIR 2013).</li> </ul> | Dedicated PA<br>budget line<br>in Malaysia<br>Plan<br>Budgeting<br>process of PA<br>management<br>/<br>development<br>is conducted<br>based on<br>increased<br>levels of<br>conservation<br>priorities<br>within the<br>Federal and<br>State funding<br>system, using<br>a range of<br>tools<br>including<br>economic<br>valuation<br>results | • At risk              | <ul> <li>The indicator is linked to<br/>Output 1.4: "Budgetary<br/>framework created to<br/>increase financial support for<br/>PAs, allocated on the basis of<br/>performance."<br/>However, progress on the<br/>activities corresponding to<br/>this output has been limited:</li> <li>1.4.1—"to compile cases<br/>where special budget lines<br/>have been created to cater<br/>for the needs of PA<br/>management": no progress<br/>noted</li> <li>1.4.2—"to propose a<br/>budgetary framework for PA<br/>funding that is based on<br/>performance and needs<br/>within the current<br/>development financing and<br/>planning (rolling plan)<br/>framework": no progress<br/>noted</li> <li>1.4.3—"to establish a<br/>Conservation Trust Fund":<br/>accomplished, but scope of<br/>the NCTF is not focused<br/>specifically on PAs solely and<br/>no performance based<br/>finance structure is<br/>mentioned</li> <li>1.4.4—"to conduct the<br/>Malaysian TEEB study to<br/>recognize, capture and<br/>demonstrate the total<br/>economic value of PAs in<br/>order to support justification<br/>for increased investments in<br/>PAs": soon to commence,<br/>after significant delay</li> <li>The project contributed<br/>to the NRE draft strategy</li> </ul> |

| Indicator  | Baseline<br>Level  | Level in 1st PIR<br>(self- reported)<br>(Based on PIR<br>2013 for 2012)                                     | End-of-<br>project Target  | Rating /<br>Assessment                | Justification for Rating  |
|--|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|
|  |  |   |  |                                       | paper on biodiversity and<br>environmental<br>management submitted to<br>EPU in June 2014 as part of<br>the 11 <sup>th</sup> Malaysia Plan<br>budgetary process,<br>advocating for increased<br>resources in PA conservation<br>programme (PIR 2014)<br>• In Strategy Paper 12 of<br>the 11 <sup>th</sup> Malaysia Plan, PAs<br>are mentioned as Focus Area<br>C: Strategy C1, while Focus<br>Area A includes a strategy on<br>sustainable financing. Each<br>of the three focal sites and<br>their respective PA agencies<br>have applied for budget<br>allocations under the 11th<br>Malaysia Plan (PIR 2015). It is<br>not clear whether the<br>project contributed inputs,<br>time, and support for the<br>applications, nor whether<br>there was any follow-up or<br>successful outcomes |
| Outcome 2: Tec<br>for effective fin  |  |   | s to manage sub-   | national PA net                       | works, including capacities   |
| Indicator 1:<br>Financing gap<br>decreased by<br>at least 25 % in<br>the target PA<br>sub-networks<br>(PSPC, DWNP) | Current<br>financing<br>gaps based<br>on regional<br>benchmark of<br>196 staff per<br>1,000 km <sup>2</sup> and<br>US\$ 1,000 per<br>km <sup>2</sup> are:<br>• DWNP -<br>USD8.69<br>millio | Detailed<br>financing<br>gaps analysis<br>being<br>conducted for<br>the three PA<br>networks (PIR<br>2013). | An average<br>25%<br>decrease in<br>the financing<br>gaps of the 3<br>PA sub-<br>network<br>agencies, in<br>real terms<br>through<br>operationalis<br>ation of | • Partially<br>achieved /<br>on track | <ul> <li>Target for indicator reached</li> <li>All three PA Networks registered notable increases in year 2014 operating budget allocation compared to 2013.</li> <li>For development budget, in 2014 both PSPC and JNPC networks received additional allocation from the Federal Government for</li> </ul>   |

| Indicator  | Baseline<br>Level   | Level in 1st PIR<br>(self- reported)<br>(Based on PIR   | End-of-<br>project Target   | Rating /<br>Assessment | Justification for Rating   |
|--|---|---|---|------------------------|--|
|  | • PSPC<br>USD2.12<br>million                                  | (Based on PIR<br>2013 for 2012)   | project Target<br>financial<br>management<br>and revenue<br>diversificatio<br>n models<br>across the<br>sites.  | Assessment             | eco-tourism related<br>infrastructure development.<br>(PIR 2015).<br>•Financing gap analysis<br>results indicate that DWNP<br>and PSPC exceeded the<br>targeted 25% average<br>decrease in financing gap in<br>2014<br>(Note: JNPC is not reported<br>here, as it has a budget<br>surplus, rather than a deficit)<br>•In November 2015 the<br>project organized a<br>workshop on Sustainable<br>Financing with Johor state.<br>The sessions focused on<br>revenue diversification.<br>Similar support is envisaged<br>for PSPC (PIR 2016).<br>•Management and business<br>plans, completed in 2017,<br>are expected to provide<br>guidance on how PA<br>agencies can improve their<br>financial planning tools and |
| Indicator 2:<br>Increase in<br>capacity<br>development<br>indicator score<br>(%) for three<br>target sub-<br>national PA<br>networks<br>- DWNP<br>- JNPC<br>- PSPC | Average -<br>54%<br>• DWNP<br>61%<br>• JNPC 61%<br>• PSPC 45% | <ul> <li>Capaci<br/>ty scorecard<br/>assessments<br/>were<br/>conducted<br/>during the<br/>inception<br/>phase with<br/>the following<br/>score:</li> <li>DWNP:<br/>64%</li> <li>JNPC:<br/>58.3%</li> </ul> | An average<br>10%<br>increase of<br>the capacity<br>developme<br>nt indicator<br>score for<br>each target<br>sub-national<br>PA<br>networks.<br>- DWNP:<br>70%<br>- JNPC: 70% | • Already achieved     | <ul> <li>address financing gaps for<br/>the three parks (PIR 2016).</li> <li>Capacity score for DWNP<br/>and JNPC was at 63.5%<br/>compared to the target of<br/>70% while PSPC reached<br/>the end of project target of<br/>55% (PIR 2016) (See Figure<br/>5).</li> <li>JNPC's improved score is<br/>mainly due to: greater legal<br/>capacity for enforcement<br/>arising from the revision of<br/>JNPC Enactment; increased<br/>number of staff</li> </ul>  |

| Indicator | Baseline<br>Level | Level in 1st PIR<br>(self- reported)<br>(Based on PIR<br>2013 for 2012)   | End-of-<br>project Target | Rating /<br>Assessment | Justification for Rating  |
|-----------|-------------------|---|---------------------------|------------------------|---|
|           |                   | - PSPC:<br>49%<br>• The<br>reason for the<br>drop by 5% in<br>JNPC could<br>be due to the<br>fact that<br>many<br>personnel are<br>hired on a<br>contract<br>basis (PIR<br>2013). | - PSPC: 55%               |                        | <ul> <li>PSPC improvements<br/>stemmed from: revision of<br/>the State Park Enactment to<br/>strengthen enforcement<br/>and penalties; cooperation<br/>between PSPC and other<br/>agencies (DWNP, State<br/>Forestry Department and<br/>the Royal Army Force)<br/>through the 1MBEON<br/>cooperation program</li> <li>The project has been<br/>supporting the following<br/>capacity building<br/>initiatives:</li> <li>IBD Transformation<br/>(EPAWM course)</li> <li>Development of training<br/>materials</li> <li>train the trainers<br/>empowerment</li> <li>equipping GIS training<br/>lab at IBD</li> <li>supplying important<br/>reference books materials<br/>on wildlife and PA<br/>management</li> <li>developing original<br/>materials (maps,<br/>guidebooks) to enhance<br/>knowledge dissemination<br/>both for park personnel<br/>and the general public</li> <li>sending personnel from<br/>the three PA focal sites for<br/>training locally and<br/>internationally (Sarawak,<br/>Korea, WII-India,<br/>Smithsonian)</li> <li>supporting Orang asli<br/>communities (learning trips<br/>and support for</li> </ul> |

| Indicator | Baseline<br>Level | Level in 1st PIR<br>(self- reported)<br>(Based on PIR<br>2013 for 2012) | End-of-<br>project Target | Rating /<br>Assessment | Justification for Rating   |
|-----------|-------------------|---|---------------------------|------------------------|--|
|           |                   |   |                           |                        | developmentof<br>management plan)• Trainingfor<br>METT/tracking tools• Capacitybuilding and<br>training needs assessment<br>for the three focal parks as<br>part of the management<br>and<br>business• Buildingnetworking<br>arrangements• Buildingnetworking<br>arrangements• Facilitating National PA<br>Framework discussions• Producing<br>Proceedings<br>for the 1st National PA<br>Managers• Considerations<br>(cited in PIR 2015):• Through the<br>Public<br>Service• Through the<br>Public<br>Service• Through the<br> |
|           |                   |   |                           |                        | forensic units that will assist<br>DWNP in strategizing<br>enforcement and patrolling<br>activities in Pas<br>State Governments<br>of Johor and Perak have<br>approved additional<br>positions for JNPC and<br>PSPC on permanent basis<br>instead of the current<br>contractual modality<br>NRE has decided to<br>transform Institute of<br>Biodiversity (IBD) under   |

| Indicator   | Baseline<br>Level     | Level in 1st PIR<br>(self- reported)<br>(Based on PIR<br>2013 for 2012)               | End-of-<br>project Target  | Rating /<br>Assessment | Justification for Rating   |
|---|-----------------------|---|--|------------------------|--|
|   |                       |   |  |                        | DWNP into a national<br>institute for PA and wildlife<br>management, providing<br>targeted capacity building<br>and training programmes<br>to all PA practitioners in the<br>country   |
| Indicator 3:<br>Number of PAs<br>successfully<br>meeting<br>national<br>management<br>criteria and<br>accessing<br>performance-<br>based financial<br>transfers from<br>the Federal<br>system | No baseline<br>exists | The national<br>management<br>criteria have<br>yet to be<br>established<br>(PIR 2013) | At least eight<br>(8) among<br>the terrestrial<br>PAs over<br>20,000 ha<br>under DWNP,<br>JNPC and<br>PSPC | • At risk              | <ul> <li>The national management criteria for performance-based financial transfers from federal to sub-national are yet to be established.</li> <li>While it was planned that performance-based monitoring and evaluation system would be pilot-tested through the operationalization of the NCTF by mid-2015, this has not yet materialized (PIR 2014 and 2015).</li> <li>There is a lack of documentation or analysis that demonstrates progress, or that past efforts to mainstream performance-based financing are linked to METT and TT</li> <li>Other considerations:</li> <li>The development of management plans for the three target sites can provide important feedback to establishing the basis for national management criteria (PIR 2015)</li> <li>PIR 2016 noted that under the current budgetary system and financial allocation mechanisms</li> </ul> |

| Indicator   | Baseline<br>Level   | Level in 1st PIR<br>(self- reported)<br>(Based on PIR<br>2013 for 2012)   | End-of-<br>project Target  | Rating /<br>Assessment                | Justification for Rating  |
|---|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|
|   |   |   |  |                                       | practiced by Government (a<br>sector-based approach), it<br>might not be possible to<br>introduce performance-<br>based criteria or standards to<br>determine the financial<br>allocation quantum for a<br>specific sector (e.g., for PAs<br>and wildlife conservation).<br>However, it may be possible<br>within the Departments to<br>introduce performance-<br>based criteria. In this regard,<br>DWNP has taken some<br>initiative to incorporate<br>METT as part of its<br>management performance<br>monitoring tool for a few<br>parks under its jurisdiction                                 |
| Indicator 4:<br>Economic and<br>financial<br>planning<br>capacity<br>institutionalize<br>d in the three<br>sub-national<br>PA network<br>agencies | There is<br>minimal<br>human<br>capacity or<br>institutional<br>structure to<br>address issues<br>of financial<br>sustainability. | • Specific<br>activity has<br>been included<br>in the Project<br>Implementati<br>on Plan to<br>explore the<br>possibility for<br>establishing a<br>sustainable<br>financing unit<br>to build up<br>expertise to<br>solicit funds<br>(PIR 2013). | A unit is<br>established<br>in each of the<br>sub-national<br>PA agency<br>dedicated to<br>revenue<br>diversificatio<br>n that will<br>ensure<br>financial<br>sustainability | • Partially<br>achieved /<br>on track | <ul> <li>Main aim of this indicator is to explore the possibility of establishing sustainable financing units in the PA agencies (PIR 2014); it was ascertained that all agencies already have specific units in place that are responsible for financial planning, hence the focus should be to enhance the skills for sustainable financial planning within these units (PIR 2015)</li> <li>Current practice is based largely on government budgetary allocations and there is limited focus at present on diversification of funding sources and ensuring performance-based financing</li> </ul> |

| Indicator | Baseline<br>Level | Level in 1st PIR<br>(self- reported)<br>(Based on PIR<br>2013 for 2012) | End-of-<br>project Target | Rating /<br>Assessment | Justification for Rating  |
|-----------|-------------------|---|---------------------------|------------------------|---|
|           |                   |   |                           |                        | <ul> <li>All Federal Ministries<br/>and agencies are expected<br/>to apply outcome-based<br/>budgeting from 2016/2017<br/>onward (PIR 2015)</li> <li>A range of options and<br/>opportunities for revenue<br/>diversification at site and<br/>agency levels have been<br/>explored (Sustainable<br/>Financing Workshop, Johor,<br/>3 November 2015); further<br/>advancement of the<br/>sustainable financing<br/>strategies proposed for<br/>Johor is being considered;<br/>similar support is also<br/>envisaged for PSPC</li> <li>Further efforts will likely<br/>explore options for<br/>enhancing in house capacity<br/>for revenue diversification as<br/>recommended in draft<br/>business plans for PSPC and<br/>JNPC (PIR 2016)</li> </ul> |
|           |                   |   |                           |                        | <ul> <li><u>Other Considerations:</u></li> <li>While progress has been made towards achieving results for this indicator, greater success in operationalizing sustainable financing mechanisms might have been achieved, had discussions and consideration of this subject been initiated sooner</li> <li>At the <u>project</u> level, the principles of sustainable financing have not yet been defined; this is required as an important step in order to enable the actual</li> </ul>  |

| Indicator  | Baseline<br>Level                     | Level in 1st PIR<br>(self- reported)<br>(Based on PIR<br>2013 for 2012)   | End-of-<br>project Target   | Rating /<br>Assessment | Justification for Rating   |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|--|
|  |                                       |   |   |                        | <ul> <li>implementation of sustainable financing mechanisms</li> <li>Also, at the higher <u>policy</u> level, it is necessary to establish a mandate by formulating a sustainable financing policy/statement which can support the implementation of sustainable financing initiatives</li> <li>It is necessary that dedicated personnel or unit be assigned to work on business plans and sustainable finance initiatives</li> </ul>  |
| Indicator 5:<br>Coordination<br>between the<br>PA agencies | Minimal<br>coordination<br>mechanisms | <ul> <li>The<br/>Institute of<br/>Biodiversity<br/>(IBD) under<br/>DWNP has the<br/>potential to be<br/>transformed<br/>into a PA<br/>Centre of<br/>Excellence<br/>providing<br/>coordinated<br/>programmes<br/>in terms of<br/>training,<br/>capacity<br/>building and<br/>management<br/>practices. (PIR<br/>2013)</li> </ul> | Three<br>agencies<br>have<br>common<br>management<br>approaches,<br>PA<br>performance<br>monitoring<br>mechanisms,<br>and capacity<br>development<br>programmes | • Already<br>achieved  | <ul> <li>The project supported<br/>the transformation of the<br/>IBD into a Centre of<br/>Excellence for PA and<br/>wildlife management; IBD's<br/>training programmes extend<br/>to all PA networks, thus<br/>providing a platform for<br/>greater networking and<br/>communication among PA<br/>agencies in Malaysia (PIR<br/>2014), and a common<br/>national training platform<br/>for personnel from PA<br/>agencies (PIR 2015)</li> <li>The project has<br/>contributed towards<br/>standardization of PA<br/>management through its<br/>support for the National<br/>Framework for PAs; at the<br/>same time, consultation<br/>activities related to the PA<br/>framework created a<br/>platform for communication</li> </ul> |

| and coordination among PA<br>agencies (e.g. Forestry<br>Department) (PIR 2015)<br>• Planned development of<br>a standardized template for<br>PA management and<br>business plans is another<br>way in which<br>standardisation among PA<br>agencies will be supported<br>(PIR 2015, 15 participants<br>from Taman Negara NP and<br>Pahang State Government<br>participated in a four-day<br>training/exchange<br>programme at Endau<br>Rompin hosted by JNPC. In<br>2016, DWNP and Taman<br>Negara NP reciprocated by<br>hosting staft and<br>communities from Endau<br>Rompin NP<br>• Rangers from SPC, JNPC<br>and DWNP were supported<br>to attend a World Ranger<br>Day programme hosted by<br>Sabah Parks and WWF-<br>Malaysia in August 2015.<br>• Project provided<br>assistance with planning and<br>support for the World<br>Ranger Day programme<br>organized by WWF-Malaysia<br>with the Forest Department<br>Sarawak at Kubah National<br>Park in August 2016.<br><u>Other considerations</u> : | Indicator | Baseline<br>Level | Level in 1st PIR<br>(self- reported)<br>(Based on PIR<br>2013 for 2012) | End-of-<br>project Target | Rating /<br>Assessment | Justification for Rating  |
|---|-----------|-------------------|---|---------------------------|------------------------|---|
| Provide continuing support for recurring events   |           |                   |   |                           |                        | agencies and other related<br>agencies (e.g. Forestry<br>Department) (PIR 2015)<br>Planned development of<br>a standardized template for<br>PA management and<br>business plans is another<br>way in which<br>standardisation and<br>coordination among PA<br>agencies will be supported<br>(PIR 2015).<br>In 2015, 15 participants<br>from Taman Negara NP and<br>Pahang State Government<br>participated in a four-day<br>training/exchange<br>programme at Endau<br>Rompin hosted by JNPC. In<br>2016, DWNP and Taman<br>Negara NP reciprocated by<br>hosting staff and<br>communities from Endau<br>Rompin NP<br>Rangers from PSPC, JNPC<br>and DWNP were supported<br>to attend a World Ranger<br>Day programme hosted by<br>Sabah Parks and WWF-<br>Malaysia in August 2015.<br>Project provided<br>assistance with planning and<br>support for the World<br>Ranger Day programme<br>organized by WWF-Malaysia<br>with the Forest Department<br>Sarawak at Kubah National<br>Park in August 2016. |

| Indicator  | Baseline              | Level in 1st PIR<br>(self- reported)   | End-of-   | Rating /                            | Justification for Rating  |
|--|-----------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| malcator   | Level                 | (Based on PIR<br>2013 for 2012)  | project Target  | Assessment                          | Justification for Kating  |
|  |                       |  |   |                                     | to strengthen conservation<br>(e.g.,World Rangers Day, PA<br>Managers Conference)<br>• PA Management and<br>Business Plans prepared<br>with project support can be<br>utilized for preparation a<br>standardized template for<br>adoption by Pas nationwide<br>(PIR 2015).  |
| Outcome 3: Effe  | ective site-level     | PA management  |   |                                     |   |
| Indicator 1:<br>Number of PAs<br>with updated<br>and approved<br>management<br>and business<br>plans with<br>implementatio<br>n of it enabling<br>the PAs to<br>meet the<br>national<br>performance<br>criteria<br>required to<br>access<br>additional<br>Federal<br>funding | No baseline<br>exists | <ul> <li>The national management criteria and performance based financial transfers have yet to be set up.</li> <li>Initial reviews were conducted on the status of management plans in the three PAs. The findings indicate that new/revised management plans are needed (PIR 2013). Business plans for the three PAs have not</li> </ul> | All 3 target<br>PA sites have<br>approved<br>management<br>and business<br>/ financing<br>plans 20%<br>increase in<br>federal<br>allocation of<br>funding | Partially<br>achieved /<br>on track | Management and business<br>plans completed (first drafts<br>delivered by the consultancy<br>in May 2016; plans<br>presented to the key<br>stakeholders—PA<br>management authorities,<br>State Governments, and<br>local communities from the<br>three sites<br>A standard template<br>describing the key elements<br>of a PA management plan<br>was elaborated at a national<br>stakeholder consultation<br>workshop held in June 2016<br>However, the national<br>criteria and performance<br>based financial transfers<br>have yet to be set up – still at<br>the same level as the first PIR<br>Gap Analysis and<br>Stocktaking exercise<br>completed; found very<br>useful particularly to the PA<br>Authorities; gap analysis on |

| Indicator  | Baseline<br>Level   | Level in 1st PIR<br>(self- reported)<br>(Based on PIR<br>2013 for 2012)   | End-of-<br>project Target  | Rating /<br>Assessment              | Justification for Rating  |
|--|---|---|--|-------------------------------------|---|
|  |   | been<br>developed<br>previously.  |  |                                     | legislation and enactments<br>governing PA management<br>helped to highlight the<br>weaknesses and<br>inadequacies of the<br>legislation in terms of<br>expounding the mandate,<br>roles and functions of PA<br>management authorities<br>PA management authorities<br>(DWNP, JNPC and PSPC) are<br>in the process of<br>undertaking a thorough<br>review of their respective<br>legislation based on the key<br>findings of the gap analysis<br>Through the engagement of<br>a legal expert, project will<br>provide technical support to<br>the State Government (PIR<br>2016)<br>Discussions on the<br>replication of developing a<br>management plan for the<br>Gunung Ledang National<br>Park Johor have been<br>initiated |
| Indicator 2:<br>Improved<br>management<br>effectiveness<br>as per METT<br>scores for<br>three target<br>PAs. | METT scores<br>in 2010:<br>DWNP: 74<br>JNPC: 58<br>PSPC: 53 | Results of METT<br>in 2013:<br>DWNP: 56<br>JNPC: 53<br>PSPC: 56<br>Training on the<br>METT was<br>carried out for<br>the three sites<br>Potential<br>reasons for the<br>lower scores<br>for Endau<br>Rompin and | Average 10%<br>increase in<br>METT scores<br>for 3 target<br>PAs<br>Taman<br>Negara<br>(DWNP): 82<br>Endau-<br>Rompin<br>(JNPC): 68<br>Royal Belum<br>(PSPC): 65 | Partially<br>achieved / on<br>track | METT scores for 2014 (PIR<br>2015):<br>Taman Negara NP 74.5%<br>(score 76)<br>Endau-Rompin NP 59.8%<br>(score 61)<br>Royal Belum SP 66.7% (score<br>70)<br>The METT score for Taman<br>Negara remained little<br>changed from baseline at<br>74.5%.<br>The METT score for Endau<br>Rompin registered a slight   |

| Indicator   | Baseline<br>Level  | Level in 1st PIR<br>(self- reported)<br>(Based on PIR<br>2013 for 2012)   | End-of-<br>project Target  | Rating /<br>Assessment | Justification for Rating   |
|---|--|---|--|------------------------|--|
|   |  | Taman Negara<br>were recorded<br>due to the<br>increasing<br>threats faced at<br>the two sites<br>for illegal<br>hunting and<br>poaching (PIR<br>2013). |  |                        | increase<br>Royal Belum SP recorded a<br>score of 70, exceeding the<br>project target<br>In 2015, PA Division of DWNP<br>enhanced its monitoring of<br>protected areas through the<br>use of drones; the PA<br>Financing Project supported<br>two training courses (held in<br>Oct 2015 and Feb 2016) for 10<br>rangers and officers to<br>enhance their skills using the<br>new drones for monitoring<br>(PIR 2016).<br>DWNP has also been<br>stepping up its boundary<br>demarcation exercises. Five<br>PAs have been inspected<br>based on their Certified Plans<br>and signs have been installed<br>to clearly mark the protected<br>area boundaries (PIR 2016).<br>Through the project, PA<br>agencies have come to<br>appreciate the value of the<br>METT as an evaluation tool<br>Benefits of applying a<br>consultative approach by<br>including the participation of<br>partners during the METT<br>assessment has also been<br>recognized; being<br>considered for adoption by<br>DWNP as a "standard<br>operating procedure' for<br>their other sites |
| Indicator 3:<br>Increase in<br>gross revenue<br>amount and<br>revenue | <ul> <li>Taman</li> <li>Negara MYR</li> <li>350,000 from</li> <li>entrance fees</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Initial<br/>assessment<br/>during the<br/>inception</li> </ul>   | 20% increase<br>in gross<br>revenue for<br>the 3 target<br>PA sites over | Already<br>achieved    | Increase in revenue from<br>2010 for the three sites. These<br>increases in revenue are<br>linked to respective PAs'   |

| Indicator                                       | Baseline<br>Level   | Level in 1st PIR<br>(self- reported)<br>(Based on PIR<br>2013 for 2012)   | End-of-<br>project Target | Rating /<br>Assessment | Justification for Rating  |
|---|---|---|---------------------------|------------------------|---|
| sources of the<br>three<br>demonstration<br>PAs | and<br>recreational<br>related<br>charges<br>• Endau-<br>Rompin<br>National Park<br>MYR 216,172<br>from<br>entrance<br>fees, tourism<br>and<br>recreational<br>charges,<br>income from<br>concessions<br>• Royal<br>Belum State<br>Park MYR<br>126,000 from<br>entrance fees<br>and<br>recreational<br>related<br>charges | phase indicates<br>that there is a<br>potential to<br>increase fees<br>imposed<br>currently as a<br>means to<br>increase<br>revenue (PIR<br>2013).<br>• The<br>challenge is to<br>create an<br>enabling<br>environment<br>for PAs to<br>retain the<br>revenue<br>generated and<br>to earmark<br>them for park<br>management<br>(PIR 2013) | the project<br>period.    |                        | normally programmed<br>financing mechanisms and<br>not necessarily attributable<br>to project interventions.<br>Taman Negara NP collected<br>RM600,000 from entrance<br>fees, permits and other<br>recreation related charges, a<br>slight increase<br>Endau-Rompin NP collected<br>RM283, 644 in site-based<br>revenue in 2014 (ENRP<br>Business Plan)<br>Royal Belum SP collected<br>RM308,012 from<br>accommodation and permits<br>(PIR 2016).<br>The potential for additional<br>revenue generation for the<br>target sites will be addressed<br>through the preparation of<br>management and business<br>plans<br>The proposed TEEB study at<br>the three sites will be used by<br>the project to build a strong<br>business and social case for<br>investment in safeguarding<br>the protected areas in its<br>continual engagement with<br>the State Governments and<br>other potential donors (PIR<br>2015).<br>The study will provide<br>substantive inputs to the<br>State Governments in their<br>preparation of annual<br>budgeting and development<br>plans. However, the project<br>needs to be mindful to<br>emphasize the need for<br>reinvestments of these<br>revenue into PA |

| Indicator   | Baseline<br>Level   | Level in 1st PIR<br>(self- reported)<br>(Based on PIR<br>2013 for 2012)  | End-of-<br>project Target                    | Rating /<br>Assessment                | Justification for Rating   |
|---|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|
|   |   |  |  |                                       | management.<br>Gradual increase in revenues<br>generated indicates the<br>potential of PAs in<br>generating economic<br>benefit, and may also<br>indicate increased demand<br>and interest for visitation at<br>PAs  |
| <ul> <li>Indicator 4:<br/>Length of<br/>park<br/>patrolled per<br/>year</li> <li>Number of<br/>patrolling<br/>programmes<br/>per year</li> <li>Percentage<br/>of the area<br/>patrolled per<br/>year</li> <li>Number of<br/>patrolling<br/>staff</li> <li>Number of<br/>illegal activity<br/>(including<br/>encroachme<br/>nt and<br/>poaching)<br/>cases within<br/>PA reported</li> </ul> | Taman<br>Negara: 5 -10<br>km per day<br>Taman<br>Negara: 8 - 10<br>days per<br>month by<br>walking<br>Taman<br>Negara: 10%<br>Taman<br>Negara: 2<br>cases in 2010 | • The project<br>is finalizing its<br>implementati<br>on plan that<br>will detail out<br>the outputs<br>and specific<br>activities to<br>address the<br>indicator. The<br>implementati<br>on plan will be<br>presented to<br>the National<br>Steering<br>Committee for<br>endorsement<br>(PIR 2013). | 25% increase<br>50% increase<br>50% increase | • Partially<br>achieved /<br>on track | <ul> <li>The Department of Wildlife and National Parks (DWNP) has intensified patrolling activities in PAs and surrounding areas by undertaking joint operations with other enforcement agencies such as Army and Volunteers of Malaysian People (RELA)</li> <li>In 2013, DWNP carried out 66 patrols in Taman Negara and 5 joint patrols with army 5.</li> <li>In 2014, in addition to its regular patrols in Taman Negara, DWNP carried out 6 joint patrols with Malaysian Armed Forces under the 1MBEON (1Malaysia Biodiversity Enforcement Operation Network) Programme spearheaded by the governments National Blue Ocean Strategy (NBOS).</li> <li>In 2015, joint patrols under 1MBEON continued with the involvement of the DWNP Peninsular Malaysia together with the Ministry of Defense (MINDEF) through the Malaysian Army (TDM). A total of 12 operations involving 829 enforcement</li> </ul> |

| Indicator | Baseline<br>Level | Level in 1st PIR<br>(self- reported)<br>(Based on PIR<br>2013 for 2012) | End-of-<br>project Target | Rating /<br>Assessment | Justification for Rating  |
|-----------|-------------------|---|---------------------------|------------------------|---|
|           |                   |   |                           |                        | <ul> <li>personnel were carried out<br/>in the 3 main landscapes:<br/>Taman Negara (Pahang,<br/>Kelantan, and Terenggan),<br/>Endau-Rompin National<br/>Park, Johor and Royal Belum<br/>State Park, Perak. This<br/>represented a doubling of<br/>the number of operations<br/>and a 30% increase in<br/>personnel (PIR 2016)</li> <li>As reported in the PIR<br/>(2016) the financial<br/>contribution to the 1MBEON<br/>programme increased to<br/>RM1.47 million compared to<br/>RM0.57 million in 2014</li> <li>At Royal Belum State Park,<br/>NRE, DWNP and Forestry<br/>Department conducted 4<br/>joint patrolling programmes.</li> <li>Royal Belum State Park<br/>and Endau Rompin National<br/>Park have no regular<br/>patrolling programmes. The<br/>two PA agencies PSPC and<br/>JNPC responsible for these<br/>two sites do not have<br/>dedicated patrolling units<br/>(PIR 2014).</li> <li>Funding received by<br/>DWNP for this programme in<br/>2014 was MYR570,000. A<br/>total of 636 personnel were<br/>involved in these patrols:<br/>comprising 252 staff and 384<br/>Army personnel.</li> <li>Area covered in Taman<br/>Negara NP: 143,300 Ha or<br/>33% of the total park area. A<br/>total of 19 poachers were<br/>arrested and charged.<br/>Encroachment activities in</li> </ul> |

| Indicator   | Baseline<br>Level  | Level in 1st PIR<br>(self- reported)<br>(Based on PIR<br>2013 for 2012) | End-of-<br>project Target              | Rating /<br>Assessment | Justification for Rating  |
|---|--|---|--|------------------------|---|
|   |  |   |  |                        | the boundary of the Park<br>were uncovered and halted.<br>• A total of 18 Cambodian<br>and Vietnamese poachers<br>were arrested and charged.<br>A further 33 locals were<br>arrested in the course of<br>enforcement operations. In<br>total, 63 signs of poaching<br>(bullet casings, snares, and<br>others) and 120 illegal<br>campsites/encroachment<br>sites were recorded.   |
| Indicator 5:<br>Tiger<br>population as<br>a flagship<br>species in<br>target PAs<br>namely Taman<br>Negara, Endau-<br>Rompin<br>National Park<br>and Royal<br>Belum State<br>Park | Estimated<br>tiger<br>population<br>for year<br>2013*:<br>Taman<br>Negara: 18<br>(No data for<br>Endau-<br>Rompin<br>National Park<br>or<br>Royal Belum<br>State Park)<br>*Estimated<br>tiger<br>population<br>for the three<br>target PAs for<br>year 2013 will<br>be used as<br>baseline and<br>the baseline<br>figures will be<br>indicated in<br>the next<br>Project<br>Implementati | • Estimated to<br>be the same as<br>the baseline<br>level (PIR 2013)    | 50% increase<br>in tiger<br>population | • At risk              | <ul> <li>Tiger survey was conducted at the three sites by DWNP and partners, namely WWF, WCS and MyCAT. Based on the survey, tiger population is estimated between 0.57 to 0.84 tigers per 100 sq km (PIR 2014).</li> <li>The official figure made public in 2014 is 250 340 tigers. This is based on field-based data gathered from seven known tiger ranging areas in Peninsula Malaysia. Estimates are based on camera trap surveys and field observation, mainly by Wildlife Conservation Society, DWNP and WWF Malaysia. Taman Negara, Endau-Rompin and Royal Belum among the seven areas studied</li> <li>During mission activities, stakeholders expressed extreme concern about the effects of continuing poaching activities upon tiger populations, stating that local</li> </ul> |

| Indicator | Baseline<br>Level       | Level in 1st PIR<br>(self- reported)<br>(Based on PIR<br>2013 for 2012) | End-of-<br>project Target | Rating /<br>Assessment | Justification for Rating   |
|-----------|-------------------------|---|---------------------------|------------------------|--|
|           | on Review<br>2013 2014. |   |                           |                        | <ul> <li>populations could be extirpated within a matter of years</li> <li>The tiger population estimates recorded no changes in 2015 (PIR 2016). However, population estimates from year to year may not be comparable—there is a need to ensure that population measurements are standardized.</li> <li><u>Other considerations:</u></li> <li>More studies are needed beyond these areas: in order to obtain a reliable estimate for the whole country, it is important that additional forested areas are also studied.</li> <li>It remains difficult to estimate tiger population and compare data from previous years. A definitive comparison with previous estimates quoted in the baseline figure is not feasible as the previous method of data collection and extrapolation is different (PIR 2015)</li> </ul> |

 Table 8: MTR Recommendations and Management Response

|                       | MTR<br>Recommendation   | Management Response  | Key Action<br>identified  | Responsible<br>Agent          | Status (Not<br>Initiatied/<br>Initiated/<br>Completed/ No<br>Longer<br>Applicable<br>PLEASE<br>UPDATE THE<br>BELOW | Due Date<br>(PLEASE<br>UPDATE THE<br>BELOW) | Comments   | Status as per May 2020   |
|-----------------------|---|--|---|-------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
|                       | 1. Implement Key<br>Actions to Achieve<br>Performance-<br>Based Sustainable<br>Financing<br>Standards                         |  |   |                               |  |   |  |  |
| High<br>Level<br>Recs | <i>Key Action 1:</i><br>Ensure budget<br>considerations for<br>PAs are included<br>under the Malaysia<br>Plan, every 5 years. | <ul> <li>1.1 Currently the National<br/>Conservation Trust Fund is<br/>being operated by the Ministry<br/>of Natural Resources and<br/>Environment (NRE) with an<br/>allocation of RM 10 million from<br/>the Government. The PA<br/>Financing Project helped NRE to<br/>develop the Operating<br/>Guidelines to operationalize the<br/>Fund, which include a set or<br/>criteria for project selection;<br/>approval and monitoring and<br/>evaluation.</li> <li>In January 2018; the Project has<br/>initiated with the engagement<br/>of a resource person to<br/>undertake study on developing<br/>"Strategic Plan and Resource<br/>Mobilization for National<br/>Conservation Trust Fund". The</li> </ul> | 1. Undertake<br>study on<br>developing<br><b>"Strategic</b><br>Plan and<br>Resource<br>Mobilization<br>for National<br>Conservation<br>Trust Fund | KATS,<br>DWNP, MEA<br>and PMU | on-going   | 31-Dec-18                                   | Recommendations<br>to restructure NCTF<br>have been submitted<br>to KATS in<br>September 2018.<br>KATS acknowledged<br>the need to<br>restructure the NCTF<br>and exploring the<br>option of reviewing<br>Section 10 of<br>Treasury Regulations<br>under which the<br>Fund currently being<br>operated.<br>With the support of<br>PA Financing Project<br><b>"Resource</b><br>Mobilization<br><b>Strategy" being</b><br>developed and wider<br>level of stakeholders | Main outputs: i) Strategic Plan<br>and ii) Resource Mobilization<br>Strategy for NCTF completed<br>and submitted to KATS in<br>January 2020. With the support<br>of projecta wider stakeholders<br>consultation session was<br>planned for both outputs.<br>However, change of<br>Goverment and Covid-19<br>lockdown halted the process. |

|                       |   | study will provide options and<br>strategic directions for<br>strengthening the management<br>and ensuring long term<br>sustainability of the Fund.   |  |            |          |           | consultations are<br>planned particularly<br>with potential<br>contributors into the<br>Fund.  |  |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|------------|----------|-----------|--|--|
| High<br>Level<br>Recs | <i>Key Action 2:</i><br>Revisit the<br>fundamental<br>issues of<br>performance<br>based financing | PA Financing Project<br>collaborating with BioFin<br>Project developing guidelines<br>and criteria for budget<br>proposals and allocation for<br>conservation of biodiversity<br>related programmes and<br>projects under the Malaysian<br>Five Year Plan. It is envisaged<br>that these guidelines will be<br>incorporated into the planning<br>process of 12th Malaysia Plan;<br>and elements of biodiversity<br>conservation will be made part<br>of criteria for budget allocation<br>to the line ministries and<br>agencies. | 2. Developing<br>BioFin<br>guidelines<br>which is to be<br>submitted to<br>MEA by the<br>end of 2018 | KATS & MEA | on-going | 31-Dec-18 | Project is<br>collaborating with<br>BioFin activity,<br>conducted a training<br>session for state<br>governments and<br>agencies on financial<br>planning for<br>conservation in<br>March 2018. Further<br>training sessions are<br>planned targeting<br>specific training<br>needs of<br>participating states<br>such as Johor and<br>Perak.<br>Meantime, findings<br>and observations<br>from BioFin Phase 1<br>activities will be<br>advanced further for<br>the consideration of<br>decision makers in<br>MEA and MOF;<br>particularly to<br>incorporate BioFin<br>methodology/criteria<br>for 12th Malaysia<br>Plan projects. | An integrtaed report and<br>policy brief on BioFin Phase 1<br>inititiaves have been<br>completed. The outputs<br>synthesises findings and<br>observation made on the<br>application of BioFin tool for<br>conservation financial<br>planning and propose some<br>policy options for<br>Governmen's consideration<br>ways to incorpoarte BioFin into<br>12th Malaysia Plan. |
| High<br>Level<br>Recs | 2. Establish Action<br>Plan on Protected<br>Areas and<br>Sustainable<br>Finance | In January 2018, the Project<br>initiated study on "The<br>Economics of Economics and<br>Biodiversity (TEEB)" for three<br>target sites Taman Negara;<br>Endau Rompin and Royal<br>Belum. The study plans to<br>develop evidence base for<br>increased financial support and<br>investment for the PAs by<br>demonstrating its economics<br>and non-economics<br>contribution and values to the<br>society. | 3. Study on<br>"The<br>Economics of<br>Economics<br>and<br>Biodiversity<br>(TEEB)" for<br>three target<br>sites Taman<br>Negara;<br>Endau<br>Rompin and<br>Royal Belum | KATS, MEA,<br>DWNP,<br>JNPC, PSPC | Initiated | Apr-20 | Two resource<br>persons have been<br>engaged in January<br>2018 to undertake<br>study. In May 2018,<br>the resource persons<br>undertook extensive<br>stakeholders'<br>consultation with<br>participating states<br>and implementing<br>partners DWNP,<br>JNPC and PSPC. The<br>sessions helped to<br>identify key areas for<br>economic valuation<br>for the 3 sites as well<br>as targeted<br>respondence<br>(approximately 1300<br>for each site<br>involving four<br>categories) for the<br>survey. Findings<br>from the survey will<br>provide fundamental<br>inputs for the<br>economic valuation<br>for the 3 sites. PA<br>Financing Project<br>and UNDP are<br>currently in the<br>process of<br>appointing a survey<br>company and it is<br>expected that the<br>survey will start in<br>June 2019. | The economics survey was<br>launched in October 2019 and<br>expected to be completed by<br>May 2020. However, the actual<br>survey was started only in<br>February 2020. and to date<br>only 16% of the targeted 3900<br>participants have been<br>covered. Due to Covid-19<br>lockdown, the survey is halted<br>since March 2020. The Team<br>has worked on alternate<br>aproaches to pursue with the<br>survey using digital platform.<br>On-line survey for general<br>public was launched on 13th<br>May 2020. For tourist and<br>others expected to be<br>completed by September<br>2020, and TEEB anaylsis will be<br>continued after that. |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------|--|---|
|-----------------------|---|---|--|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------|--|---|

| High<br>Level<br>Recs |  | Another study on "Sustainable<br>Financing Plan" will be<br>undertaken in 2018 targeting<br>the three PA authorities: DWNP;<br>JNPC and PSPC. This study will<br>explore various market and<br>non-market based financial<br>mechanisms that could be<br>adopted by the PA agencies for<br>generating additional revenues<br>at site and agency level.  | 4. Study on<br><b>"Sustainable</b><br>Financing<br><b>Plan" will be</b><br>undertaken in<br>2018<br>targeting the<br>three PA<br>authorities:<br>DWNP; JNPC<br>and PSPC | KATS,<br>DWNP,<br>JNPC, PSPC<br>& State<br>Govts | Initiated | Dec-19 | PMU decided not to<br>launch a separate<br>study. Findings from<br>Business Plans<br>developed for<br>DWNP, JNPC and<br>PSPC and lessons<br>from BioFin will be<br>further evaluated<br>and enhanced<br>through series of<br>training sessions for<br>the agencies with<br>the aim of<br>strengthening<br>conservation<br>financial planning. | A study on developing<br>Sustainable Financing<br>Mechanisms plan was<br>launched in Oct 2019. Final<br>draft of the Plan for DWNP,<br>JNPC and PSPC already<br>submitted and will be finalzied<br>by end of May 2020. |
|-----------------------|--|---|---|--|-----------|--------|---|--|
| High<br>Level<br>Recs | 3. Strengthen<br>Budget Planning<br>and Budget<br>Platform | Capacity building programme<br>will be conducted for the<br>Federal and State agencies. The<br>BioFin methodology will be<br>introduced, a structured<br>method of developing financial<br>planning for conservation of<br>biodiversity. Whilst findings of<br>TEEB provides economical<br>argument for increased<br>investment for conservation;<br>the BioFin methodology will<br>able to enhance the planning<br>capacity for incorporating<br>elements of conservation into<br>development projects and<br>programme. | 5. BioFin<br>training<br>workshops for<br>federal & state<br>planning<br>units, to<br>introduce the<br>BioFin<br>methodology  | MEA, MOF,<br>PA Agencies                         | Initiated | Dec-19 | Will be addressed<br>together with<br>actions planned for<br>Recommendation<br>No. 2.2.   | status-quo   |

| High<br>Level<br>Recs |   | Another initiative is to explore<br>the establishment of Business<br>development unit in PA<br>management unit. This<br>recommendation was raised<br>through the findings of<br>Business Plans developed for<br>the three PA agencies – stress<br>the need of a dedicated unit<br>focussing on financial planning<br>for conservation.  | 6. Establishing<br>dedicated<br>Business<br>Development<br>Units in the<br>PA<br>Managements | PA Agencies        | Initiated | will be<br>determiened<br>by respective<br>PA agencies<br>and state<br>governments | It is the States'<br>government's<br>discretion to decide<br>on the process and<br>when to establish<br>the Unit. However,<br>for both Perak and<br>Johor the immediate<br>priority is to<br>strengthen capacity<br>of existing for<br>financial planning for<br>conservation within<br>the existing planning<br>units. | Under respective State<br>Governments' consideration.   |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|--------------------|-----------|--|---|---|
| High<br>Level<br>Recs | 4. Develop and<br>Pilot-Test<br>Sustainable<br>Financing<br>Mechanisms                                      | Business Plans have been<br>developed for the three PA<br>agencies (DWNP; JNPC and<br>PSPC) highlighting funding<br>options and mechanisms that<br>could be adopted and<br>implemented at site and agency<br>level. These options and<br>mechanisms will be further<br>scrutinized assessing the<br>viability of implementation with<br>the Agencies in accordance<br>with legal mandate vested with<br>them. In addition, the<br>Sustainable Financing study<br>also will able to provide some<br>insights into this | (key action #4:<br>Sustainable<br>Financing<br>Study)  |                    |           |  | Will be addressed<br>together with<br>recommendations 2<br>and 3.   | status-quo  |
| High<br>Level<br>Recs | 5. Promote<br>Institutional<br>Strengthening at<br>All Scales to<br>Achieve<br>Sustainable<br>Finance Goals | IBD transformation plan to<br>develop into a national training<br>centre catering the capacity and<br>skills development needs of PA<br>agencies in the Country. The<br>Project extends technical<br>assistance to develop strategic<br>actions particularly to<br>strengthen IBD's training<br>portfolio.  | 7. IBD<br>restructuring<br>plan<br>developed   | KATS,<br>DWNP, JPA | Initiated | 31-Dec-19  | In December 2018, a<br>brainstorming<br>session was<br>organized to develop<br>strategic framework<br>for IBD<br>transformation. The<br>resource persons<br>engaged from<br>SPEEARS   | Based on IBD transforamtion<br>outline framework produced<br>in January 2019, the Project<br>continued its support to DWNP<br>to conduct a series of<br>consultation sessions to distill<br>further the outline framework<br>into a detail action plan. As a<br>result, Blueprint for IBD |

|  |  |  | International applied  | transformattion has been     |
|--|--|--|------------------------|------------------------------|
|  |  |  | 'Contextualized        | developed for DWNP's action. |
|  |  |  | Leadership             |                              |
|  |  |  | Execution" method      |                              |
|  |  |  | to gauge the           |                              |
|  |  |  | leadership ability     |                              |
|  |  |  | amongst DWNP           |                              |
|  |  |  | officials to spearhead |                              |
|  |  |  | the IBD                |                              |
|  |  |  | transformation. At     |                              |
|  |  |  | the end of the         |                              |
|  |  |  | Brainstorming          |                              |
|  |  |  | session a framework    |                              |
|  |  |  | outline for IBD        |                              |
|  |  |  | transformation was     |                              |
|  |  |  | produced through a     |                              |
|  |  |  | comparative analysis   |                              |
|  |  |  | with similar           |                              |
|  |  |  | institutions around    |                              |
|  |  |  | the world and major    |                              |
|  |  |  | roles played by them   |                              |
|  |  |  | in biodiversity        |                              |
|  |  |  | conservation. The      |                              |
|  |  |  | framework outline      |                              |
|  |  |  | helps to identify      |                              |
|  |  |  | areas and functions    |                              |
|  |  |  | of IBD that could be   |                              |
|  |  |  | further enhanced       |                              |
|  |  |  | within the capacity    |                              |
|  |  |  | and competency of      |                              |
|  |  |  | DWNP. A workshop       |                              |
|  |  |  | is scheduled from 2    |                              |
|  |  |  | till 4 May 2019 with   |                              |
|  |  |  | the aim of             |                              |
|  |  |  | presenting the         |                              |
|  |  |  | framework outline to   |                              |
|  |  |  | KATS; JPA and MOF;     |                              |
|  |  |  | and to develop         |                              |
|  |  |  | implementation         |                              |
|  |  |  | plan.                  |                              |

| High<br>Level<br>Recs |   | The six weeks training<br>programme on "Effective<br>Protected Area Management<br>(EPAM)" will be strengthen<br>further by acquiring<br>professional accreditation for<br>the programme.  | 8. Professional<br>accreditation<br>for the<br>Effective<br>Protected<br>Area<br>Management<br>(EPAM)<br>training<br>programme<br>obtained    | DWNP, JPA   | Completed?    | 31-Dec-18   | DWNP is working<br>with MQA and UiTM<br>to obtain<br>professional<br>accreditation for<br>EPAM by 2019.   | Agreement has been achieved<br>with UiTM for accreditation.<br>DWNP planned to elevate the<br>training into Executive<br>Diploma level this year. |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|---|---------------|-------------|---|---|
| High<br>Level<br>Recs |   | Financing Project collaborating<br>with BioFin initiative to<br>introduce methodologies for<br>biodiversity conservation<br>financial planning at all level:<br>Federal; State and Agencies. In<br>addition to specialised training<br>sessions; this will be carried out<br>through Sustainable Financing<br>Study.  | (key action #5:<br>BioFin<br>training<br>workshops for<br>federal & state<br>planning<br>units, to<br>introduce the<br>BioFin<br>methodology) |   | Initiated     |             | First training session<br>is scheduled in<br>March 2018. Target<br>group all States<br>Economic Planning<br>Units and States<br>Finance Offices.                            | No further action taken.  |
| High<br>Level<br>Recs | 6. Confirm That<br>Government<br>Actions Intended<br>to Support and<br>Strengthen | The Government (NRE; DWNP<br>and other key agencies will<br>embark on National Policy on<br>Biodiversity (NPBD) roadshow<br>heightening the objectives;<br>programmes and targets to be<br>achieved with the State<br>Governments. The Policy will<br>provide the basis for financial<br>planning for biodiversity<br>conservation related program<br>and projects. | 9. NPBD<br>policy<br>roadshow   | MEA, MOF,<br>KATS,<br>DWNP,<br>Federal &<br>State<br>agencies | Not initiated | 2021 (12MP) | To be planned for 12<br>MP period   | No further action, depsite of<br>Project's offer to KATS to<br>support the roadshow.  |
| High<br>Level<br>Recs | Biodiversity<br>Conservation,<br>Actually Do So                                   | PA Financing Project provides<br>support to PA Agencies in-terms<br>of revision of respective<br><b>"Enactments" and study on</b><br>sustainable financing. There is<br>general consensus amongst PA<br>agencies and State<br>governments to strengthen and<br>the governing structure (legal   | Technical<br>support to<br>reviews of<br>state<br>enactments<br>relating to PA<br>management  | KATS,<br>DWNP, Park<br>Agencies                               | Initiated     | 31-Dec-19   | Project decided to<br>withdraw support to<br>Johor for JNPC<br>Enactment review, as<br>the proposed review<br>was not in<br>conformity with<br>GEF/UNDP's<br>principles for | Final draft of new enactment<br>for PSPC presented to Perak<br>State Government in<br>December 2019.  |

|                             | 1 |    |                         |
|-----------------------------|---|----|-------------------------|
| framework) and study the    |   | te | echnical assistance.    |
| options and mechanisms for  |   |    |                         |
| creating improved financing |   |    | meeting was held        |
| modes for biodiversity      |   | ir | n March 2019 with       |
| conservation programmes.    |   | Р  | ahang, Terengganu       |
|                             |   | a  | nd Kelantan with        |
|                             |   |    | egard to                |
|                             |   |    | eview/repeal of         |
|                             |   |    |                         |
|                             |   |    | aman Negara             |
|                             |   |    | nactment and            |
|                             |   |    | produce a new           |
|                             |   | E  | nactment. Project       |
|                             |   | W  | vill help to develop a  |
|                             |   | "( | concept paper"          |
|                             |   | ra | aising all the critical |
|                             |   |    | re-conditional          |
|                             |   |    | ssues that must be      |
|                             |   |    | ddressed before         |
|                             |   |    | proceeding with         |
|                             |   |    | Irafting a new          |
|                             |   | ŭ  | nactment. The next      |
|                             |   |    |                         |
|                             |   |    | ourse of actions will   |
|                             |   |    | e decided by the        |
|                             |   |    | espective States and    |
|                             |   | a  | gencies.                |
|                             |   |    |                         |
|                             |   |    | erak recently only      |
|                             |   | SI | ubmitted its request    |
|                             |   | fc | or PSPC Enactment       |
|                             |   |    | eview, however it is    |
|                             |   |    | po late for Project to  |
|                             |   | ir | hitiate and complete    |
|                             |   |    | he process within       |
|                             |   |    | he remaining            |
|                             |   |    |                         |
|                             |   |    | roject period.          |
|                             |   |    | ttention will be        |
|                             |   |    | iven to develop         |
|                             |   |    | OP for enforcement      |
|                             |   |    | wildlife crime;         |
|                             |   | p  | oaching) as part of     |
|                             |   |    | Nanagement Plan         |
|                             |   |    |                         |
|                             |   | st | trategies for RBSP.     |

| High<br>Level<br>Recs |  | Developing strategic plan and<br>resource mobilization strategies<br>for NCTF. The study will<br>elaborate on the various<br>strategic options to transform<br>the fund into and<br>independently managed<br>ensuring long term<br>sustainability of funding<br>sources; and mechanisms to<br>address the financing gaps<br>amongst the PA agencies.  | Study on<br>NCTF<br>strategic plan<br>& resource<br>mobilization<br>strategies   | KATS, MEA,<br>DWNP                                    | Initiated | 31-Dec-19 | The 1st draft of<br><b>"Resource</b><br>Mobilization<br><b>Strategy" for NCTF</b><br>will ne submitted to<br>KATS in April 2019.   | Final outputs of NCTF: i)<br>Strategic Plan and ii) Resource<br>Mobilization Strategy<br>submitted to KATS in January<br>2020.  |
|-----------------------|--|---|--|---|-----------|-----------|--|---|
| High<br>Level<br>Recs | 7. Promote Greater<br>Community<br>Engagement and<br>Empowerment | Collaborating with PA agencies,<br>developing mechanisms to<br>engage the local communities<br>for co-management in line with<br>management plan of Endau<br>Rompin (JNPC). With support of<br>Project the local Community<br>have formed a Cooperative<br>which will help them to develop<br>income generating<br>opportunities as well as<br>addressing land tenure issues<br>faced by them. The Cooperative<br>also can be used as a platform<br>by the PA authority to engage<br>the Community in an effective<br>manner. | Engagement<br>with local<br>communities<br>through<br>cooperatives<br>formed for<br>developing<br>income<br>generating<br>activities | UNDP,<br>DWNP,<br>PSPC, JNPC,<br>MOTAC,<br>JAKOA, PMU | Initiated | 31-Dec-19 | A number of training<br>and consultative<br>sessions involving<br>ERNP local<br>communities were<br>conducted. In<br>addition, in<br>December 2018 a<br>dialogue between<br>local communities<br>from Krau Wildlife<br>Reserve and<br>government<br>agencies was<br>conducted. | JNPC pursuing follow-up<br>actions with communities in<br>Endau Rompin.   |
| High<br>Level<br>Recs |  | To prioritize the strategies and<br>action plans elaborated in<br>Management Plans for three<br>Parks, related the co-<br>management of the parks with<br>the engagement of local<br>communities.   | involving<br>local<br>communities<br>through<br>engagement<br>platforms<br>established   | DWNP, JNPC<br>and PSPC                                | on-going  | Dec-19    | Addressed by<br>respective agencies.<br>JNPC plans to<br>organize a session<br>with local<br>communities on the<br>management zone<br>planning.<br>Perak prioritized<br>strengthening of<br>enforcement and<br>project will support<br>developing SOP.                         | Project supported PSPC:<br>i) deveoping SOP for<br>enforcement and training of<br>PSPC staffs. Completed in<br>January 2020.<br>ii) currently assiting in<br>developing Implementation<br>Framework for Royal Belum<br>Management Plan. will be<br>completed by May 2020. |

| High<br>Level<br>Recs |   | To collaborate with IC – CFS<br>with focused intervention for<br>engaging local communities<br>and CBOs. IC-CFS will built upon<br>the capacity building platform<br>developed for CBOs and local<br>community; developing income<br>generating opportunities at site<br>levels.   | utilising<br>capacity<br>building<br>platform<br>developed by<br>IC-CFS project<br>to engage<br>local<br>communities   | PMU, DWNP,<br>JNPC, PSPC,<br>IC-CFS PMU | Initiated | 31-Dec-19 | Due to IC CFS is<br>undergoing<br>restructuring,<br>planned<br>collaborative<br>activities are put on<br>hold.  | No further action.  |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|---|-----------|-----------|---|---|
| High<br>Level<br>Recs | 8. Extend the<br>Project Timeframe  | The Department of Wildlife and<br>National Parks (DWNP) as<br>implementing partner of PA<br>Financing Project will submit<br>the request for extension of<br>project timeframe.  | Submission of<br>project<br>extension  | DWNP and<br>UNDP                        | Submitted | Jun-18    | Taking into account<br>government<br>procedural and<br>decision -making<br>process; extended<br>project timeframe is<br>necessitated to<br>realize some of the<br><b>Project's outputs and</b><br>results.                    | completed   |
| High<br>Level<br>Recs | 9. Continue High-<br>Level Engagement<br>For Greater Buy-In<br>and More Effective<br>Implementation of<br>the Project | Processes have already been<br>put in place to communicate<br>the Project's outputs and<br>intended results to the decision<br>makers particularly in Johor and<br>Perak. This process will be<br>continued by producing<br>appropriate project<br>communique assisting the PA<br>authorities and State<br>Government to adopt and<br>implement Project's outputs.<br>This includes: Management<br>Plans for PAs; review of legal<br>framework and mechanisms for<br>sustainable financing.<br>The Project will provide<br>additional support by assisting<br>the PA agencies to prioritize<br>strategies and action plans from<br>the Management Plans for<br>implementation. | Developing<br>knowledge<br>products such<br>as PA<br>Management<br>Plans, legal<br>framework<br>reviews, and<br>sustainable<br>financing<br>mechanism<br>report, as well<br>as action<br>plans | PMU, DWNP,<br>JNPC, PSPC,               | Initiated | Dec-18    | All the 3 agencies<br>DWNP, PSPC and<br>JNPC have formally<br>adopted their<br>respective<br>management plans.<br>plans to table the<br><b>Plan to PSPC's board</b><br>for adoption of<br>Management Plan<br>for Royal Belum. | Both JNPC and PSPC:<br>Management Plans for Endau<br>Rompin and Royal Belum<br>adopted by their respective<br>Boards. For Taman Negara by<br>DWNP.<br>Project continued provide<br>support based on IPs needs<br>such as SOP for enforcement<br>for PSPC. |

| Medium<br>Level<br>Recs | 10. Ensure That<br>Indicators in the<br>SRF are Specific,<br>Measurable,<br>Achievable,<br>Relevant and<br>Time-Bound<br>(SMART) | PMU will undertake the review<br>of SRF to determine the validity<br>and applicability of the targets<br>and indicators as set in the<br>project document.  | SRF review  | PMU                  | Completed | 31-Dec-18                                     | It was tabled at the<br>NSC meeting on the<br>30th November<br>2017, and PMU<br>incorporated inputs<br>and comments from<br>NSC into SRF. | Adopted. The effectivess<br>evaluted further during<br>terminal evaluation. |
|-------------------------|--|---|---|----------------------|-----------|---|---|---|
| Medium<br>Level<br>Recs | 11. Strengthen<br>Communication<br>and Coordination,<br>and Leverage   | Collaborating with BioFin<br>project, introducing the<br>methodology for conservation<br>financial planning to Federal<br>and State Agencies.   | Key action #5   | PMU                  | on-going  |   | A training workshop<br>on BioFin iwas<br>conducted in March<br>2018, targeting State<br>Government<br>planning units.                     | no further action.  |
| Medium<br>Level<br>Recs | Collaboration<br>Between the PA<br>Financing Project<br>and Related<br>Initiatives   | In collaboration with IC – CFS,<br>joint initiatives focusing on local<br>communities engagement in<br>conservation; in addition<br>capacity building and creating<br>livelihood and income<br>generating opportunities | Joint<br>initiatives<br>with IC-CFS to<br>engage local<br>communities | PMU & IC-<br>CFS PMU | stalled   | 2019<br>(pending IC-<br>CFS<br>restructuring) | Due to IC CFS is<br>undergoing<br>restructuring,<br>planned<br>collaborative<br>activities are put on<br>hold.                            | no further action   |

| Medium<br>Level<br>Recs | 12. Establish More<br>Effective<br>Communications<br>Platform Linking<br>Up the States of<br>Pahang,<br>Terengganu and<br>Kelantan in Taman<br>Negara | DWNP will look into the<br>possibilities of establishing<br>"management committee" for<br>Taman Negara comprising the 3<br>States, coordinating<br>implementation of<br>Management Plan and<br>addressing related issues.<br>Meantime, Taman Negara<br>"Enactment" will be reviewed<br>with the aim of streamlining the<br>governing legal framework for<br>Taman Negara between the 3<br>States. | Establishing<br>management<br>committee for<br>Taman<br>Negara &<br>reviewof<br>enactments<br>between 3<br>states | KATS, DWNP | Initiated     | 31-Dec-18 | KATS and DWNP<br>have initiated<br>coordination<br>meeting with the<br>respective states. As<br>a result agreement<br>has been reached to<br>review Taman<br>Negara Enactment.<br>In this regard, a<br>meeting was held in<br>March 2019 with<br>Pahang, Terengganu<br>and Kelantan with<br>regard to<br>review/repeal of<br>Taman Negara<br>Enactment and<br>produce a new<br>Enactment. Project<br>will help to develop a<br>"concept paper"<br>raising all the critical<br>pre-conditional<br>issues that must be<br>addressed before<br>proceeding with<br>drafting a new<br>enactment. The next<br>course of actions will<br>be decided by the<br>respective States and<br>agencies.<br>Project management | Project provided support at<br>the request of KATS for joint<br>consulation with 3 States<br>particularly on the possibilities<br>for enacting new enactment<br>for Taman Negara. Project<br>delivered a concept paper on<br>this in June 2019. It is for KATS<br>to follow-up. |
|-------------------------|---|---|---|------------|---------------|-----------|---|---|
| Medium<br>Level<br>Recs | 13. Apply a<br>"Theory of<br>Change" Approach   |   | jurisdiction of<br>respective<br>governments<br>agencies  |            | Not initiated |           | will need to discuss<br>considering the<br>jurisdiction issue and<br>GEF requirements   |   |

#### TERMINAL EVALUATION (TE)

The TE was undertaken in 2020, with field mission was conducted in February 2020, and final report was delivered in June 2020. The TE was carried out with the aim of providing a systematic and comprehensive review and evaluation of the performance of the project by assessing its design, processes of implementation, and achievement relative to its objectives. Under this overarching aim, its objectives were: i) to promote accountability and transparency for the achievement of GEF objectives through the assessment of results, effectiveness, efficiency, relevance, sustainability and impact of the partners involved in the project; and ii) to promote learning, feedback and knowledge sharing on the results and lessons learned from the project and its partners as a basis for decision-making on policies, strategies, programme management and projects, and to enhance knowledge and performance.

#### Summary of TE Findings

The prospect of achieving a Sustainable Financial Framework based on common performance-based metrics within the PA sector is highly relevant for Malaysia and holds much promise in terms of potential impact. There were profound institutional and systemic issues that prevented the PAF Project from achieving its full potential and realizing its core objective. As a result, the financial sustainability of the PA sector has not found its footing in Malaysia, and post project other initiatives will have to take on **important strands of work and "loose ends" at each level for lasting benefits to accrue. The Project's** high replication potential requires a concerted effort at all levels to assess best modalities and national instruments for creation of opportunities for PA financing and the sustainability of revenue streams going forward.

The adaptive management demonstrated by the project should be commended, with reference to the re-focusing of project priorities to the site level when opportunities presented themselves and when the institutional changes at other levels required recalibration and nurturing of relationships. The PMU acted with foresight and demonstrated its ability to seamlessly pivot from one outcome to the other.

A strategic decision taken early in the project - in response to a fundamental design weakness, which expanded the project scope to include both Sabah and Sarawak - has paid dividends. This has enhanced both formal and informal cooperation and has gone beyond its original concept by developing communication links between PA managers and *Orang Asli* communities. The conservation sector is likely to benefit from this well into the future.

The approach taken by the project towards education and institutional and professional capacity, from raising the profile of the IBD, work on the development and delivery of the EPAM module, supporting skill set enhancement by sending trainees to attend a three month wildlife management course at the WII, and delivering countless and indispensable training courses to raise the profile and qualifications of rangers, local communities and women alike, was of the highest standard and should be used as a benchmark for other projects.

The project also demonstrated, while it takes time due to the political complexities, there are indeed options to move away from reliance on State funds. Despite historical barriers to raising park fees, these were increased at Royal Belum State Park with the other two sites not far behind. While the project

made gains in this area, there remains barriers to other revenue streams being explored, including: i) bed night fees at the accommodation facilities within protected areas themselves, ii) 'conservation' fees for visitors to protected areas, iii) limitations in the way concession fees generated from Mutiara resort can be used when they go to the State, and iv) infrastructure fees channeled to federal accounts with parks not having access or a say in how the money is allocated.

Other barriers still remain. These include: i) the persistence of underlying threats to biodiversity – although there is the hope that by achieving financial sustainability, efforts will be made to address the threat, their root causes and the barriers to effective management of the protected areas, ii) governance issues and complex bureaucracy, iii) high rates of change among personnel leading to loss of momentum, institutional memory and lack of ownership, and iv) marginalization of the environment sector.

Insufficient country ownership and the provision of technical and managerial support beyond what one would expect from a NIM arrangement has placed a large burden of work and responsibility on an understaffed Project Management Unit, which has also undermined project progress to an extent.

In conclusion, despite the challenges it faced, the project demonstrated tremendous value in key areas. Further, in each of the areas in which the project worked, there are people now doing something different from what they were doing before; as such there is a shift from the "business as usual" to a new mindset.

| Objective:                             | To establish a performance-based financing structure<br>Protected Area (PA) system management in Peninsula   |               |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Indicator 1:                           | Increase in the Federal Government investment in PA management   | Not Met       |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indicator 2                            | Financial sustainability scorecard for the 3 PA networks:<br>DWNP, JNPC, PSPC  | Partially Met |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outcome 1:                             | Systemic & institutional capacities to manage and financially support a national PA System   |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indicator 1:                           | Establishment of the policy framework for the National PA system   | Met           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indicator 2<br>(1 <sup>st</sup> part): | Integrated PA information system   | Met           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indicator 2<br>(2 <sup>nd</sup> part): | Integrated PA performance monitoring system  | Not Met       |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indicator 3:                           | Financial incentive system, based primarily on performance indices, established and operational  | Partially met |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indicator 4:                           | National PA System mainstreamed in the budgeting<br>process for 5-year Malaysia Plan; increased number of<br><b>"bankable" projects in support of PA</b> management<br>approved for funding through operational grants | Not Met       |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outcome 2:                             | Technical and institutional capacities to manage sub-r<br>including capacities for effective financial managemer   |               |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indicator 1:                           | Financing gap decreased by at least 25 % in the target PA sub-networks (PSPC, DWNP)  | Met           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indicator 2:                           | Increase in capacity development indicator score (%) for<br>three target sub-national PA networks<br>- DWNP , - JNPC, - PSPC   | Met           |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7: The Strategic Results Framework showing End-of-Project status

| Indicator 3: | Number of PAs successfully meeting national<br>management criteria and accessing performance-based<br>financial transfers from the Federal system  | Not Met       |
|--------------|--|---------------|
| Indicator 4: | Economic and financial planning capacity institutionalized in the three sub-national PA network agencies   | Partially Met |
| Indicator 5: | Coordination between the PA agencies   | Met           |
| Outcome 3:   | Effective site-level PA management   |               |
| Indicator 1: | Number of PAs successfully meeting national<br>management criteria and accessing performance-based<br>financial transfers from the Federal system  | Met           |
| Indicator 2: | Improved management effectiveness as per METT scores for three target PAs.   | Met           |
| Indicator 3: | Increase in gross revenue amount and revenue sources of the three demonstration Pas  | Met           |
| Indicator 4: | Length of park patrolled per year;<br>Number of patrolling programmes per year; Percentage<br>of the area patrolled per year;<br>Number of patrolling staff, Number of illegal activity<br>(including encroachment and poaching) cases within PA<br>reported | Partially Met |
| Indicator 5: | Tiger population as a flagship species in target PAs<br>namely Taman Negara, Endau-Rompin National Park<br>and Royal Belum State Park  | Not Met       |

#### Financial Performance Auditing

The following audits and financial monitoring have been undertaken:

- May 2020 (ongoing): A virtual audit of the UNDP-CO, including the PAF Project;
- December 2019: HACT spot check in December 2019 covering USD 137,458.68 of expenditure between the period of January 2018 to June 2019. This reported no issues of concern;
- January 2016: UNDP Micro Assessment Exercise and the Harmonized Approach to Cash Transfer (HACT) Assurance Activities. The findings of which indicated that the project management and finance staff at the IP were not familiar with standard UN financial management rules and financial reporting procedures and recommended training to ensure compliance with standard UN financial management rules and implementation;
- December 2016: Monitoring Stage Quality Assurance Report which resulted in an overall "highly satisfactory" rating of the project;
- April 2015: Audit by the National Audit Department of Malaysia which assessed the financial performance of the project and covered expenditure disbursements of the CDR and assessed various documents such as the Statement of Assets, Statement of Cash Positions and undertook an overall assessment of the operational and internal control systems.

#### REPORTING

Generally, the reporting and work planning procedures have been consistent with UNDP implementation guidelines and the Project complied fully with the established reporting requirements. This included Annual Work Plans; Mid-year Progress Report and Annual Progress Reports submitted annually to Government of Malaysia and UNDP CO; Project Implementation Review submitted yearly to GEF and UNDP CO. In addition, **Project's progress w**as also reported through minutes of monthly Project Management Unit and twice a yearly progress reports to National Steering Committee, which the progress reports also were shared with a wide range of stakeholders. Activities specific outcomes were reported through periodic site visits and follow-up mission reports. The NSC served as the highest decision-making authority providing policy guidance and direction to PMU and overseeing the overall implementation of the Project. The PMU in-charge of day to day affairs of the Project constantly in consultations with NPD and UNDP CO reporting on the status and seeking guidance and advice on compliance of implementation guidelines.

#### PROJECT FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

**The Project's finance derived mainly from two channels: direct** grant contribution by GEF and cofinancing & in-kind contributions by Government through participating IP and project partners. GEF contribution amounted to USD 5.6 million and expected co-financing & in-kind contribution from Government was USD 13.3 million (Table 13). Towards end of Project, co-financing and in-kind contribution was estimated around USD 19.2 million surpassing the initial estimates. The co-financing and in-kind contributions were in the forms of development expenditure for protected area management and/or conservation, time spent by the counterparts and services rendered by them in support of Project; provision of office facilities and infrastructure; hospitality during meetings and consultation sessions; and participation in conferences and training. However, the IP and project partners decided to attribute the one-off infrastructure investment made at the site levels during 2015 – 2016, as complementary to Projects' interventions which is reflected in the final in-kind contribution estimates. In-terms of GEF contribution, Project managed to achieve about 95% expenditure of USD 5.6 million, at the end of Project.

## Table 8: Project Finance

| Project Financing      | at CEO endorsement (USD) | At Closure (USD) |
|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| [1] GEF Financing      | 5,600,000                | 5,300,000        |
| [2] UNDP Contribution  | 100,000                  | -                |
| [3] Government         | 13,300,000               | 19,200,000       |
| [4] Other partners     |                          |                  |
| [5] Total co-financing | 13,400,000               | 19,200,000       |
| TOTAL PROJECT COSTS    | 19,000,000               |                  |

PMU prepared annual work plans (AWP) detailing planned activities under each component and corresponding budget for each calendar year submit to UNDP CO for approval. Annual budget estimates are made following UNDP procedures based on ProDoc budget appropriation for each component. Annual budget monitored and reviewed regularly to ensure expenditure trend is on track and amendments were made when need arises with the approval of UNDP.

The yearly expenditure by component is presented in Figure 10.



Figure 10: Project's Year-by-Year Financial Performance

Project budget appropriation based on ProDoc by major Atlas account code is illustrated in Figure 11. Most of the budget is targeted for securing consultancy services (company) and followed by hiring international and local individual consultants.





Estimated end of Project budget expenditure by major accounts codes is presented in Figure 11. Most of the expenditure was for workshops, training and knowledge sharing sessions, and the total expenditure exceeding allocation made at the beginning of the Project, indicating Project's emphasize on developing capacity and competency of PA front-liners covering the whole country including Sabah and Sarawak. The Project did not utilize much of anticipated services by consultancy services by companies and international consultants. Only for two activities they were engaged: TEEB Study and developing Management and Business Plans for the three targets. The Project mostly relied on local consultants capitalizing on internally developed expertise and knowledge. The Project's overall finance partly benefitted also from the strengthening of USD against MYR during the Project period.

## BEST PRACTICES AND SUCCESS STORIES

Actions aimed at improving relations with the local community can yield positive results. In the case of the PA Financing project, several initiatives along these lines were undertaken. Training and capacity building of PA personnel (especially mid-level employees) for improved engagement with local communities (including Orang Asli and others) initiated a significant mindset change and positive impacts for PA management: greater understanding of community needs and expectations resulted in improved relationships, which in turn paved the way for greater cooperation. At the same time, Orang Asli community members were afforded the opportunity to visit sites where initiatives with other indigenous communities had been successfully implemented (e.g., in Sarawak), which "opened their eyes" to new possibilities, improved their understanding, and made them aware of what might be accomplished in collaboration with PA managers in their own area.

Informal opportunities for professionals to meet and network are a powerful tool for enhancing information exchange and cooperation. The project supported a wide range of activities and events which provided opportunities for PA managers and personnel to come together, to meet and discuss their experiences and ideas about PA management issues, and to seek possible solutions. These activities and events included various training programs, the National Protected Area Managers' Conferences and World Rangers' Day celebrations (among others). Continuation of such activities is important especially in the face of cuts to PA management budgets.

Implementing the elements of project activities in their proper sequence improving efficiency and strengthening results. Institutional complexities remained a persistent challenge throughout **Project's lifetime** given the governance of PAs and biodiversity is distributed between a number of agencies. **Project's approach** in initiating activities that addressing common areas of interest and focussing on areas that within administrative capacities of PA agencies without infringing into jurisdictional or legal issues, proven fruitful. Such activities included NFPA; PA Master List; training and capacity building and National METT template.

Leveraging opportunities for collaboration can help to achieve greater impact. Two other parallel initiatives were overlapped with lifetime of PA Financing Project: i) Improved Connectivity for Central Forest Spine (IC-CFS) and; ii) Biodiversity Finance Initiative (BIOFIN) with common and complementing

components amongst the three. PA Financing leveraged on IC-CFS particularly for community engagement in Endau Rompin, whereby both Projects joined forces to conduct training programme for the community in creating additional income generating opportunities through eco-tourism activities. The planning methodology for conservation financing introduced through BIOFIN was further expanded to train PA Financing Project stakeholders and the data collected on Biodiversity Expenditure Review (BER) and Finance Needs Analysis (FNA) formed valuable inputs to study on Sustainable Finance Plans.

Inviting broader stakeholder participation can yield unexpected and increased benefits. For the project, this was especially exemplified in the approach taken for the preparation of the METT tracking tool. Preparation of the METT scorecards involved a collaborative effort among a range of different partners. This provided a platform for deeper discussions and partnership-building between PA agencies and other key stakeholders. At the same time, it fostered a wider sense of ownership, acceptance and uptake of METT as a robust mechanism for measuring management effectiveness of the PAs, than might have otherwise been achieved without such broad participation. The Project has also worked closely with a large number of stakeholders throughout its implementation. Project effectively engaged technical expertise and subject matter experts to deliver some of key outputs include TEEB, National METT, NFPA, NCTF; whilst technical knowledge and expertise helmed by NGOs such as WWF and WCS were effectively tapped by Project to strengthen the capabilities and skills of PA agencies.

# LESSONS LEARNED (ISSUES/CHALLENGES, ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT AND MANAGEMENT RESPONSES)

Issues and Challenges:

- 1. The institutional complexities encountered had a cascading effect at every stage of implementation and achievement of Project's results.
- Management of protected areas is delegated to a number of different organizations (federal and State agencies) and there is no single protected area management authority or "owner". Within the existing governing structure and with PA authorities' own mandates for decisionmaking, creating synergy and convergence at the three levels has been the major challenge.
- 3. The project's outcomes were ambitious, as they aimed to address changes at the three levels: federal, sub-national and sites, simultaneously. The Project's attempt to introduce two major and relatively new concepts of business planning and performance-based financing for PA and conservation sector, resulted in failing to achieve them.
- 4. DWNP though designated as main IP, the Project had two more project partners: PSPC and JNPC. This overstretched the Project in-terms of additional efforts and resources to socialize and having buying-in amongst the three IP and project partners resulting delays in starting project activities.
- 5. Assumptions and level of risks especially relating to institutional issues beyond Project's capability to address such as effecting changes into budgetary allocation for PAs and conservation.

Adaptive Management & Management Response

The setbacks encountered to some extend were managed through adaptive management exercised from the beginning of the Project. The adaptive management approach enabled the Project to refocus its priorities and capitalized on opportunities where presented, when things seem not working as expected. During Inception Phase the Strategic Results Framework (SRF) was assessed methodically and appropriate amendments were made to some activities; some were dropped, and some were merged with similar activities amongst the outputs. At the outset the project scope was expanded to include Sabah and Sarawak, in addition to Peninsular Malaysia, impact on project effectiveness. It was felt that the continuous exclusion of these two regions from the project would defeat the long-term objective of bringing the management of PAs and wildlife under a single framework.

Other notable adaptative management included:

- The benefits of this decision can be seen in the vision articulated in the NFPA, whereby the longterm functioning of this framework is very much tied to the operationalization of working groups for Peninsular Malaysia, Sabah and Sarawak;
- Refocusing priorities yielding tangible results particularly at sub-national and site level.

- The adoption of the METT as "standard operating procedure" by all park agencies' partners is a good example of harmonizing operations and can be considered an essential step to realizing performance-based objectives;
- A landmark court ruling during project implementation threatened the integrity of one of the target protected areas and would have undermined it being managed as one contiguous area.
   However, the Project's approach to co-management has created a win-win scenario for the Jakun Orang Asli of Kampung Peta, which lies in the Endau-Rompin National Park, and park management alike. The approach taken by the project towards community engagement in Endau-Rompin was of the highest standard.

## ASSUMPTIONS AND RISKS

 The Project Document identified eight risks to the project achieving its objectives, which were also included as assumptions in the intervention logic. Of these, two were considered as "moderate" risks, three were considered as "low to moderate" risks, and the remaining three rated as "low" risks. In summary, the risks were:

#### Moderate:

- Federal government agencies are hesitant to create a dedicated budget line item for national PA systems;
- Conflicts between conservation and development in state planning.

Low to Moderate:

- Sustainable financing will be constrained by limited cooperation between, and amongst, government agencies;
- PA management and staff continue to be under resourced and lack motivation or incentives;
- Economic downturn or crisis takes place in Malaysia severely slowing down the economy or leads to a possible shift in priorities.

Low:

- Regulatory inertia limits potential for revenue generation;
- Climate change undermines conservation of biodiversity within Malaysia's PAs;
- Reduced levels of tourism affect revenue generation potential.
- 2. The Inception Report made two adjustments and one addition to the risk table in the Project Document. The last two risks related to climate change and diminished tourism levels were dropped altogether as the probability of them occurring was considered to be negligible. The following was added as a moderate risk:

#### Moderate:

• Lack of incentive and motivation of States and federal to engage in longer term strategy planning for joint federal and state financing of Protected Areas.

# APPENDIX

## RISK LOG

# Annex 1: Risks and Mitigation Measures

| Risk   | Rating           | Mitigation Measure  |
|--|------------------|---|
| Federal government<br>agencies are hesitant<br>to create a dedicated<br>budget line item for<br>national PA systems        | Medium           | The project will support quantification of the <b>PAs'</b> economic contributions to national development, in order to raise awareness among the decision makers on the importance of the PAs and expected return on investments in the PAs. In addition, part of this concern will be addressed by focusing resources on State level Governments, given that <b>'land'</b> concerns are within their jurisdiction. State governments play a strategic role in that they are the primary operational unit within the national framework. By strengthening their arguments, using collaborative approaches, and increasing their investments, they will be able to introduce a <b>'leverage'</b> effect both at the Federal level, and the community level. Key Statemanaged parks, with strategic partners, including the national coordination mechanism, will also be able to deliver technical assistance to other PAs within the national system. A key element of the strategy will be to ensure continuous engagement with the Ministry of Finance. |
| Conflicts<br>between<br>conservation and<br>development in<br>state planning   | Medium           | The project will explicitly address this risk by piloting innovative financing mechanisms in a number of PAs, demonstrating that conservation is a potential revenue-earning process rather than a drain on scarce resources. The PA network capacity building component of the project will also help to overcome this risk. However it is clear that trade-offs between conservation within PAs and more mainstream development land uses will continue to be a central concern for this project. The project will build capacity of PA management authorities to strengthen their arguments and better present and articulate the longer term economic benefits that can be derived from effective protected area management.  |
| Sustainable financing<br>will be constrained<br>by limited<br>cooperation between<br>and amongst<br>government<br>agencies | Low to<br>Medium | A common interest amongst agencies on sustainable financing is<br>expected to support better coordination between agencies. The<br>project development process has been consultative and inclusive.<br>Key government partner agencies, including State-level PA<br>networks, have indicated good support. Critical Federal<br>Government agencies such as the Economic Planning Unit have also<br>been fully consulted on this initiative, and have provided<br>endorsement for it to proceed.<br>These stakeholders will be represented in all key fora, particularly the<br>project steering committee and national coordination mechanism.  |

|   |                      | Efforts will be made to pursue a three-pronged strategy. First to target the Federal level officials for training and awareness creation, and second, to strengthen capacity of State level counterparts and PA site level practitioners to improve the quality of data, information and proposals that flow to Federal levels. Third, a project knowledge management / communications strategy will also help to increase visibility and disseminate best practices.   |
|---|----------------------|---|
| PA management and<br>staff continue to be<br>under- resourced<br>and lack motivation<br>or incentives   | Low to<br>Medium     | The project will combine capacity building for performance based<br>financing, with human capital growth, particularly at the site level.<br>By exposing existing PA staff to new knowledge and ideas, and<br>introducing the notion of performance-based financing, it is<br>believed that levels of motivation will increase. Efforts to introduce<br><b>'collaborative leadership'</b> models of thinking, will help staff feel more<br>responsible for the performance of the PA. Performance-based<br>reward systems and other efforts to <b>'professionalize'</b> PA<br>management will help increase levels of interest and retention.   |
| Economic downturn<br>or crisis takes place<br>in Malaysia severely<br>slowing down the<br>economy, or leads to<br>possible shift in<br>priorities | Low to<br>mediu<br>m | Among the key objectives of the project is to ensure that there is a better understanding of the inextricable links between conservation of ecosystem and species biodiversity and economic growth. This can be a positive sum game. The notion of <b>'total</b> economic <b>value''</b> will be supported by real time data on the ecosystem valuation, and moreover, as PAs improve their management effectiveness and implement business plans, investment opportunities will be identified and created which will stimulate economic activities throughout various value chains, for example, in ecotourism.  |
| Regulatory inertia<br>limits potential for<br>revenue generation  | Low                  | The piloting of alternative financing sources in individual PAs will<br>require the development of new regulations. Part of the problem<br>under the business-as-usual scenario is that a fragmented PA<br>system is unable to mobilize sufficient support to effect such<br>changes; as a result of the project, support should be much stronger.<br>Awareness raising amongst policy makers will also help to overcome<br>this risk. The project envisions regular engagement with key<br>decision making bodies at Federal and State levels, with a view to<br>ensuring the biodiversity remains towards the top of the agenda.<br>Moreover, by bringing objective, verifiable and policy- relevant<br>information to decision-makers through the integrated information<br>management system, and the proposed National Biodiversity<br>Centre, among others, it is believed that policy processes will be<br>enhanced. This will be particularly effective if key Government<br>stakeholders are kept engaged throughout the project<br>implementation, and are also sufficiently influenced by exposure to<br>global and regional experiences, to make them want to take a<br>leadership role in biodiversity conservation. |

| Climate change (CC)<br>undermines<br>conservation o<br>biodiversity within<br><b>Malaysia's</b> PAs  | Low      | Climate change is likely to affect natural ecosystems over time, but<br>this project actually will strengthen the resilience of PAs in Malaysia<br>to respond to CC impacts by establishing the operational and<br>financial capacities to manage PAs. The focus on supporting any<br>form of PA which is effective and well-managed, rather than<br>committing to an institutionalized set of sites, also provides greater<br>flexibility to respond to evolving climate pressures over time.<br>Capacity building during the management plan development<br>process will include training on how to conduct vulnerability<br>assessments using the International Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)<br>methodologies. |  |
|--|----------|---|--|
| Reduced levels of<br>tourism affect<br>revenue<br>generation<br>potential  | Low      | Both domestic and international tourism have been increasing<br>strongly in Malaysia. This is unlikely to change in the future as<br>Malaysia continues to be the top destination for international<br>tourists among the ASEAN countries. The country continues to<br>invest significantly in tourism promotion. Moreover, rising income<br>levels and a growing interest in nature-based activities has<br>generated a sustained increase in domestic visitation to parks and<br>nature reserves.   |  |
| Lack of incentive and<br>motivation of States<br>and federal to engage<br>in longer term strategy<br>planning for joint<br>federal and state<br>financing of Protected<br>Areas. | Moderate | State Governments and Agencies were exposed and educated on<br>the alternative ways and mechanisms for them to strengthen their<br>financial sustainability for conservation. One option was to explore<br>the viability of additional revenue generating mechanisms at site<br>levels within their mandates as stipulated in their Enactments. Perak<br>capitalized on this and introduced additional measures to increase<br>revue  |  |

## OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

# Annex 2: Amendments to SRF Adopted During Inception Phase

| Original Objective and Outputs  | Changes and New Objective and Outputs   |
|---|---|
| Original Objective:<br>To establish a performance-based financing<br>structure to support effective Protected Area<br>(PA) system management in Peninsular<br>Malaysia              | Baselined: To establish a performance-based financing<br>structure to support effective Protected Area (PA) system<br>management in Malaysia  |
|   | Change/Justification: The reference to Peninsular<br>Malaysia has been removed from the objective as the<br>performance-based financing system established will<br>support all protected areas in the country. This makes the<br>objective consistent with the project title. |
| Output 1.1:<br>Policy framework established in support of<br>development of a national PA system, with<br>uniform criteria for PA establishment and<br>management standards         | Baselined: National framework established in support of<br>developing a national PA system, with uniform criteria for<br>PA establishment and management standards  |
|   | Change/Justification: The National Policy on Biological<br>Diversity is the overarching policy for protected area<br>management. Therefore, it is not necessarily that a new<br>formal policy is required.  |
|   | Instead a framework will be established for the National PA system.   |
| Output 1.2:<br>Performance measurement indices<br>developed and adopted for (i) individual PAs<br>and (ii) overall PAnetworks with identified<br>targets for financial requirements | Baselined: Performance measurement indices developed<br>and adopted for (i) individual PAs and (ii) overall PA<br>networks with identified targets for financial<br>requirements.   |
|   | No Change   |
| Output 1.3:<br>PA information and knowledge management<br>system established to support the national PA<br>system management  | Baselined: PA information and knowledge management system established to support the national PA system management.   |
|   | No Change   |
| Output 1.4:<br>Budgetary framework created to increase<br>Federal Government financial support for PAs,<br>allocated on the basis of performance                                    | Baselined: Budgetary framework created to increase financial support for PAs, allocated on the basis of performance.  |
|   | Change/Justification: The exclusive reference to Federal  |

| Original Objective and Outputs   | Changes and New Objective and Outputs   |
|--|---|
|  | Government has been removed as financial support can also come from other sources.  |
| Output 1.5:<br>Structures and processes created for NRE to<br>provide performance- based operational and<br>capital grants to PAs on the basis of<br>performance against national indices, and<br>other relevant criteria<br>Output 1.6:<br>A national mechanism established for<br>periodic independent review of PA<br>performance and conduct monitoring and<br>evaluation in relation to grant allocation<br>methodologies | Baselined: Structures and processes created for NRE to<br>provide performance-based operational and capital<br>grants to PAs on the basis of performance against<br>national indices, and other relevant criteria<br>No Change<br>Output dropped as monitoring and evaluation will be<br>built into the structures as suggested in activities<br>under Output 1.5 |
| Output 1.7:<br>Capacity of Federal EPU and MoF is<br>strengthened to ensure sustainable financing<br>of PA management is addressed in the annual<br>budget   | Baselined: Capacity of key Federal (EPU and MOF) and<br>state agencies is strengthened to ensure sustainable<br>financing of PA management is addressed in the annual<br>budget   |
|  | Change / Justification: Added "Key Federal" as there may<br>be other agencies included for instance NRE and could<br>also include State agencies  |
| Output 2.1:<br>PA network financing plans developed,<br>incorporating strategies for revenue<br>diversification for PA networks  | Baselined: PA network financing plans developed,<br>incorporating strategies for financing source<br>diversification for PA networks  |
|  | Change / Justification: Changed to broaden the scope of revenue generation to include overall financing source diversification  |
| Output 2.2:<br>Policies and guidelines for PA revenue<br>diversification and retention institutionalized<br>in the targeted PA sub- network agencies   | Baselined: Policies and guidelines for PA financing diversification and retention institutionalized in the targeted PA agencies   |
|  | Change / Justification: PA sub-network changed to PA agencies to clarify and eliminate confusion  |
| Output 2.3:<br>Three target PA networks have sufficient<br>institutional and technical capacity to support<br>component PAs to meet national<br>management criteria and access performance-<br>based financial support system  | Baselined: Three target PA networks have sufficient<br>institutional and technical capacity to support their PAs to<br>meet national management criteria and access<br>performance-based financial support system   |

| Original Objective and Outputs   | Changes and New Objective and Outputs  |
|--|--|
|  | Change / Justification: Clarified as the focus is for JNPC,<br>PSPC and DWNP need to support their own PAs, not<br>"Component PAs"   |
| Output 2.4:<br>Leadership of the management personnel in<br>targeted PA sub- networks strengthened to<br>develop and implement effective PA<br>management strategies   | Baselined: A Centre of Excellence to meet the long-term capacity development needs of PA authorities is established.   |
|  | Change / Justification: Changed in order to  |
|  | institutionalise leadership development, training and PA<br>advisory services by transforming the existing Institute of<br>Biodiversity in DWNP to a PA Centre of  |
|  | Excellence with a country wide mandate   |
| Output 3.1:<br>PA Management Plan developed for target PAs<br>and replicated to wildlife PAs over 20,000 ha  | Baselined: PA Management Plan developed for target PAs and replicated to other PAs over 20,000 ha  |
|  | Change / Justification: The explicit reference to wildlife<br>has been removed to maintain focus on various categories<br>of PAs.  |
| Output 3.2:<br>PA business plans developed for target PAs<br>and replicated for wildlife PAs over 20,000 ha,<br>clearly identifying revenue generation and<br>revenue recovery strategies to increase gross<br>revenues by an average of 20% against<br>revenues at project start. | Baselined: PA business plans developed for target PAs<br>and replicated for PAs over 20,000 ha, clearly identifying<br>cost of implementing the management plan, means of<br>financing the management actions, revenue generation<br>and revenue recovery strategies, with a 20% gross revenue<br>increase over the project period for the three target PAs. |
|  | Change / Justification: Language has been changed to   |
|  | emphasise the cost of implementing the management plan and to clarify that the 20% increase in revenue   |
|  | generation is end of project compared to beginning of project.   |
| Output 3.3:<br>Technical skills of PA managers and field staff<br>in place for effective implementation of the<br>management plans and business/financing<br>plans   | Output dropped as technical skills training moved to output 2.4 as part of the PA Centre of Excellence   |
| Output 3.4:<br>Functional capacities of the target PAs<br>improved, meeting the minimum performance<br>criteria under the national standards   |  |
| Output 3.5:  | Change / Justification: Only numbering change<br>Baselined: Best practices and lessons documented,   |
|  | שמשכווווכים, שכשנ אומכנוככש מווע ובשטטוש עטכעווופוונפע,  |

| Original Objective and Outputs  | Changes and New Objective and Outputs  |
|---|--|
| Best practices and lessons documented,<br>integrated into social<br>marketing/communications strategies and<br>used in replication and scaling up | integrated into communications strategies and used in replication and scaling up |
| used in replication and scaling up  | Change / Justification: Numbering change and text                                |
|   | clarified to fit all under "communication strategies"                            |

## Summary of mid-term review ratings and recommendations

# Annex 3: MTR Ratings & Achievement Summary

| Measure                     | MTR Rating   | Achievement Description   |
|-----------------------------|--|---|
| Project Strategy            | N/A  | N/A   |
| Progress<br>Towards Results | Objective: To establish a performance-<br>based financing structure to support<br>effective Protected Area systems<br>management in Malaysia<br>Achievement Rating:<br>3: MODERATELY UNSATISFACTORY<br>(MU)  | While a system has been put in place through the National Conservation Trust Fund (NCTF) which could provide the required PA financing, thus far, large questions remain as to the sourcing for sustained, ongoing funding of the NCTF, and whether or not such funding will be reflective of a performance-based approach.<br>Continuing risks: (i) strong mandate for protected area conservation and sustainable financing through a focused policy statement is urgently needed; efforts on sustainable finance will continue to be ad hoc unless a transformational change occurs at the policy and structural levels; (ii) diversification of funding sources is needed to stabilize the flow of funds that are earmarked for PAs; (iii) a stronger country commitment to biodiversity protection through enhancing federal support towards state-level conservation efforts is needed; (iv) funding has not yet been tied to a performance based financing structure, and such a structure has not been clearly defined; (v) safeguards are needed to ensure that any increased investment earmarked for improved PA management, is in fact closely correlated with strengthening biodiversity conservation and addressing conservation priorities; (vi) while the project goal and outcomes reflect appropriate aspirations, the analysis from the MTR indicated that the performance-based concept in financing may have been placed too high in the project results framework (as an objective) and might be more realistically placed as an outcome. |
|                             | Outcome 1: Systemic and institutional<br>capacities to manage and financially<br>support a national PA system by<br>addressing barriers at the national<br>systems level to improve management<br>effectiveness and financial sustainability<br>of protected areas<br>Achievement Rating:<br>4: MODERATELY SATISFACTORY (MS) | A National PA Framework is under development<br>which focuses on setting up uniform criteria for PA<br>establishment and categorization; a national PA<br>management information system has been<br>established; the project has supported PA<br><b>Managers' Conferences and World Ranger Day as</b><br>mechanisms to strengthen management capacity<br>nationwide. METT has been introduced and taken<br>up enthusiastically as a means to self-evaluate PA<br>management effectiveness; the Institute of   |

|   | Outcome 2: Technical and institutional<br>capacities to manage sub-national PA<br>networks, including capacities for<br>effective financial management<br>Achievement Rating:<br>4: MODERATELY SATISFACTORY (MS) | Biodiversity (IBD) is being transformed into a national training institute to build capacity for PA and wildlife management. <u>Continuing risks</u> : (i) there is a lack of coherent structure for a performance-based financing system, and performance measurement indices have not been developed; (ii) increase in national-level budget support for PAs has not progressed significantly, (iv) there is a lack of initiatives to enhance the capacity of key federal agencies to address sustainable financing of PAs in the annual budget. Capacity for PA management at the PA network level has been improved through extensive training including the application and adoption of the METT, and knowledge exchange programs; it is expected that capacity will continue to be built through the transformation of the IBD; a variety of activities supported by the project have contributed to better coordination among the three target State agencies; peliminary efforts have been initiated to build capacity in sustainable finance. <u>Continuing risks</u> : (i) little progress has been made to link financing with performance. and improved capacity; (ii) Closer coordination and commitment among PA agencies are needed to ensure that policies and guidelines for PA financing diversification and retention in targeted PA agencies are realised. (iii) mandate is needed for a dedicated person/unit to drive efforts towards meeting PA financing needs. |
|---|--|---|
|   | Achievement Rating:<br>5: SATISFACTORY (S)   | the target PAs; METT scores overall improved and<br>METT appreciated as valuable instrument for<br>evaluating management effectiveness; gradual<br>increase in revenues generated at 3 PAs; patrolling<br>at PAs improved through innovative programs<br>(e.g., 1MBEON); progress made in controlling<br>encroachment and poaching. <u>Continuing risks</u> : (i)<br>Despite ongoing efforts and progress in<br>enforcement, poaching continues and threatens<br>the survival of the tiger population in Peninsular<br>Malaysia; (ii) Mandate, support, and adequate<br>capacity for the implementation and monitoring<br>of the management and business plans is needed.   |
| Project<br>Implementation<br>& Adaptive<br>Management | Achievement Rating:<br>5: SATISFACTORY (S)   | Seven implementation components (following<br>below) were evaluated. Overall, project<br>implementation was satisfactory. There are also<br>some indications to suggest that the project has  |

| been adaptive—and opportunistic—in its<br>management. Among these are the fact that the<br>project extended some activities to apply a<br>landscape level focus to ensure greater ecological<br>integrity. Also the project established linkages or<br>aligned with other government initiatives such as<br>IBD, MyBis, and SMART patrolling, to mobilize<br>cooperation within agencies and among key<br>stakeholders.   |
|---|
| <ol> <li>Management arrangements: NSC and PMU<br/>meetings were consistent and well attended,<br/>however a high level of turnover among attendees<br/>of key agencies and partners has had adverse<br/>impacts on project effectiveness</li> <li>Work planning: Evidence suggests that<br/>consultations conducted as part of work planning<br/>process were effective. More time is required to<br/>build on early progress in a number of areas, so an<br/>extension of project timeframe is suggested.<br/>Administrative requirements have resulted in long<br/>delays in contracting and procurement, which<br/>have affected project scheduling and efficiency.</li> <li>Einance and co-finance: Up to December 2016,<br/>the project expenditure was USD2,400,820,<br/>reflecting a 43% expenditure of the total GEF<br/>allocation. Co-financing commitment increased<br/>by approximately 42% over the original amount<br/>reflecting strong support from the Government<br/>with the inclusion of initiatives related to Royal<br/>Belum State Park; currency fluctuations have been<br/>favourable, increasing available project resources</li> <li><u>Project-level monitoring and evaluation</u>: A<br/>variety of project level M&amp;E mechanisms (e.g.,<br/>HACT Assurance Activity Report, NIM audit report,<br/>HACT micro-assessment report, METT) have been<br/>utilized and these have generally been effective</li> <li><u>Stakeholder engagement</u>: Stakeholder<br/>engagement was initiated in the project planning<br/>and inception stages, and subsequently has been<br/>leveraged through various partnership<br/>arrangements (e.g., with IBD, SMART patrolling,</li> </ol> |
| CAITS, MyBis, IC-CSF, BIOFIN); National level<br>consultations have been conducted for PA<br>Framework and Masterlist; engagement has also<br>taken place at the community level, including<br>Orang Asli (OA) communities with different levels<br>of engagements at the three sites. Engagement   |

| Sustainability | Achievement Rating:<br>2: MODERATELY UNLIKELY (MU) | <ul> <li>and capacity building efforts have facilitated better relations between park managers and OA communities especially in ENRP. Benefit sharing to local communities needs to be more clearly articulated and demonstrated in order to promote greater community ownership which can lead to more effective management and enforcement in the PAs.</li> <li>6. <u>Reporting</u>: reporting requirements (e.g., NSC meeting minutes, PIRs, etc.) have been carried out fully</li> <li>7. <u>Communications</u>: Internal communications among project personnel, as well as communications between project personnel and key stakeholders for project planning purposes, have generally been effective. The project has engaged in a robust program for external communications, including the production of high quality informational materials (e.g., trail maps, guidebooks) intended for dissemination to stakeholder community members and the general public.</li> <li>There are several significant issues and risks that threaten the sustainability of the project in the foreseeable future. Project actions to-date have been adversely affected by the following factors, and sustainability of key project outcomes may continue to be so affected in the future:</li> <li>Lack of clear definition of "performance-based" financing structure</li> <li>Lack of appreciation by PA managers on the importance of effective business planning</li> <li>A need to fully operationalize the NCTF</li> <li>Long processing time for contracting and procurement</li> <li>High level of turnover among personnel of key agencies</li> <li>Continuing conflicts between conservation and development objectives in State planning</li> <li>Inconsistent levels of commitment from Federal and State government officials, especially at higher levels, to ensuring preservation of natural and biodiversity values</li> </ul> |
|----------------|--|--|
|----------------|--|--|

| and threaten the sustainability of the core project<br>objective and outcomes, especially with respect |
|--|
| to the financial sustainability targets. However,  |
| the project has achieved success in other  |
| important areas, which will likely continue in the   |
| future. This is especially true in the area of building  |
| knowledge, skills and capacity, both among the   |
| public and among PA personnel. Over time, such   |
| benefits may "spill over" and help to indirectly   |
| support achievement of the originally intended   |
| financial sustainability outcomes.   |

# Annex 4: TE Ratings & Achievement Summary Table

| Item  | Rating | Comment   |
|---|--------|---|
| Overall project results                               | MS     | The Project made gains particularly at the level of the<br>protected areas themselves and, to a lesser extent, the sub-<br>national level. At a federal level, achievements were less<br>significant but the institutional context and systemic<br>complexities made this persistently challenging.   |
| IA & EA Execution                                     |        |   |
| Overall quality of<br>implementation and<br>execution | S      | In the face of significant implementation challenges, the project managed to make important headway, particularly at the site level and, on institutional and professional capacity building activities, at the sub-national level. Nurturing relationships and obtaining buy-in on the importance and direction of the project was exceedingly time-consuming with the number of institutional and personnel changes.<br>The PMU operated at a high level of professionalism and integrity. The small size of the PMU following the MTR (relative to remaining disbursement), coupled with slow decision-making processes, have contributed to delays and is a barrier to the finalization of project deliverables. The current PMU, composed of a project manager and project executive, has been clearly stretched to take on the multiple tasks at different levels and would have benefited from operating at full capacity. |
| Implementation Agency<br>Execution (UNDP)             | S      | In the context of existing processes and frameworks, UNDP<br>has performed its functions as Implementing Agency<br>satisfactorily, with a few issues that could be strengthened.<br>The project suffered from excessively complex management<br>chains which made communication and coordination<br>difficult at times.<br>To enhance IA functions and requirements for implementing<br>the GEF project, the UNDP-CO would benefit from enhanced<br>capacity and augmentation at the Programme Officer level.   |
| Executing Agency Execution<br>(DWNP / KATS) | MS | The government proved more of an obstacle to project<br>implementation and did not take on the accountabilities<br>associated with the NIM. There was little government<br>ownership of the project and institutionalization of the core<br>objective, key outcomes and outputs.<br>In contrast, the protected area managers proved to be more<br>committed to the shared vision and good partners to the<br>project.  |
|---|----|--|
| M&E   |    |  |
| M&E design at project start-<br>up          | S  | The design of the M&E for the project and the inception phase was standard for all UNDP-GEF biodiversity projects.   |
| Overall quality of M&E                      | S  | M&E was tackled in intermittent bursts at key junctures of the project. The M&E led to some adaptive management of the project – with particular reference to the re-focusing of the project to the pilot/demonstration sites when the institutional changes were occurring at the central level as a result of electoral processes or, when there were opportunities to do so.  |
| M&E plan implementation                     | S  | The M&E plan was implemented satisfactorily with no shortcomings.  |
| Outcomes                                    |    |  |
| Overall quality of project<br>outcomes      | MS | With the exception of gaps at the objective level, the majority<br>of the indicators in the SRF were achieved. Through the SFPA,<br>MPs, BPs and trainings, the Project set the foundation for,<br>and built capacities that resulted in greater PA management<br>effectiveness, as well as an increase in revenues and budgets<br>for the PAs at the sub-national and site level.<br>Overall, the project demonstrated that there are indeed<br>options for diversifying revenues for protected areas away<br>from the previous forms of State revenue. |

| Relevance (R or NR)                        | R  | The project remained relevant to the GEF priorities and is<br>closely aligned with the legal framework within Malaysia,<br>specifically the NPBD (2016-2025).<br>The project was less relevant to the UNDP country<br>programme document for Malaysia (2016-2020) partly as a<br>result of the fact that it fell outside of the development<br>priorities for the country and partly because the environment<br>sector is not currently seen as a pathway to enable the<br>transition towards a high-income, inclusive and sustainable<br>economy, as envisioned in its Vision 2020.   |
|--|----|--|
| Effectiveness                              | S  | The project was effectively implemented by a small professional team. The way in which the project adapted to  |
| Efficiency                                 | MS | change and seized opportunities to achieve key outcomes, as<br>well as its approach to retroactively incorporating<br>community engagement and gender considerations, was<br>also notable.<br>Where possible, the project dovetailed on efforts by parallel<br>projects, the implementation of BIOFIN in Malaysia and the<br>UNDP-GEF Central Forest Spine initiative, to deliver items<br>similar in scope to leverage financial efficiencies. Myriad<br>factors, including reporting discrepancies, shortcomings in<br>internal communications, gaps in governance / leadership<br>and administrative processes, resulted in long delays in<br>contracting and procurement, negatively affected project<br>scheduling and ultimately its efficiency. |
| Sustainability (L, ML, MU,<br>UL)          |    |  |
| Overall likelihood of sustainability       | ML | Institutional and financial sustainability is the aspect that is most concerning and the political / institutional changes over the lifetime of the project did not inspire confidence.  |
| Financial sustainability                   | ML |  |
| Socio-economic<br>sustainability           | ML | Hope can be derived from the top-notch processes and<br>governance mechanisms articulated in the NFPA, if these can<br>be operationalized and key commitments included in the<br>forthcoming 12 <sup>th</sup> Malaysia plan. Unfortunately, both the   |
| Institutional/governance<br>sustainability | MU | forthcoming 12 <sup>th</sup> Malaysia plan. Unfortunately, both the<br>recent change in government and the COVID-19 pandemic<br>has once again unseated the continuity of the Project in the   |

| Environmental sustainability             | L | final stretch of implementation and its ability to ensure a<br>smooth operational transition and institutional ownership of<br>the NFPA and associated processes / governance.<br>The budget commitments made in the 2019 and 2020<br>Budget Speeches, while a move in the right direction, may be<br>short-lived unless a radical overhaul to the NCTF and<br>finalization of performance-based criteria related to<br>subsequent EFTs are made. |
|--|---|---|
| Impact (S, M, N)                         |   |   |
| Environmental Status<br>Improvement      | Ν | A gap to gauging environmental sustainability at the national<br>level is that key outputs, expected to contribute to this<br>parameter, have yet to be delivered and are expected post-  |
| Environmental Stress<br>Reduction        | Ν | project. The TEEB and Sustainable Financing Framework are<br>key to raising the profile of the economic value and potential<br>of the environment sector in Malaysia. Until then, the State   |
| Progress towards<br>stress/status change | Ν | government's dependence on the resource sector is likely to<br>continue. At site level management and business planning,<br>together with renewed capabilities and profile of the IBD, are<br>likely to lead to a more lasting legacy.  |
|  |   | It is only in the long-term that environmental impacts will be<br>seen from the processes and financial sustainability measures<br>that will be put into place.   |
|  |   | Contextually, the biodiversity indicator in the SRF was wholly<br>inappropriate as the tiger population, as a flagship species,<br>was neither not actively monitored nor in alignment with the<br>scope.   |

Annex 5: The Strategic Results Framework

The Strategic Results Framework showing End-of-Project status and the TE comments and ratings

| Indicator Met | Indicator Partially Met | Indicator Not Met |
|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------|

*Objective: To establish a performance-based financing structure to support effective Protected Area (PA) system management in Peninsular Malaysia* 

| Indicator   | Baseline  | End of project target  | End-of-project<br>status (level as of 15<br>May 2020) | TE Rating &<br>Comments  |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| Indicator 1:<br>Increase in the<br>Federal<br>Government<br>investment in<br>PA<br>management | <ul> <li>USD 7.25<br/>million in<br/>2010 for the<br/>DWNP, JNPC<br/>and PSPC</li> <li>USD 6<br/>million in<br/>2011-2012<br/>developmen<br/>t budget<br/>under 10th<br/>Malaysia<br/>Plan</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>25% increase of<br/>operational budget in<br/>real terms for the 3<br/>target PA networks<br/>based on aggregate<br/>funding from Federal<br/>and State Government<br/>source</li> <li>25% increase in<br/>development budget<br/>under the 5-year<br/>Malaysia Plan</li> </ul> |   | Indicator Not<br>Met<br>Based on 2019<br>PIR:<br>Overall<br>marginal<br>upward<br>trend for<br>operational<br>budget and<br>downward<br>trend for<br>development<br>budget;<br>Annual<br>budget<br>allocation in<br>2017 for the<br>three PA<br>authorities<br>was reduced<br>compared to<br>2016 as a<br>result of<br>overall |

|  |                                      |                                  |   | <ul> <li>budget cut<br/>exercised by<br/>the Federal<br/>and State<br/>governments</li> <li>At project<br/>closure,<br/>funding is<br/>still very<br/>much<br/>dependent<br/>upon<br/>national<br/>economic<br/>conditions<br/>and funding<br/>has not yet<br/>been tied to<br/>a<br/>performance<br/>-based<br/>financing<br/>structure.</li> </ul> |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|
| Indicator 2:<br>Financial<br>sustainability<br>scorecard for<br>the 3 PA<br>networks<br>• DWNP<br>• JNPC<br>• PSPC | 49.8% DWNP<br>44.4% JNPC<br>40% PSPC | 60% DWNP<br>55% JNPC<br>50% PSPC | Conclusions drawn<br>from the 2018<br>Financial<br>Sustainability Scores:<br>52% DWNP<br>34% JNPC<br>51% PSPC | IndicatorPartially Met• Scorecardswereconducted atotal of 4times,instead ofthe 3required forfull-sizeprojects;• There is aconsistentupwardtrend forboth DWNPand PSPC,  |

|  |  | with the                        |
|--|--|---------------------------------|
|  |  | latter                          |
|  |  | exceeding                       |
|  |  | the end of                      |
|  |  | project                         |
|  |  | target;                         |
|  |  | <ul> <li>Significant</li> </ul> |
|  |  | strides in                      |
|  |  | PSPC scores                     |
|  |  | as a result of                  |
|  |  | the park's                      |
|  |  | enhanced                        |
|  |  | capacity in                     |
|  |  | financial                       |
|  |  | management                      |
|  |  | and planning                    |
|  |  | with                            |
|  |  | technical                       |
|  |  | support from                    |
|  |  | the Perak                       |
|  |  | State                           |
|  |  | Government                      |
|  |  | Government                      |

Outcome 1: Systemic & Institutional Capacities to manage and financially support a national PA System

| Indicator  | Baseline   | End of project target  | End-of-project<br>status (level as of 15<br>May 2020)  | TE Rating &<br>Comments |
|--|--|--|--|-------------------------|
| Indicator 1:<br>Establishment<br>of the policy<br>framework for<br>the National PA<br>system | in a fragmented<br>PA system with a<br>large number of | A single framework with<br>clear categorization of all<br>the PAs in the PA Master<br>List in Peninsular Malaysia,<br>with uniform, accepted<br>management standards<br>and reporting<br>requirements. | <ul> <li>NFPA adopted by<br/>KATS in February<br/>2019 which<br/>included<br/>parameters and a<br/>new model for<br/>the categorization<br/>of PAs;</li> <li>NFPA updated in<br/>December 2019<br/>with: i) reference<br/>to PA coverage in<br/>the country; ii)<br/>usage of</li> </ul> | <u>Indicator Met</u>    |

|   |  |  | • | standardized PA<br>categories;<br>NFPA fully<br>consistent with<br>the<br>implementation<br>of Target 6<br>(quantity of PAs)<br>and Target 7<br>(quality of PAs) of<br>the NPBD 2016-<br>2025;<br>Clear roadmap<br>articulated in the<br>NFPA for its<br>operationalization<br>, including<br>governance and<br>formation of<br>working groups in<br>three regions:<br>Peninsula<br>Malaysia; Sabah<br>and Sarawak. |  |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| Indicator 2a<br>(first part):<br>Integrated PA<br>information<br>system | Neither<br>performance<br>criteria nor<br>monitoring<br>systems specific<br>to PA<br>management<br>exist | A national, integrated PA<br>information system<br>established with the<br>primary function of PA<br>performance monitoring,<br>and decision support for<br>relevant government<br>bodies. | • | dovotailod on   | <u>First part of</u><br><u>Indicator Met</u> |

|   |  |  | to determine PA<br>coverage for each<br>State to enable<br>allocation of RM<br>60 mil to<br>strengthen the<br>management of<br>PAs. |                            |
|---|--|--|---|----------------------------|
| Indicator 2b<br>(second part):<br>Performance<br>monitoring<br>system   | Neither<br>performance<br>criteria nor<br>monitoring<br>systems specific<br>to PA<br>management<br>exist | A national, integrated PA<br>information system<br>established with the<br>primary function of PA<br>performance monitoring,<br>and decision support for<br>relevant government<br>bodies. | norformanco   | ond Part of<br>cator Not   |
| Indicator 3:<br>Financial<br>incentive<br>system, based<br>primarily on<br>performance<br>indices,<br>established and<br>operational. | No<br>performance-<br>linked financial<br>incentive system<br>exists.                                    | System established<br>supporting a minimum of<br>866,000 ha of the PA estate   | incantivas systam   | <u>cator</u><br>:ially Met |

|  |  |   | <ul> <li>mobilization<br/>report;</li> <li>A one-time<br/>matching grant of<br/>the NCTF and ad-<br/>hoc<br/>disbursements<br/>through an EFT<br/>scheme.</li> </ul>   |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| Indicator 4:<br>National PA<br>System<br>mainstreamed<br>in the<br>budgeting<br>process for 5-<br>year Malaysia<br>Plan.<br>Increased<br>number of<br>"bankable"<br>projects in<br>support of PA<br>management<br>approved for<br>funding<br>through<br>operational<br>grants. | No budget line<br>for PA<br>management in<br>Malaysia Plan.<br>Currently the<br>budgets for PA<br>infrastructure<br>development is<br>determined<br>based on<br>individual<br>requests from<br>State<br>governments<br>with no<br>systematic<br>planning<br>looking at<br>conservation<br>priorities of the<br>national PA<br>network as a<br>whole. | Dedicated PA budget line<br>in Malaysia Plan<br>Budgeting process of PA<br>management/developmen<br>t is conducted based on<br>increased levels of<br>conservation priorities<br>within the Federal and<br>State funding system,<br>using a range of tools<br>including economic<br>valuation results | <ul> <li>There is no<br/>dedicated budget<br/>line specific for<br/>PAs in the<br/>Malaysia Plan;</li> <li>The current<br/>budget allocation<br/>is estimated<br/>about 1% (MYR 2<br/>billion) of the<br/>annual budget<br/>which is made<br/>based on<br/>programs and<br/>projects carried<br/>out by key<br/>agencies<br/>responsible for<br/>biodiversity<br/>conservation.</li> </ul> | Indicator Not<br>MetIndicator Not<br>MetIndicator Not<br>MetIndicator Not<br>MetIndicator Not<br>Study will be<br>instrumental<br>to providing<br>the business<br>case for<br>increased<br>investment<br>and a<br>dedicated<br>budget line.Indicated<br>budget line.Post-project<br>this should<br>be the<br>priority of<br>any follow-<br>up<br>investments<br>or projects.Indicated<br>budget line.Indicated<br>budget line.Indicated<br>budget line.Indicated<br>budget line.Indicated<br>post-project<br>this should<br>be the<br>priority of<br>any follow-<br>up<br>investments<br>or projects.Indicated<br>budget line.Indicated<br>post-project<br>this should<br>be the<br>priority of<br>any follow-<br>up<br>investments<br>or projects.Indicated<br>penetrate<br>the Twelfth<br>Malaysia Plan<br>should be<br>expedited. |

Outcome 2: Technical and institutional capacities to manage sub-national PA networks, including capacities for effective financial management

| Indicator  | Baseline  | End of project target   | End-of-project<br>status (level as of 15<br>May 2020)  | TE Rating &<br>Comments  |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| Indicator 1:<br>Financing gap<br>decreased by at<br>least 25 % in<br>the target PA<br>sub-networks<br>(PSPC, DWNP) | Current<br>financing gaps<br>based on<br>regional<br>benchmark of<br>196 staff per<br>1,000 km <sup>2</sup> and<br>US\$ 1,000 per<br>km <sup>2</sup> are:<br>• DWNP –<br>MYR8.69 million<br>(US\$2.90 million)<br>• PSPC –<br>MYR2.12 million<br>(US\$0.71 million) | An average 25% decrease<br>in the financing gaps of<br>the 3 PA sub- network<br>agencies, in real terms<br>through operationalization<br>of financial management<br>and revenue diversification<br>models across the sites. | <ul> <li>All three<br/>implementing<br/>Partners (DWNP;<br/>JNPC; PSPC)<br/>registered<br/>marginal increase<br/>in their<br/>operational<br/>budget allocation<br/>in 2018;</li> <li>When endorsed<br/>by the respective<br/>park agencies,<br/>management,<br/>business plans<br/>and sustainable</li> </ul> | Indicator Met<br>Based on MTR<br>results, financing<br>gap analysis<br>results indicate<br>that DWNP and<br>PSPC exceeded<br>the targeted 25%<br>average decrease<br>in financing gap<br>in 2014. The TE<br>is keeping this<br>Indicator as<br><b>"met" for the</b><br>additional<br>observations<br>noted in the end-<br>of-project status<br>column. |

|  |   |   | <ul> <li>position of the park agencies;</li> <li>The PSPC is undergoing an organization restructuring to increase its staff in alignment with the management plan.</li> </ul>   |  |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| Indicator 2:<br>Increase in<br>capacity<br>development<br>indicator score<br>(%) for three<br>target sub-<br>national PA<br>networks<br>• DWNP<br>• JNPC<br>• PSPC | Average – 54%<br>61% (DWNP)<br>61% (JNPC)<br>45% (PSPC) | An average >10% increase<br>of the capacity<br>development indicator<br>score for each target sub-<br>national PA networks.<br>70%<br>55% | Development<br>Scorecards<br>assessment was<br>conducted for the<br>three PA networks<br>four times:<br>DWNP:<br>2010 = 61%<br>2012 = 58.3%<br>2014 = 63.5%<br>2016 = 65.62%<br>2018 = 72.9%<br>JNPC:<br>2010 = 61%<br>2012 = 58.3%<br>2012 = 58.3% | Indicator Met<br>Over the Project<br>period, all three<br>agencies<br>registered<br>improved<br>performance in-<br>terms of capacity<br>development<br>and knowledge<br>building due to<br>the concerted<br>interventions<br>made by the<br>Project in<br>providing<br>various training<br>opportunities to<br>meet the general<br>and specific<br>needs of PA<br>agencies<br>including the<br>states of Sabah<br>and Sarawak. |

|  |                       |   | 2010 = 45.0%<br>2012 = 49.0%<br>2014 = 55.2%<br>2016 = 65.6%<br>2018 = 66.76%   |   |
|--|-----------------------|---|---|---|
| Indicator 3:<br>Number of PAs<br>successfully<br>meeting<br>national<br>management<br>criteria and<br>accessing<br>performance-<br>based financial<br>transfers from<br>the Federal<br>system. | No baseline<br>exists | At least eight (8) among<br>the terrestrial PAs over<br>20,000 ha under DWNP,<br>JNPC and PSPC) | <ul> <li>KATS has formed<br/>a working group<br/>to oversee the<br/>development of<br/>performance<br/>measurement<br/>criteria/indicators<br/>to support PA<br/>authorities and<br/>States to further<br/>expand coverage<br/>of PAs and<br/>management;</li> <li>EFTs were<br/>launched in 2018<br/>but disbursement<br/>to date is not<br/>based on any<br/>performance-<br/>based<br/>criteria/indicators;</li> <li>KATS is reviewing<br/>future EFT<br/>implementation<br/>and has signaled<br/>its need to be<br/>strengthened<br/>through the<br/>establishment of<br/>quality indicators<br/>and an MRV<br/>mechanism.</li> </ul> | Indicator Not<br>Met<br>National<br>management<br>criteria for<br>performance-<br>based financial<br>transfers from<br>federal to sub-<br>national are yet<br>to be<br>established. |

| Indicator 4:<br>Economic and<br>financial<br>planning<br>capacity<br>institutionalize<br>d in the three<br>sub-national PA<br>network<br>agencies. | human capacity<br>or institutional<br>structure to<br>address issues of<br>financial<br>sustainability. | A unit is established in<br>each sub-national PA<br>agency dedicated to<br>revenue diversification<br>that will ensure financial<br>sustainability | • | Under the<br>existing<br>government<br>management<br>structure and<br>rigid rules<br>pertaining to<br><b>"head-count", it is</b><br>not feasible to<br>establish a<br>dedicated unit in<br>PA agencies and<br>States for revenue<br>diversification to<br>complement<br>government<br>funding for<br>conservation;<br>Collaboration<br>with BIOFIN has<br>introduced the<br>financial planning<br>methodology to<br>the PA networks<br>and State<br>governments;<br>Options for<br>revenue<br>diversification<br>elaborated in the<br>Business Plans for<br>the 3 parks will be<br>explored further<br>guiding the PA<br>authorities on the<br>processes on<br>implementing the<br>feasible<br>mechanism. | creating a<br>business case for<br>the creation of<br>such units.<br>It is reassuring<br>that at least JNPC<br>has created a<br>business unit,<br>albeit presently<br>not staffed due<br>to resourcing<br>issues. |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|
| Indicator 5:<br>Coordination<br>between the<br>sub-PA  | Minimal<br>coordination<br>mechanisms   | Three agencies have<br>common management<br>approaches, PA<br>performance monitoring   | • | The 3 PA<br>networks are<br><b>governed by its'</b><br>own enactments<br>and management   | Indicator Met<br>Coordination<br>between the  |

| network   | mechanisms, and capacity | structure. various PA                |
|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| agencies. | development              | Therefore, agencies have             |
|           | programmes.              | adoption of been                     |
|           |                          | improved or new strengthened         |
|           |                          | management through both              |
|           |                          | approaches are formal and            |
|           |                          | subject to the informal              |
|           |                          | respective PA channels,              |
|           |                          | authorities. The including           |
|           |                          | legal assessments<br>undertaken have |
|           |                          | advanced the meetings; formal        |
|           |                          | prospect of governance               |
|           |                          | harmonizing bodies,                  |
|           |                          | approaches but consultations         |
|           |                          | States will and trainings,           |
|           |                          | ultimately have workshops and        |
|           |                          | the final say knowledge              |
|           |                          | during approvals; sharing sessions,  |
|           |                          | • The Project has as well as         |
|           |                          | led to coordination                  |
|           |                          | considerable through                 |
|           |                          | improvements in WhatsApp.            |
|           |                          | coordination and                     |
|           |                          | collaboration, as                    |
|           |                          | well as enhanced                     |
|           |                          | trust with the<br>States of Sabah    |
|           |                          | and Sarawak. This                    |
|           |                          | includes, through                    |
|           |                          | the proposed                         |
|           |                          | NFPA working                         |
|           |                          | groups, joint                        |
|           |                          | patrolling with                      |
|           |                          | law enforcement                      |
|           |                          | agencies and by                      |
|           |                          | leveraging                           |
|           |                          | frontier                             |
|           |                          | technologies;                        |
|           |                          |                                      |
|           |                          | wildlife crimes;                     |
|           |                          | and search and                       |
|           |                          | rescue<br>operations.                |
|           |                          |                                      |

| Outcome 3: Effe  | ective site-level P   | A management   |   |   |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| Indicator  | Baseline  | End of project target  | End-of-project<br>status (level as of 15<br>May 2020)   | TE Rating &<br>Comments   |
| Indicator 1:<br>Number of PAs<br>successfully<br>meeting<br>national<br>management<br>criteria and<br>accessing<br>performance-<br>based financial<br>transfers from<br>the Federal<br>system. | No baseline<br>exists   | All 3 target PA sites have<br>approved management<br>and business / financing<br>plans<br>20% increase in federal<br>allocation of funding | <ul> <li>The Management<br/>Plans for three<br/>Parks: Taman<br/>Negara; Royal<br/>Belum and Endau<br/>Rompin have<br/>been finalized<br/>and adopted by<br/>DWNP; PSPC and<br/>JNPC,<br/>respectively;</li> <li>The Project is in<br/>discussion with<br/>the agencies for<br/>providing further<br/>support for the<br/>implementation<br/>of the Plans;</li> <li>Further financial<br/>support will be<br/>provided to<br/>develop<br/>Management and<br/>Business Plans for<br/>Gunung Ledang<br/>Park in Johor.</li> </ul> | Indicator<br>Partially Met<br>The business<br>plans have not<br>been approved<br>and<br>operationalized<br>for DWNP and<br>JNPC, which is a<br>gap in being able<br>to communicate<br>effectively with,<br>and provide a<br>business case to,<br>State and federal<br>economic<br>agencies. |
| Indicator 2:<br>Improved<br>management<br>effectiveness as<br>per METT<br>scores for three<br>target PAs.  | METT scores in<br>2010:<br>Taman Negara -<br>74<br>Endau-Rompin –<br>58<br>Royal Belum – 53 | Average 10% increase in<br>METT scores for 3 target<br>PAs<br>TN – 82<br>ER NP – 68<br>RB - 65   | Taman Negara<br>National Park:<br>2010 = 72.5%<br>2012 = 56.9%<br>2014 = 74.5%<br>2016 = 74.5%<br>2018 = 75.5%<br>Endau Rompin:<br>2010 = 56.8%   | Indicator Met:<br>Overall, all three<br>Parks registered<br>improved<br>performance.<br>The PA<br>authorities<br>acknowledge<br><b>that the Project's</b>   |

|   |  |   | 2012 = 53.9% $2014 = 59.8%$ $2016 = 67.7%$ $2018 = 68.6%$ Royal-Belum: $2010 = 51.5%$ $2012 = 54.0%$ $2014 = 66.7%$ $2016 = 67.7%$ $2018 = 72.5%$   | interventions<br>have made the<br>differences<br>through the<br>outputs and<br>results.<br>PA agencies have<br>come to<br>appreciate the<br>value of the<br>METT as an<br>evaluation tool<br>and have<br>adopted it as<br>Standard<br>Operating<br>Procedure.                                |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| Indicator 3:<br>Increase in<br>gross revenue<br>amount and<br>revenue<br>sources of the<br>three<br>demonstration<br>PAs. | Taman Negara –<br>MYR 350,000<br>from entrance<br>fees and<br>recreational<br>related charges<br>Endau-Rompin<br>National Park –<br>MYR 216,172<br>from entrance<br>fees, tourism<br>and recreational<br>charges, income<br>from<br>concessions<br>Royal Belum<br>State Park – MYR<br>126,000 from | 20% increase in gross<br>revenue for the 3 target PA<br>sites over the project<br>period. | 2018 Figures<br>Gross revenue<br>generated for the<br>three sites in 2018:<br>DWNP:<br>2016 = MYR 717,971.<br>00<br>2017 = MYR<br>620,546.00 2018 =<br>MYR 681,244.00<br>Compared to 2017,<br>overall revenue for<br>2018 from Taman<br>Negara registered an<br>increase about 10%.<br>The revenue<br>generation also<br>includes receipts from | Indicator Met:<br>Target achieved<br>at MTR.<br>The COVID-19<br>pandemic is<br>expected to<br>upend the<br>current upward<br>trend and<br>diversification of<br>revenue sources<br>due to decreased<br>tourist numbers<br>and visitors.<br>When things<br>normalize, the<br>gradual increase |

| entrance fees    | sale of Taman Negara    | in revenues        |
|------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| and recreational | Visitors Guide and      | generated thus     |
| related charges  | Trail Maps, which       | far indicate there |
|                  | were produced with      | is scope for       |
|                  | the support of          | further            |
|                  | Project.                | diversification in |
|                  |                         | generating         |
|                  | JNPC:                   | economic           |
|                  | 2016 = MYR              | benefit.           |
|                  | 276,598.80              |                    |
|                  | 2017 = MYR              |                    |
|                  | 256,489.64              |                    |
|                  | The Peta entrance to    |                    |
|                  | Endau-Rompin park       |                    |
|                  | was closed for          |                    |
|                  | maintenance starting    |                    |
|                  | August 2017, and no     |                    |
|                  | visitors were allowed   |                    |
|                  | to enter. This affected |                    |
|                  | the gross revenue       |                    |
|                  | generation for the      |                    |
|                  | Park in 2017.           |                    |
|                  | PSPC:                   |                    |
|                  | 2016 = MYR              |                    |
|                  | 391,706.00              |                    |
|                  | 2017: = MYR 602,        |                    |
|                  | 820.84 2018 = MYR       |                    |
|                  | 588,984.00              |                    |
|                  | Compared to 2016,       |                    |
|                  | gross revenue in 2017   |                    |
|                  | and 2018 registered     |                    |
|                  | marked increase. The    |                    |
|                  | increase was            |                    |
|                  | attributed to: i) a     |                    |
|                  | conservation levy of    |                    |
|                  | RM 5.00 per visitor     |                    |
|                  | imposed from end of     |                    |
|                  | March 2017; ii) a fee   |                    |
|                  |                         |                    |
|                  | of RM 50.00 imposed     |                    |
|                  | on each houseboat       |                    |

|  |   |  | per entry to Royal<br>Belum from<br>November 2017.  |   |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| Indicator 4:<br>Length of park<br>patrolled per<br>year<br>Number of<br>patrolling<br>programmes<br>per year<br>Percentage of<br>the area<br>patrolled per<br>year<br>Number of<br>patrolling staff<br>Number of<br>illegal activity<br>(including<br>encroachment<br>and poaching)<br>cases within PA<br>reported | Taman Negara: 8<br>- 10 days per<br>month by<br>walking<br>Taman Negara:<br>10%<br>Taman Negara:<br>2 cases in 2010 | 25% increase<br>25% increase<br>50% increase | <ul> <li>20 million MYR<br/>pledged in 2019<br/>for enhanced<br/>enforcement, of<br/>which 5 million<br/>MYR has been<br/>earmarked for<br/>recruitment and<br/>training of retired<br/>soldiers and<br/>indigenous<br/>groups for<br/>patrolling in<br/>Peninsular<br/>Malaysia;</li> <li>In 2018, seven<br/>joint patrolling<br/>operations under<br/>1MBEON were<br/>carried out. Two<br/>for Taman Negara<br/>Kelantan, and one<br/>each for Pahang,<br/>Terengganu, Krau<br/>Wildlife Reserve;<br/>Endau Rompin<br/>and Royal Belum.<br/>A total of 471<br/>personnel<br/>participated in<br/>the operations<br/>(DWNP = 218;<br/>Forestry Dept =<br/>14; Army = 221;<br/>JNPC = 14; and<br/>PSPC = 7).</li> <li>In 2017, for<br/>Taman Negara<br/>under 1MBEON, a<br/>total of 6</li> </ul> | Indicator<br>Partially Met<br>Nhile still at a<br>disadvantage<br>due to the<br>criminal element<br>and<br>preparedness of<br>poachers, the<br>Project has<br>clearly stepped<br>up patrolling<br>activities<br>through<br>intensified<br>patrolling<br>actorlling<br>activities in PAs<br>and surrounding<br>areas by<br>undertaking joint<br>operations with<br>other<br>enforcement<br>agencies.<br>The COVID-19<br>pandemic and<br>economic<br>downturn are<br>clearly real and<br>constant threats<br>to gains made<br>under the project<br>due to<br>anticipated<br>reduced visitor |

|  | <ul> <li>patrolling<br/>operations jointly<br/>conducted by<br/>DWNP and<br/>Malaysian Armed<br/>Forces. It involved<br/>517 personnel for<br/>108 days with 66<br/>arrests made;</li> <li>In 2017, for Royal<br/>Belum under<br/>1MBEON 2 joint<br/>operations<br/>involving 4<br/>agencies (PSPC,<br/>DWNP, MAF, and<br/>Forestry<br/>Department).<br/>These operations<br/>involved 172<br/>personnel for 28<br/>days but no<br/>arrests were<br/>made.</li> <li>Besides IMBEON<br/>patrolling, an<br/>additional 7 day</li> </ul> | revenue.<br>Government will<br>have to redouble<br>its efforts.<br>Patrolling may<br>even be<br>compromised by<br>lockdown orders<br>resulting in an<br>uptick of<br>poaching<br>activity. It would<br>not be surprising<br>if there is a<br>downward trend<br>in the number of<br>endangered<br>species in the<br>next year. |
|--|---|---|
|  | Forestry  |   |
|  |   |   |
|  |   |   |
|  |   | next year.  |
|  |   |   |
|  |   |   |
|  |   |   |
|  |   |   |
|  |   |   |
|  | joint patrolling  |   |
|  | known as Operasi  |   |
|  | Khazanah  |   |
|  | coordinated by  |   |
|  | Royal Malaysian   |   |
|  | Police at the   |   |
|  | district level (Hulu  |   |
|  | Perak) was held at<br>Royal Belum in  |   |
|  | November 2017   |   |
|  | involving 50  |   |
|  | personnel from  |   |
|  | PSPC and various  |   |
|  | other   |   |
|  | enforcement   |   |
|  | agencies.   |   |

|   |                               |                                     |   | In 2017, for Endau<br>Rompin, under<br>IMBEON, 2<br>operations were<br>carried out with 4<br>agencies (JNPC,<br>MAF, DWNP and<br>Forestry<br>Department) with<br>172 personnel for<br>28 days and 6<br>arrests were<br>made. In addition,<br>JNPC carried out 2<br>patrolling<br>operations with<br>18 personnel<br>involved; and<br>together with<br>Johor Wildlife<br>Conservation<br>Project another 6<br>operations with<br>65 personnel<br>involved. |   |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Indicator 5:<br>Tiger<br>population as a<br>flagship species<br>in target PAs<br>namely Taman<br>Negara, Endau-<br>Rompin<br>National Park<br>and Royal<br>Belum State<br>Park. | population for<br>year 2013*: | 50% increase in tiger<br>population | • | partnership with<br>WWF, WCS and<br>MyCAT and based<br>on the survey,<br>tiger population is<br>estimated<br>between 0.57 to<br>0.84 tigers per<br>100 sq km (PIR<br>2014);<br>The last official<br>figure made<br>public in 2014   | <u>Met</u><br>It is important to<br>note that not<br>meeting this<br>indicator is by no<br>fault of the |

| the b<br>figure<br>indica<br>next<br>Imple<br>Revie | seline and<br>aseline<br>es will be<br>ated in the<br>Project<br>ementation<br>w<br>/2014. | in March 2019,<br>has launched<br>'Save Malayan<br>Tiger Campaign'<br>that will include: i)<br>Fund raising<br>through crowd<br>sourcing and<br>private sector<br>donors ii)<br>Mobilizing the<br>general public to<br>partake in the<br>campaign<br>through<br>education and<br>awareness raising,<br>and iii) joint<br>operations with<br>armed forces to<br>increase<br>patrolling. | undertakes<br>monitoring.<br>The TE can<br>comfortably infer<br>however that<br>numbers are<br>clearly on a<br>downward trend<br>based on the<br>following data<br>points:<br>• The<br>Malaysian<br>Conservation<br>Alliance for<br>Tigers (a<br>collaboration<br>between the<br>government<br>and wildlife<br>NGOs) has<br>gone on<br>record to<br>estimate<br>there are<br>probably<br>only 150 of<br>the cats left<br>in the wild. |
|---|--|--|---|
|   |  |  |   |

|  |  |  | increased<br>over the life<br>of the Project<br>at both<br>Royal-Belum<br>and Endau-<br>Rompin;<br>PSPC<br>indicated the<br>species could<br>become<br>extinct in the<br>next six to<br>seven years,<br>based on<br>estimates of<br>60 tigers<br>seven years<br>ago with<br>studies<br>showing only<br>23 left (60%<br>decline). |
|--|--|--|--|
|--|--|--|--|